

Hilbert complexes with mixed boundary conditions part 3: Biharmonic complexes

Dirk Pauly | Michael Schomburg

Institut für Analysis, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

Correspondence

Dirk Pauly, Institut für Analysis, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany.
Email: dirk.pauly@tu-dresden.de

Communicated by: M. Waurick

Funding information

There are no funders to report for this submission.

We show that the biharmonic Hilbert complex with mixed boundary conditions on bounded strong Lipschitz domains is closed and compact. The crucial results are compact embeddings that follow by abstract arguments using functional analysis together with particular regular decompositions. Higher Sobolev order results are also proved.

KEYWORDS

biharmonic complex, compact embeddings, Hilbert complexes, mixed boundary conditions, regular decompositions, regular potentials

MSC CLASSIFICATION

35A23, 35Q61, 58Axx, 58Jxx

1 | INTRODUCTION

In [1], we investigated the de Rham Hilbert complex with mixed boundary conditions on bounded strong Lipschitz domains

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{q-1,2}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d^{q-1}} \mathbb{L}^{q,2}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d^q} \mathbb{L}^{q+1,2}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

whose 3D version for vector proxies reads

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d^0 \hat{=} \text{grad}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d^1 \hat{=} \text{rot}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{d^2 \hat{=} \text{div}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots.$$

In [2], we extended our studies and results to the elasticity complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{symGrad}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{RotRot}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\top}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{S}}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots.$$

In this contribution, the third part of the series, we shall investigate the two biharmonic Hilbert complexes with mixed boundary conditions on a bounded strong Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) &\xrightarrow{\text{Gradgrad}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots, \\ \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) &\xrightarrow{\text{devGrad}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{symRot}_{\mathbb{T}}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these two complexes are formally dual (adjoint) to each other.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2023 The Authors. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

As explained in detail in [1, 2], all these Hilbert complexes share the same geometric structure

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\cdots} H_0 \xrightarrow{A_0} H_1 \xrightarrow{A_1} H_2 \xrightarrow{\cdots} \cdots, \quad R(A_0) \subset N(A_1),$$

where A_0 and A_1 are densely defined and closed (unbounded) linear operators between Hilbert spaces H_ℓ . The corresponding domain Hilbert complex is denoted by

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\cdots} D(A_0) \xrightarrow{A_0} D(A_1) \xrightarrow{A_1} H_2 \xrightarrow{\cdots} \cdots.$$

The goal of this article is to show that the previous biharmonic Hilbert complexes are compact, which is proved by using regular decompositions of the domains of definition of the respective operators as a crucial tool. We shall follow in close lines the rationale from [1, 2]. Along the way, we show the existence of regular potentials and decompositions, compact embeddings, Helmholtz decompositions, closed ranges, Friedrichs/Poincaré type estimates, and bases of the corresponding cohomology groups (generalised Dirichlet/Neumann tensors). Due to the similarity of results, we shall only state those which are most important. In the appendix, we will present some of the crucial proofs, which differ from the proofs of the previously investigated complexes.

2 | BIHARMONIC COMPLEXES I

Throughout this paper, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a *bounded strong Lipschitz domain* with boundary Γ , decomposed into two parts Γ_t and $\Gamma_n := \Gamma \setminus \overline{\Gamma_t}$ with some *relatively open and strong Lipschitz boundary part* $\Gamma_t \subset \Gamma$. More precisely, we assume generally that (Ω, Γ_t) is a *bounded strong Lipschitz pair*. We shall consequently use the notations, methods, and results from our corresponding papers for the de Rham complex [1], for the elasticity complex [2, 3], and for the biharmonic complexes [4]. In particular, we recall [1, Section 2, Section 3] including the notion of *extendable domains*. The standard Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces (scalar or tensor valued) are denoted by $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^k(\Omega)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We recall that weak and strong boundary conditions coincide for the standard Sobolev spaces with mixed boundary conditions, that is,

$$\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) = H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); \quad (1)$$

and compare [1, Lemma 3.2, Theorem 4.6]. Below, we shall show that “*strong = weak*” holds generally also for the biharmonic complex. Note that $H_{\emptyset}^k(\Omega) = H^k(\Omega)$ and $H_{\Gamma_t}^0(\Omega) = L^2(\Omega)$.

We introduce as usual Grad, Rot, and Div as “row-wise” incarnations of the classical operators grad, rot, and div from the de Rham complex.

2.1 | Operators

Let GradGrad, Rot, Div, devGrad, symRot, and divDiv be realised as densely defined (unbounded) linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\text{Grad}\mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t} : D(\mathbb{S}\text{Grad}\mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &\subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega); & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} : D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &\subset L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{Rot } S, \\ \mathring{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} : D(\mathring{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &\subset L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{Div } T, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t} : D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &\subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega); & v &\mapsto \text{devGrad } v, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} : D(\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &\subset L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{symRot } T, \\ \text{div}\mathring{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} : D(\text{div}\mathring{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &\subset L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{sym } S := \frac{1}{2}(S + S^T)$ and $\text{dev } T := T - \frac{1}{3}(\text{tr}T)\text{id}$, with domains of definition

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbb{S}\text{Grad}\mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &:= C_{\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega), & D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &:= C_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega), & D(\mathring{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &:= C_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega), \\ D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &:= C_{\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega), & D(\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &:= C_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega), & D(\text{div}\mathring{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &:= C_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the complex properties

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, & \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, & \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} &\subset 0. \end{aligned}$$

For elementary properties of these operators, see, for example, [3]; in particular, we have a collection of formulas presented in Lemma A.1 (Appendix A). Here, we introduce the Lebesgue Hilbert spaces and the test spaces of symmetric and deviatoric tensor fields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) &:= \{S \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) : \text{skw}S = 0\}, & \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^\infty(\Omega) &:= \mathbb{C}_{\Gamma_t}^\infty(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega), \\ \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) &:= \{S \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) : \text{tr}T = 0\}, & \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^\infty(\Omega) &:= \mathbb{C}_{\Gamma_t}^\infty(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We get the first and second biharmonic complexes on smooth tensor fields

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots, \\ \dots &\longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow \dots. \end{aligned}$$

For a more algebraically structured introduction of the latter operators suggested by Rainer Picard, see Appendix B. The closures

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t} &:= \overline{\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}}, & \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} &:= \overline{\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}, & \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} &:= \overline{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t} &:= \overline{\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}}, & \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} &:= \overline{\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}, & \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} &:= \overline{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}, \end{aligned}$$

and Hilbert space adjoints are given by the densely defined and closed linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t} &: D(\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &\subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega); & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}^* &= \mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} : D(\mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} &: D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{Rot } S, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^* &= \mathbf{symRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} : D(\mathbf{symRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{symRot } T, \\ \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} &: D(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{Div } T, \\ \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^* &= -\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_n} : D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_n}) &\subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega); & v &\mapsto -\text{devGrad } v, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t} &: D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &\subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega); & v &\mapsto \text{devGrad } v, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}^* &= -\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} : D(\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto -\text{Div } T, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} &: D(\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{symRot } T, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^* &= \mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} : D(\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{Rot } S, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} &: D(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &\subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^* &= \mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n} : D(\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}) &\subset \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega); & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \end{aligned}$$

with domains of definition

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\text{grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), & D(\mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega), & D(\mathbf{symRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ D(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega), & D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_n}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega), \\ D(\mathbb{T}\mathring{\text{Grad}}_{\Gamma_t}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega), & D(\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\ D(\mathbb{S}\mathring{\text{Rot}}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega), & D(\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ D(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), & D(\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

We shall introduce the latter Sobolev spaces in the next section.

2.2 | Sobolev spaces

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \{u \in L^2(\Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &:= \{S \in L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega) : \text{Rot } S \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Div}, \Omega) &:= \{T \in L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega) : \text{Div } T \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{H}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &:= \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : \text{devGrad } v \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \{T \in L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega) : \text{symRot } T \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \{S \in L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in L^2(\Omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$ implies $\text{Rot}S \in L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)$ (cf. Lemma A.1 (Appendix A)) and that we have by Nečas' inequality and a Korn type inequality for dev the regularities

$$\mathbf{H}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega), \quad \mathbf{H}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \quad (2)$$

with equivalent norms; see, for example, [5, Lemma 8.2] and [4, Lemma 3.2]. Moreover, we define boundary conditions in the *strong sense* as closures of respective test fields, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \overline{\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &:= \overline{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{Rot}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) &:= \overline{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Div}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &:= \overline{\mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \overline{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{symRot}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \overline{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\Gamma_t = \emptyset$, we may skip the index \emptyset , which is justified by density. Spaces with vanishing differential operator coincide with kernels and are denoted by an additional index 0 at the lower right corner, for example,

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}), \quad \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}(\text{Div}, \Omega) = N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}).$$

We need also the Sobolev spaces with boundary conditions defined in the *weak sense*, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) : \langle \text{Gradgrad } u, \Phi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} = \langle u, \text{divDiv } \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \Phi \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_n}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) : \langle \text{Rot } S, \Psi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} = \langle S, \text{symRot } \Psi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \Psi \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_n}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{Div}, \Omega) : \langle \text{Div } T, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = -\langle T, \text{devGrad } \phi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_n}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ v \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) : \langle \text{devGrad } v, \Psi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} = -\langle v, \text{Div } \Psi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \Psi \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_n}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) : \langle \text{symRot } T, \Phi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} = \langle T, \text{Rot } \Phi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \Phi \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_n}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) : \langle \text{divDiv } S, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle S, \text{Gradgrad } \phi \rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbf{C}_{\Gamma_n}^{\infty}(\Omega) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that “*strong* \subset *weak*” holds, that is, $H_{\dots}(\dots, \Omega) \subset H_{\dots}(\dots, \Omega)$, for example,

$$H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \quad H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega),$$

and that the complex properties hold in both the strong and the weak case, for example,

$$\text{devGrad } H_{\Gamma_t}(\Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \quad \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}(\text{Div}, \Omega),$$

which follows immediately by the definitions. In Remark 2.3 below, we comment on the question whether “*strong* = *weak*” holds in general.

2.3 | Higher order Sobolev spaces

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define higher order Sobolev spaces by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega) &:= H^k(\Omega) \cap L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega), \\ H_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega) &:= H^k(\Omega) \cap L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega), \\ H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) &:= \overline{C_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^\infty(\Omega)}^{H^k(\Omega)} = H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega), \\ H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) &:= \overline{C_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^\infty(\Omega)}^{H^k(\Omega)} = H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega), \\ H^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H^k(\Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in H^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega) : \text{Rot } S \in H^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) : \text{Rot } S \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega) : \text{Div } T \in H^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) : \text{Div } T \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ v \in H^k(\Omega) : \text{devGrad } v \in H^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ v \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) : \text{devGrad } v \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega) : \text{symRot } T \in H^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) : \text{symRot } T \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in H^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first reading, we recommend to only regard the case $k = 0$ from Section 2.2.

Note that, for example, for the latter divDiv-Sobolev spaces, we have $H_{\mathbb{S}, \emptyset}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ and $H_{\mathbb{S}, \emptyset}^0(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S}}^0(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ as well as $H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^0(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$. For $\Gamma_t \neq \emptyset$, it holds

$$H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \left\{ S \in H_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \quad k \geq 2,$$

but for $\Gamma_t \neq \emptyset$ and $k = 0$ and $k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^0(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) \\ &\subsetneq \left\{ S \in \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^0(\Omega)}_{=L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} : \operatorname{divDiv} S \in \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^0(\Omega)}_{=L^2(\Omega)} \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) &\subsetneq \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) : \operatorname{divDiv} S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. As before, we introduce the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},0}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) \\ &= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) : \operatorname{divDiv} S = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding remarks and definitions extend also to the $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Div}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{devGrad}, \Omega)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{symRot}, \Omega)$ -spaces. In particular, we have for $\Gamma_t \neq \emptyset$ and $k \geq 1$ and, for example, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega)$, the observations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) &= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \operatorname{Rot} S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^0(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \subsetneq \left\{ S \in \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^0(\Omega)}_{=L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} : \operatorname{Rot} S \in \underbrace{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^0(\Omega)}_{=L^2(\Omega)} \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},0}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \\ &= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) : \operatorname{Rot} S = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we define the Sobolev spaces $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Div}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{devGrad}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{symRot}, \Omega)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$ using the respective Sobolev spaces with weak boundary conditions $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\cdot, \cdot, \Omega)$ in the definitions, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ T \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{symRot}, \Omega) : \operatorname{symRot} T \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ T \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{symRot}, \Omega) : \operatorname{symRot} T \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1). Note that again “*strong* \subset *weak*” holds, that is, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\cdot, \cdot, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}(\cdot, \cdot, \Omega)$, for example, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega)$, and that the complex properties hold in both the strong and the weak case, for example,

$$\operatorname{Gradgrad} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega), \quad \operatorname{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{symRot}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega).$$

In the forthcoming sections, we shall also investigate whether indeed “*strong* = *weak*” holds. We start with a simple implication from (1).

Corollary 2.1. $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)$, that is, weak and strong boundary conditions coincide for the standard Sobolev spaces of symmetric and deviatoric tensor fields with mixed boundary conditions, respectively.

As in (2) and with Corollary 2.1, we get the following.

Lemma 2.2 (Higher order weak and strong partial boundary conditions coincide).

(i) For $k \geq 0$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $k \geq 1$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &= \left\{ v \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{devGrad } v \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{Rot } S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \left\{ T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{symRot } T \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \left\{ T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{Div } T \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega).\end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $k \geq 2$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &= \left\{ u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3 (Weak and strong partial boundary conditions coincide). In [4, 5], we could prove the corresponding results “strong = weak” for the whole two biharmonic complexes but only with empty or full boundary conditions ($\Gamma_t = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma_t = \Gamma$). Therefore, in these special cases, the adjoints are well defined on the spaces with strong boundary conditions as well.

Lemma 2.2 shows that for higher values of k indeed “strong = weak” holds. Thus, to show “strong = weak” in general, we only have to prove that equality holds in the remaining cases $k = 0$ and $k = 1$; that is, we only have to show

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}, \Omega), & \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega), & \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega), & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega), & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &\subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\text{divDiv}, \Omega).\end{aligned}$$

The most delicate situation appears due to the second-order nature of $\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}$. In Corollary 3.11, we shall show using regular decompositions that these results (weak and strong boundary conditions coincide for the biharmonic complexes for all $k \geq 0$) indeed hold true.

2.4 | More Sobolev spaces

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce also slightly less regular higher order Sobolev spaces by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\},\end{aligned}$$

and we extend all conventions of our notations. These spaces can be ignored at the first reading.

We have for the kernels of $\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \quad \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega),$$

and by Nečas' inequality (cf. (2)),

$$\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega).$$

The intersection with $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, respectively, is only needed if $k = 1$. As before, we observe $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, that is, “strong \subset weak,” and in both cases (weak and strong), the complex properties hold, for example, $\text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k-1}(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$.

Similar to Lemma 2.2, we have the following.

Lemma 2.4. (Higher order weak and strong partial boundary conditions coincide). *For $k \geq 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &= \left\{ u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \left\{ S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) : \text{divDiv } S \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

2.5 | Some biharmonic complexes

By definition, we have densely defined and closed (unbounded) linear operators defining six dual pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^*) &= (\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}, \mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}), \\ (\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^*) &= (\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}), \\ (\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}, \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^*) &= (\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}, -\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}), \\ (\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^*) &= (\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}, -\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}), \\ (\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^*) &= (\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}), \\ (\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}, \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^*) &= (\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{S}\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Pauly and Schomburg [1, Remark 2.5, Remark 2.6] show the complex properties

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, & \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, \\ \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, & \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} &\subset 0, \\ \mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} &\subset 0, & -\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Grad}_{\Gamma_n} &\subset 0, \\ -\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} &\subset 0, & \mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n} &\subset 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get the two primal and dual biharmonic Hilbert complexes

$$\cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\cdots} \\ \xleftarrow{\cdots} \end{array} L^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\cdots} \\ \xleftarrow{\cdots} \end{array} \cdots, \quad (3)$$

$$\cdots \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\cdots} \\ \xleftarrow{\cdots} \end{array} L^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{-\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\cdots} \\ \xleftarrow{\cdots} \end{array} \cdots. \quad (4)$$

The long primal and dual biharmonic Hilbert complexes (cf. [1, (12)]) read

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \end{array} L^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \\ \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}} \end{array} L^2(\Omega) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \end{array} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t} \xrightleftharpoons[\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}}]{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}}} L^2(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[-\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}]{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}} L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}]{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}]{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} L^2(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}]{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n} \quad (6)$$

with the additional complex properties

$$R(\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}) = N(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}) = \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}, \quad \overline{R(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n})} = \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}^{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$R(\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}) = N(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}, \quad \overline{R(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n})} = (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t})^{L^2(\Omega)},$$

where

$$\mathbb{RT}_{\Sigma} = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } \Sigma \neq \emptyset, \\ \mathbb{RT} & \text{if } \Sigma = \emptyset, \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{RT} := \left\{ \mathbb{R}^{3^3} \ni x \mapsto ax + q : a \in \mathbb{R}^3, q \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\},$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Sigma} = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } \Sigma \neq \emptyset, \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \text{if } \Sigma = \emptyset, \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{P}^1 := \left\{ \mathbb{R}^{3^3} \ni x \mapsto q \cdot x + a : a \in \mathbb{R}^3, q \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\}$$

denote the global Raviart–Thomas fields and the global polynomials of degree less or equal to 1 in Ω , respectively. We have $\dim \mathbb{RT} = \dim \mathbb{P}^1 = 4$. Note that, for example, by Lemma 2.2 (i), it holds

$$N(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) = \left\{ u \in H^2_{\Gamma_t}(\Omega) : \text{Gradgrad } u = 0 \right\}.$$

More generally, in addition to (5) and (6), we shall discuss for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the higher Sobolev order (long primal and formally dual) biharmonic Hilbert complexes (omitting Ω in the notation)

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n},$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}$$

and

$$\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n},$$

$$\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t} \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{-\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}$$

with associated domain complexes

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_t}(\text{Gradgrad}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n},$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{symRot}) \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_n}(\text{devGrad}) \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}$$

and

$$\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_t}(\text{devGrad}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}) \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n},$$

$$\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t} \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{-\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{Div}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{Rot}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\Gamma_n}(\text{Gradgrad}) \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}.$$

Additionally, for $k \geq 1$ we will also discuss the following variants of the biharmonic complexes

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H^k_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}} H^{k-1}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}} H^{k-1}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}} H^{k-1}_{\Gamma_t} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n},$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H^{k-1}_{\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}} H^{k-1}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H^k_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t} &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t}}} H_{\Gamma_t}^k \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}} H_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}, \\ \mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t} &\xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t}}} H_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \xleftarrow{-\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}} H_{\Gamma_n}^k \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n} \end{aligned}$$

with associated domain complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\text{Rot}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\text{Div}) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}} H_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_n}, \\ \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t} &\xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_t}}} H_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{symRot}) \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\text{devGrad}) \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t} &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t}}} H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}) \xrightarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}} H_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}, \\ \mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t} &\xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}T_{\Gamma_t}}} H_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \xleftarrow{-\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\text{Div}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\text{Rot}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}} H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Gradgrad}) \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have introduced the densely defined and closed linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \\ \mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k &: D\left(\mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \\ \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{Rot } S, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{symRot } T, \\ \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k &: D\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{Div } T, \\ \mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega); & v &\mapsto \text{devGrad } v, \\ \mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); & v &\mapsto \text{devGrad } v, \\ \mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k &: D\left(\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{Div } T, \\ \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{symRot } T, \\ \mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{Rot } S, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k &: D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \\ \mathbb{S}\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^k &: D\left(\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^k\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega); & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \end{aligned}$$

with domains of definition

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), & D\left(\mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), & D\left(\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ D\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), & D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega), \\ D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega), & D\left(\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\ D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), & D\left(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), & D\left(\mathbb{S}\mathbf{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} &: D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega); \quad u \mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \\ \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1} &: D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\Omega); \quad u \mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} &: D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega); \quad S \mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1} &: D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\Omega); \quad S \mapsto \text{divDiv } S, \end{aligned}$$

with domains of definition

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}\right) &= H_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), \quad D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}\right) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}\right) &= H_{\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega), \quad D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}\right) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

2.6 | Dirichlet/Neumann fields

We also introduce the cohomology spaces of biharmonic Dirichlet/Neumann tensor fields (generalised harmonic tensors)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) &:= N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \cap N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1}H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega) &:= N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) \cap N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mu) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mu^{-1}H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\varepsilon : L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)$ is a symmetric and positive topological isomorphism (symmetric and positive bijective bounded linear operator), which introduces a new inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} := \langle \varepsilon \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)},$$

where $L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) := L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)$ (as linear space) equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}$. Such *weights* ε and also $\mu : L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega)$ are called *admissible*. Typical examples are given by symmetric, L_{∞} -bounded, and uniformly positive definite tensor fields $\varepsilon, \mu : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(3 \times 3) \times (3 \times 3)}$ with appropriate algebraic properties.

3 | BIHARMONIC COMPLEXES II

3.1 | Regular potentials and decompositions I

3.1.1 | Extendable domains

The next theorem is a crucial result. Its proof is based on [4, Theorem 3.10], where the stated results for $\Gamma_t = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_t = \emptyset$ have been shown, and the arguments used in, for example, [1, Lemma 4.4] for partial boundary conditions. See Appendix C for a detailed proof.

Theorem 3.1 (Regular potential operators for extendable domains). *Let (Ω, Γ_t) be an extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pair and let $k \geq 0$. Then there exist bounded linear regular potential operators*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^k &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \cap H^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &: H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad},\Gamma_t}^k &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &: H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_n}^1\right)^{\perp L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \cap H^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, \mathcal{P}^{\dots} are right inverses for ${}_{\mathbb{S}}\text{Gradgrad}$, ${}_{\mathbb{T}}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}$, $\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}}$, ${}_{\mathbb{T}}\text{Grad}$, ${}_{\mathbb{S}}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}}$, and $\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}$, respectively, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gradgrad } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}, \Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)}, & \text{devGrad } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}, \Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)}, \\ \text{Rot } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}, \Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)}, & \text{symRot } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}}, \Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}, \\ \text{Div } \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}}, \Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp L^2(\Omega)}}, & \text{divDiv } \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}, \Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_n}^1)^{\perp L^2(\Omega)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, \mathcal{P}^{\dots} map to tensor fields with a fixed compact support in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Remark 3.2. Note that $A_n \mathcal{P}_{A_n} = \text{id}_{R(A_n)}$ is a general property of a (bounded regular) potential operator $\mathcal{P}_{A_n} : R(A_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_n^+$ with $\mathbf{H}_n^+ \subset D(A_n)$ (cf. [1, Section 2.3]).

As a simple consequence of the complex properties, the general results for regular potentials and decompositions from, for example, [1, Section 2.3] and Theorem 3.1, we obtain a few corollaries.

Corollary 3.3 (Regular potentials for extendable domains). *Let (Ω, Γ_t) be an extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pair and let $k \geq 0$. Then the regular potentials representations*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\ &= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1, k}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) \\ &= R\left({}_{\mathbb{S}}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) = R\left({}_{\mathbb{S}}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1, k}\right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) = \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= R\left({}_{\mathbb{T}}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k\right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp L^2(\Omega)} &= \text{Div } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) = \text{Div } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= R\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k\right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) = \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad } \Omega) = \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= R\left({}_{\mathbb{T}}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) = \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= R\left({}_{\mathbb{S}}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k\right), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_n}^1)^{\perp L^2(\Omega)} &= \text{divDiv } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \text{divDiv } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\ &= \text{divDiv } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1, k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \\ &= R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k\right) = R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1, k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

hold, and the potentials can be chosen such that they depend continuously on the data. In particular, the latter spaces are closed subspaces of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)$, and $\mathbf{H}^k(\Omega)$, respectively.

Corollary 3.4. (Regular decompositions for extendable domains). *Let (Ω, Γ_t) be an extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pair and let $k \geq 0$. Then the bounded regular decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) = R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{Gradgrad } R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}, \Gamma_t}^k \right), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{Rot } R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{devGrad } R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}, \Gamma_t}^k \right), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) \dot{+} \text{symRot } R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right)
\end{aligned}$$

hold with bounded linear regular decomposition operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \text{Rot} : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}, \Gamma_t}^k \left(1 - \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \text{Div} : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \left(1 - \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \text{symRot} : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}, \Gamma_t}^k \left(1 - \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^k \text{divDiv} : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \left(1 - \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{Gradgrad } \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)}, \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{Rot } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)}, \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{devGrad } \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)}, \\
\mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{symRot } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. Note that for (bounded linear) potential operators $\mathcal{P}_{A_{n-1}}$ and \mathcal{P}_{A_n} , the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1 + A_{n-1}\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^0 &= \text{id}_{D(A_n)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1 := \mathcal{P}_{A_n}A_n : D(A_n) \rightarrow H_n^+, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^0 &:= \mathcal{P}_{A_{n-1}}(1 - \mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1) : D(A_n) \rightarrow H_{n-1}^+ \end{aligned}$$

is a general structure of a (bounded) regular decomposition. Moreover,

- (i) $R(\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1) = R(\mathcal{P}_{A_n})$ and $R(\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^0) = R(\mathcal{P}_{A_{n-1}})$.
- (ii) $N(A_n)$ is invariant under $\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1$, as $A_n = A_n\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1$ holds by the complex property.
- (iii) $\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1$ and $A_{n-1}\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^0 = 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1$ are projections.
- (iv) There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in D(A_n)$

$$\left| \mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1 x \right|_{H_n^+} \leq c |A_n x|_{H_{n+1}^+}.$$

(iv') In particular, $\mathcal{Q}_{A_n}^1|_{N(A_n)} = 0$.

Corollary 3.6. (Weak and strong partial boundary conditions coincide for extendable domains). *Let (Ω, Γ_t) be an extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pair and let $k \geq 0$. Then weak and strong boundary conditions coincide, that is,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Similar versions of Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.6 are available for the nonstandard Sobolev spaces of the form $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\dots, \Omega)$ (cf. Section 2.4). Note that

$$\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \tag{7}$$

as $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$.

Corollary 3.7. (Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.6 for nonstandard Sobolev spaces). *Let (Ω, Γ_t) be an extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pair and let $k \geq 1$. Then the bounded regular decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k-1} \right) \dot{+} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \\ &= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k-1} \right) \dot{+} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k-1} \right) \dot{+} \text{symRot } R \left(\mathcal{P}_{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \right) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

hold with bounded linear regular decomposition operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k-1} \text{divDiv} : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,0} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}}^k \left(1 - \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1} \right) : \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying $Q_{\text{divDiv}, \mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k, k-1, 1} + \text{symRot } Q_{\text{divDiv}, \mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k, k-1, 0} = \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k, k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}$. In particular, weak and strong boundary conditions coincide also for the nonstandard Sobolev spaces.

Recall the Hilbert complexes and cohomology groups from Section 2.5 and Section 2.6.

Theorem 3.8. (Closed and exact Hilbert complexes for extendable domains). *Let (Ω, Γ_t) be an extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pair and let $k \geq 0$. Both biharmonic domain complexes*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n, \\ \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 &\xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}^k \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_n}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_n}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_n}^k(\text{symRot}) \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}^{k+1} \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n \end{aligned}$$

and, for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 &\xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k, k-1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\text{Rot}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k-1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\text{Div}) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k-1}} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n, \\ \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 &\xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_n}^{k, k-1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\text{divDiv}) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_n}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_n}^k(\text{symRot}) \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_n}^{k+1} \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n \end{aligned}$$

are exact and closed Hilbert complexes. In particular, all ranges are closed, all cohomology groups (Dirichlet/Neumann fields) are trivial, and the operators from Theorem 3.1 are associated bounded regular potential operators.

3.1.2 | General strong Lipschitz domains

From now on, we drop the additional condition “extendable domain,” thus (Ω, Γ_t) is a bounded strong Lipschitz pair.

Lemma 3.9. (cutting lemma). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and let $k \geq 0$.*

- (i) *If $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$, then $\varphi S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$ and $\text{Rot}(\varphi S) = \varphi \text{Rot } S - S \text{ spn grad } \varphi$.*
- (ii) *If $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$, then $\varphi T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$ and $\text{Div}(\varphi T) = \varphi \text{Div } T + T \text{ grad } \varphi$.*
- (iii) *If $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$, then $\varphi T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$ and $\text{symRot}(\varphi T) = \varphi \text{symRot } T - \text{sym}(T \text{ spn grad } \varphi)$.*
- (iv) *If $k \geq 1$ and $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k, k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, then $\varphi S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k, k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ and*

$$\text{divDiv}(\varphi S) = \varphi \text{divDiv } S + 2 \text{grad } \varphi \cdot \text{Div } S + \text{Grad grad } \varphi : S.$$

In particular, this holds for $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$. Note that \cdot and $:$ denote the point-wise scalar product for vectors fields and tensor (matrix) fields, respectively.

We proceed by showing crucial regular decompositions for the biharmonic complexes extending the results of Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.7 to our general setting. The proof is based on Corollary 3.4 together with a partition of unity.

Lemma 3.10. (Regular decompositions). *Let $k \geq 0$. Then the bounded regular decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Grad grad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and, for $k \geq 1$, the nonstandard bounded regular decompositions

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$$

hold with bounded linear regular decomposition operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{Gradgrad } \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{Rot } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{devGrad } \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \text{symRot } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1} + \text{symRot } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}, \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

It holds $\text{Rot } \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\text{Div } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k$, and $\text{symRot } \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \mathbf{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k$ and thus $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$ are invariant under $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, respectively. Analogously, we have $\text{divDiv } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k$ and $\text{divDiv } \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1} = \mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}$ and thus $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ is invariant under $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1}$, respectively.

Corollary 3.6 and (7) are generalised to the following important result.

Corollary 3.11. (Weak and strong partial boundary conditions coincide). *Let $k \geq 0$. Weak and strong boundary conditions coincide, that is,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \quad k \geq 1, \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k = \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k = \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k = \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k = \mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k = \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k = \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k$, as well as, for $k \geq 1$, $\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} = \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}$ and $\mathbf{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} = \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}$.

For a detailed proof of Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.11, see Appendix C.

3.2 | Mini FA-ToolBox

3.2.1 | Zero order mini FA-ToolBox

Recall Section 2.6 and let ε, μ be admissible. In Section 2.1 (for $\varepsilon = \mu = \text{id}$), we have seen that the densely defined and closed linear operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{-1} &= \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} : \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega); & p &\mapsto p, \\
 A_0 &= \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t} : H_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega); & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad } u, \\
 A_1 &= \mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \subset L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \mu^{-1} \text{Rot } S, \\
 A_2 &= \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \mu : \mu^{-1} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \subset L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \text{Div } \mu T, \\
 A_3 &= \iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}}^* : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}; & q &\mapsto \pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}} q, \\
 A_{-1}^* &= \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}^* : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1; & p &\mapsto \pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} p, \\
 A_0^* &= \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} \varepsilon : \varepsilon^{-1} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega); & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv } \varepsilon S, \\
 A_1^* &= \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n} : H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \subset L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega); & T &\mapsto \varepsilon^{-1} \text{symRot } T, \\
 A_2^* &= -\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n} : H_{\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega); & v &\mapsto -\text{devGrad } v, \\
 A_3^* &= \iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}} : \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega); & q &\mapsto q,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Corollary 3.11, build the long primal and dual elasticity Hilbert complex

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \xrightleftharpoons[\Lambda_{-1}^* \cong \pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}]{A_{-1} = \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} L^2(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\Lambda_0^* = \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n} \varepsilon]{A_0 = \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}} L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\Lambda_1^* = \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}]{A_1 = \mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\Lambda_2^* = -\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}]{A_2 = \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} \mu} L^2(\Omega) \xrightleftharpoons[\Lambda_3^* = \iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}}]{A_3 \cong \pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}, \quad (8)$$

and compare (5). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} A_{-1}^* &= \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}^* = \pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \\
 \iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}} A_3 &= \iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}} \iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}}^* = \pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}} : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)
 \end{aligned}$$

are the actual projectors onto $\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1$ and $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}$, respectively.

Theorem 3.12. (Compact embeddings). *The embeddings*

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(A_1) \cap D(A_0^*) &= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega), \\
 D(A_2) \cap D(A_1^*) &= \mu^{-1} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)
 \end{aligned}$$

are compact. Moreover, the compactness does not depend on ε or μ .

See Appendix C for a proof.

Remark 3.13. (Compact embeddings). The embeddings

$$D(A_0) \cap D(A_{-1}^*) = D(A_0) = H_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega), \quad D(A_3) \cap D(A_2^*) = D(A_2^*) = H_{\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

are compact by Rellich's selection theorem.

Theorem 3.14. (Compact biharmonic complex). *The long primal and dual biharmonic Hilbert complex (8) is compact. In particular, the complex is closed.*

Let us recall for the densely defined and closed linear operators

$$A_n : D(A_n) \subset H_n \rightarrow H_{n+1}, \quad A_n^* : D(A_n^*) \subset H_{n+1} \rightarrow H_n$$

the corresponding reduced operators

$$\begin{aligned} (A_n)_\perp &:= A_n \Big|_{N(A_n)^\perp} : D((A_n)_\perp) = D(A_n) \cap N(A_n)^\perp \subset N(A_n)^\perp \rightarrow R(A_n), \\ (A_n^*)_\perp &:= A_n^* \Big|_{N(A_n^*)^\perp} : D((A_n^*)_\perp) = D(A_n^*) \cap N(A_n^*)^\perp \subset N(A_n^*)^\perp \rightarrow R(A_n^*). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R(A_n) = R((A_n)_\perp) = N(A_n^*)^\perp$ and $R(A_n^*) = R((A_n^*)_\perp) = N(A_n)^\perp$. Here, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0)_\perp &= (\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t})_\perp, \quad (A_1)_\perp = (\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})_\perp, \quad (A_2)_\perp = (\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mu)_\perp, \\ (A_0^*)_\perp &= (\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)_\perp, \quad (A_1^*)_\perp = (\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n})_\perp, \quad (A_2^*)_\perp = -(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n})_\perp, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{-1})_\perp &= \left(\iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} \right)_\perp = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} : \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1, \\ (A_{-1}^*)_\perp &\cong \left(\pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} \right)_\perp = \pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} \Big|_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1} : \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1, \\ (A_3)_\perp &\cong \left(\pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}} \right)_\perp = \pi_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}} \Big|_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}} : \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}, \\ (A_3^*)_\perp &= \left(\iota_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}} \right)_\perp = \text{id}_{\mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}} : \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{RT}_{\Gamma_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.9 of [1] shows:

Theorem 3.15. (Mini FA-ToolBox). *For the zero order biharmonic complex, it holds*

- (i) *The ranges $R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t})$, $R(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})$, and $R(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mu)$ are closed.*
- (i') *The ranges $R(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)$, $R(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n})$, and $R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n})$ are closed.*
- (ii) *The inverse operators $(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t})_\perp^{-1}$, $(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})_\perp^{-1}$, and $(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mu)_\perp^{-1}$ are compact.*
- (ii') *The inverse operators $(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)_\perp^{-1}$, $(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n})_\perp^{-1}$, and $(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n})_\perp^{-1}$ are compact.*
- (iii) *The cohomology groups of generalised Dirichlet/Neumann tensor fields $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)$ are finite-dimensional. Moreover, the dimensions do not depend on ε or μ .*
- (iv) *The orthonormal Helmholtz type decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) \\ &= N(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} R(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) \\ &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} R(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}), \\ \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) &= R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\mu) \\ &= N(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} R(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \\ &= R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} R(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \end{aligned}$$

hold.

(v) There exist (optimal) $c_0, c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that the Friedrichs/Poincaré type estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall u \in H_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega) \cap \left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1\right)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}} & \quad |u|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_0 |\text{Gradgrad } u|_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}, \\
\forall S \in \varepsilon^{-1} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) & \quad |S|_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \leq c_0 |\text{divDiv } \varepsilon S|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\
\forall S \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap R(\varepsilon_{\mathbb{S}}^{-1} \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) & \quad |S|_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \leq c_1 |\mu^{-1} \text{Rot } S|_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)}, \\
\forall T \in H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap R(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) & \quad |T|_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \leq c_1 |\varepsilon^{-1} \text{symRot } T|_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}, \\
\forall T \in \mu^{-1} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}) & \quad |T|_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \leq c_2 |\text{Div } \mu T|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\
\forall v \in H_{\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \cap \left(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}\right)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}} & \quad |v|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_2 |\text{devGrad } v|_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

hold.

(vi) For all $S \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \varepsilon^{-1} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}}$, it holds

$$|S|_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_1^2 |\mu^{-1} \text{Rot } S|_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_0^2 |\text{divDiv } \varepsilon S|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

(vi') For all $T \in H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mu^{-1} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)}}$, it holds

$$|T|_{L_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq c_1^2 |\varepsilon^{-1} \text{symRot } T|_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}^2 + c_2^2 |\text{Div } \mu T|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

(vii) $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega) = \{0\}$, if (Ω, Γ_t) is extendable.

3.2.2 | Higher order mini FA-ToolBox

For simplicity, let $\varepsilon = \mu = \text{id}$. From Section 2.5, we recall the densely defined and closed higher Sobolev order operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k & : H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \subset H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} & : H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega), \quad k \geq 1, \\
\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k & , : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega), \\
\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k & : H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k & : H_{\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k & : H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega), \\
\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k & : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega), \\
\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1} & : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\Omega), \quad k \geq 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

building the long biharmonic Hilbert complexes

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \xrightarrow{\iota_{\Gamma_t}^1} H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k} H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P},\Gamma_t}^1} H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n}, \quad k \geq 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k-1}} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \xleftarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1}} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{-\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n}} \mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (13)$$

We start with regular representations implied by Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.11.

Theorem 3.16. (Regular representations and closed ranges). *Let $k \geq 0$. Then the regular potential representations*

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right) &= R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) = \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\ &= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}(\Omega)}} \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \text{Rot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = \text{Rot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)}} \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \text{Div } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) = \text{Div } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) = \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \left(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}\Gamma_n\right)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \text{devGrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega) = \text{devGrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)}} \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \text{symRot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) = \text{symRot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)}} \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\Gamma_t,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right) &= R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{divDiv } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \text{divDiv } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\ &= \text{divDiv } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) = \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_n}^1\right)^{\perp_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)}} \end{aligned}$$

hold. In particular, the latter spaces are closed subspaces of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)$, and $\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)$, respectively, and all ranges of the higher Sobolev order operators in (9) are closed. Moreover, the long biharmonic Hilbert complexes (10)–(13) are closed.

A proof is given in Appendix C. Note that in Theorem 3.16 we claim nothing about bounded regular potential operators, leaving the question of bounded potentials to the next sections (cf. Theorem 3.24).

The reduced operators corresponding to (9) are

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset \left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \right)^{\perp_{H_t^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right), \\
& \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1} \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset \left(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1 \right)^{\perp_{H_t^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k-1} \right), \quad k \geq 1, \\
& \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset N \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)^{\perp_{H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right), \\
& \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset N \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)^{\perp_{H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right), \\
& \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset \left(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n} \right)^{\perp_{H_{\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_n}^k \right), \\
& \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset N \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \right)^{\perp_{H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \right), \\
& \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset N \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k \right)^{\perp_{H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k \right), \\
& \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1} \right)_{\perp} : D \left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1} \right)_{\perp} \right) \subset N \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k \right)^{\perp_{H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega)}} \rightarrow R \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1} \right), \quad k \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 of [1] and Theorem 3.16 yield the following:

Theorem 3.17. (Closed ranges and bounded inverse operators). *Let $k \geq 0$. Then,*

- (i) $R \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) = R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) = R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right) = R \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp} \right)$ are closed, and equivalently, the inverse operators

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) \rightarrow D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \\
\text{resp.} \quad & \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) \rightarrow D \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right), \\
& \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) \rightarrow D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp} \right) \\
\text{resp.} \quad & \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : R \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) \rightarrow D \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

are bounded. Equivalently, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $u \in D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right)$ resp. $u \in D \left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp} \right)$

$$|u|_{H^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Gradgrad } u|_{H^k(\mathbb{S}(\Omega))} \quad \text{resp.} \quad |u|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Gradgrad } u|_{H^k(\mathbb{S}(\Omega))}.$$

- (ii) $R \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right) = R \left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right)$ are closed, and equivalently, the inverse operator

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : R \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right) \rightarrow D \left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right) \\
\text{resp.} \quad & \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : R \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right) \rightarrow D \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)
\end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Equivalently, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $S \in D \left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp} \right)$

$$|S|_{H^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Rot } S|_{H^k(\mathbb{T}(\Omega))}.$$

(iii) $R\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = R\left(\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$ are closed, and equivalently, the inverse operator

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \\ \text{resp.} \quad & \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \rightarrow D\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Equivalently, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $T \in D\left(\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$

$$|T|_{\mathbb{H}_T^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Div } T|_{\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)}.$$

(iv) $R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) = R\left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$ are closed, and equivalently, the inverse operator

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \\ \text{resp.} \quad & \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Equivalently, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $v \in D\left(\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$

$$|v|_{\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{devGrad } v|_{\mathbb{H}_T^k(\Omega)}.$$

(v) $R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = R\left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$ are closed, and equivalently, the inverse operator

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \\ \text{resp.} \quad & \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Equivalently, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $T \in D\left(\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$

$$|T|_{\mathbb{H}_T^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{symRot } T|_{\mathbb{H}_S^k(\Omega)}.$$

(vi) $R\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) = R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right) = R\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right)_\perp\right)$ are closed, and equivalently, the inverse operators

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \\ \text{resp.} \quad & \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \rightarrow D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right), \\ & \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right)_\perp\right) \\ \text{resp.} \quad & \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right)_\perp^{-1} : R\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right) \rightarrow D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded. Equivalently, there is $c > 0$ such that for all $S \in D\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp\right)$ resp. $S \in D\left(\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right)_\perp\right)$

$$|S|_{\mathbb{H}_S^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{divDiv } S|_{\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)} \quad \text{resp.} \quad |S|_{\mathbb{H}_S^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{divDiv } S|_{\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 3.18. (Schwarz' lemma). *Let $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$.*

- (i) *If $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$ then $\partial^\alpha S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$ and $\text{Rot} \partial^\alpha S = \partial^\alpha \text{Rot} S$.*
- (ii) *If $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$ then $\partial^\alpha T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega)$ and $\text{Div} \partial^\alpha T = \partial^\alpha \text{Div} T$.*
- (iii) *If $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$ then $\partial^\alpha T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$ and $\text{symRot} \partial^\alpha T = \partial^\alpha \text{symRot} T$.*
- (iv) *If $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ resp. $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ then $\partial^\alpha S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ resp. $\partial^\alpha S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{1,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ and $\text{divDiv} \partial^\alpha S = \partial^\alpha \text{divDiv} S$.*

Theorem 3.19. (Compact embedding). *Let $k \geq 0$. Then the embeddings*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &\hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Gamma(\Omega)), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &\hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Gamma(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

are compact.

A proof is given in Appendix C.

Remark 3.20. (Compact embedding). For $k \geq 1$ (cf. [3, Remark 4.12]), there is another and slightly more general proof of the first compact embedding using a variant of [1, Lemma 2.22] (cf. [2, Theorem 3.19, Remark 3.20]); see Appendix C for a proof. It utilises the decomposition $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ from Lemma 3.10 and leads immediately to the next (stronger) result.

Theorem 3.21. (Compact embedding). *Let $k \geq 1$. Then the embedding*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k(\Omega)$$

is compact.

Theorem 3.22. (Friedrichs/Poincaré type estimate). *Let $k \geq 0$. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for all*

$$\begin{aligned} S &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_S(\Omega)}}, \\ T &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_T(\Omega)}}, \end{aligned}$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |S|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} &\leq c \left(|\text{Rot} S|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} + |\text{divDiv} S|_{\mathbf{H}^k(\Omega)} \right), \\ |T|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} &\leq c \left(|\text{symRot} T|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + |\text{Div} T|_{\mathbf{H}^k(\Omega)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The orthogonality condition $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_S(\Omega)}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_T(\Omega)}}$ can be replaced by the weaker conditions $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_S(\Omega)}}$ or $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_T(\Omega)}}$ or $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\text{id}}^k(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)}}$, respectively. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall S &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap R \left(\mathbb{S} \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \right) & |S|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} &\leq c |\text{Rot} S|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)}, \\ \forall S &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap R \left(\mathbb{S} \text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) & |S|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} &\leq c |\text{divDiv} S|_{\mathbf{H}^k(\Omega)}, \\ \forall T &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap R \left(\mathbb{T} \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k \right) & |T|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} &\leq c |\text{symRot} T|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}, \\ \forall T &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap R \left(\mathbb{T} \text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right) & |T|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} &\leq c |\text{Div} T|_{\mathbf{H}^k(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\text{id}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+1,k}\right) &= R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i,\text{id}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\text{id}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}, \\ R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i,\text{id}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for $k \geq 1$, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|S|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \leq c \left(|\text{Rot } S|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} + |\text{divDiv } S|_{\mathbb{H}^{k-1}(\Omega)} \right)$$

for all S in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\text{id}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}$. Moreover,

$$\forall S \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) |S|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{divDiv } S|_{\mathbb{H}^{k-1}(\Omega)}.$$

The proof follows by a standard contradiction argument.

Remark 3.23. (Friedrichs/Poincaré/Korn type estimate). Let $k \geq 0$. Similar to Theorem 3.22 and by Rellich's selection theorem (cf. the estimates in Theorem 3.17), there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $v \in \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+1}(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_i})^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}$ and for all $u \in \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+2}(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_i}^1)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}$

$$|v|_{\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{devGrad } v|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}, \quad |u|_{\mathbb{H}^k(\Omega)} \leq |u|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Gradgrad } u|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}.$$

As in Theorem 3.17, $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_i})^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}$ and $(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_i}^1)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}$ can be replaced by $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_i})^{\perp_{\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^k(\Omega)}}$ and $(\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_i}^1)^{\perp_{\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^k(\Omega)}}$, respectively.

3.3 | Regular potentials and decompositions II

Let $k \geq 0$. According to Theorem 3.17, the inverses of the reduced operators

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+1,k}\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+1,k}\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\ \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_i}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_i}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^{k+1,k}\right)_{\perp}^{-1} &: R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^k\right) \rightarrow D\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^{k+1,k}\right) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_i}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded, and we recall the bounded linear regular decomposition operators

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), & \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,0} &: \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)
 \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3.10. Similar to [1, Theorem 4.18, Theorem 5.2] and [2, Theorem 3.24, Theorem 3.25] (cf. [1, Lemma 2.22, Theorem 2.23]), we obtain the following sequence of results:

Theorem 3.24. (Bounded regular potentials from bounded regular decompositions). *For $k \geq 0$, there exist bounded linear regular potential operators*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^k &:= \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} &:= \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &:= \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &:= \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad},\Gamma_t}^k &:= \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &:= \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &:= \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k} &:= \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp}^{-1} : \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega),
 \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Gradgrad } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} &= \text{Gradgrad } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^k = \text{id} \Big|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot},\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}(\Omega)}}, \\
 \text{Rot } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &= \text{id} \Big|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div},\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)}}, \\
 \text{Div } \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &= \text{id} \Big|_{\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)}}, \\
 \text{devGrad } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad},\Gamma_t}^k &= \text{id} \Big|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot},\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)}}, \\
 \text{symRot } \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &= \text{id} \Big|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv},\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)^{\perp_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)}}, \\
 \text{divDiv } \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k} &= \text{divDiv } \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k = \text{id} \Big|_{\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, all potentials in Theorem 3.16 can be chosen such that they depend continuously on the data. $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k$, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k$, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k}$ are right inverses of Gradgrad, Rot, Div, devGrad, symRot, and divDiv, respectively.

Theorem 3.25. (Bounded regular decompositions from bounded regular potentials). *For $k \geq 0$, the bounded regular decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Gradgrad } H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} R \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k \right), \\
H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} R \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k \right), \\
H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{devGrad } H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} R \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k \right), \\
H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \right) \dot{+} R \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k \right), \\
H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} \right) \dot{+} H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \\
&= R \left(\tilde{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} \right) \dot{+} R \left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

hold with bounded linear regular decomposition operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\tilde{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k : H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k : H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\tilde{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\tilde{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} &:= \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k} \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &: H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &: H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k &: H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k &: H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\
\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k} &: H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)
\end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega)} &= \tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k, \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\mathrm{Div},\Omega)} &= \tilde{Q}_{\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k, \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega)} &= \tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k, \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega)} &= \tilde{Q}_{\mathrm{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathrm{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k, \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega)} &= \tilde{Q}_{\mathrm{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathrm{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k}.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.26. (Bounded regular kernel decompositions). *For $k \geq 0$, the bounded regular kernel decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega) + \mathrm{Gradgrad} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{Div},\Omega) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\mathrm{Div},\Omega) + \mathrm{Rot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega) + \mathrm{devGrad} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+2}(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega) + \mathrm{symRot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

hold.

As in [2, Remark 3.27, Theorem 3.28] and [1, Theorem 4.18, Remark 4.19, Theorem 5.2, Remark 5.3] (cf. [1, Sections 2.3 and 2.4]), there is a collection of results about the bounded regular decomposition operators; see Remark D.1 and Remark D.2 of Appendix D.

Corollary 3.26 shows the following:

Corollary 3.27. (Bounded regular higher order kernel decompositions). *For $k, \ell \geq 0$, the bounded regular kernel decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}N\left(\mathbb{T}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega) + \mathrm{Gradgrad} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\ N\left(\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{Div},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{Div},\Omega) + \mathrm{Rot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ N\left(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega) + \mathrm{devGrad} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ N\left(\mathrm{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega) + \mathrm{symRot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

hold. In particular, for $k = 0$ and all $\ell \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}N\left(\mathbb{T}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{Rot},\Omega) + \mathrm{Gradgrad} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega), \\ N\left(\mathrm{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\mathrm{Div},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{Div},\Omega) + \mathrm{Rot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega), \\ N\left(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{symRot},\Omega) + \mathrm{devGrad} \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega), \\ N\left(\mathrm{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\mathrm{divDiv},\Omega) + \mathrm{symRot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega).\end{aligned}$$

3.4 | Dirichlet/Neumann fields

From Theorem 3.15 (iv), we recall the slightly modified orthonormal Helmholtz type decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) \\
&= N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) \\
&= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}), \\
N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega), \\
N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}), \\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) &= R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu) \\
&= N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} R(\mu^{-1}\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}) \\
&= R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} R(\mu^{-1}\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}), \\
N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) &= R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega), \\
N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} R(\mu^{-1}\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let us denote the $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)$ - and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)$ -orthonormal projectors onto $N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)$, $N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})$ and $N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)$, $N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)} : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon), & \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)} : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu), \\
\pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}), & \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} : \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) &\rightarrow N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}),
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)}|_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} &: N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega), \\
\pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})}|_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)} &: N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega), \\
\pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)}|_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} &: N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega), \\
\pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})}|_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)} &: N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}$$

are onto. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)}|_{R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t})} &= 0, & \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)}|_{R(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t})} &= 0, \\
\pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})}|_{R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n})} &= 0, & \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})}|_{R(\mu^{-1}\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n})} &= 0, \\
\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)} &= \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)}, & \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)} &= \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)}, \\
\pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)} &= \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)}, & \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})}|_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)} &= \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.27 and for all $\ell \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) &= \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)} N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) = \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon)} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) &= \pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) = \pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^\ell(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) &= \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)} N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) = \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu)} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\ell(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) &= \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu) = \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} \mu^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^\ell(\text{Div}, \Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \quad N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\mu) = \mu^{-1} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega).$$

Hence with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &:= \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &:= \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &:= \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{Div}, \Omega) &:= \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

and with the finite numbers

$$d_{\Omega,\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} := \dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega), \quad d_{\Omega,\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} := \dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega),$$

we get the following result:

Theorem 3.28. (Smooth pre-bases of Dirichlet/Neumann fields). *It holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon})} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) = \pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\mu})} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) = \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} \mu^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{Div}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there exist smooth $\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}$ and $\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}$ pre-bases of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and smooth $\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}$ and $\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}$ pre-bases of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)$; that is, there are linear independent smooth fields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) &:= \left\{ \mathcal{B}_\ell^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \right\}_{\ell=1}^{d_{\Omega,\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) &:= \left\{ \mathcal{B}_\ell^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \right\}_{\ell=1}^{d_{\Omega,\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\ \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) &:= \left\{ \mathcal{B}_\ell^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \right\}_{\ell=1}^{d_{\Omega,\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\ \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) &:= \left\{ \mathcal{B}_\ell^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \right\}_{\ell=1}^{d_{\Omega,\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{Div}, \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

such that $\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon})} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega)$ and $\pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)$ are both bases of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$, and $\pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\mu})} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega)$ and $\pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} \mu^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)$ are both bases of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega)$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lin} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon})} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) = \text{Lin} \pi_{N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega), \\ \text{Lin} \pi_{N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,\mu})} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) = \text{Lin} \pi_{N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} \mu^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for example, $\left(1 - \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon})}\right)$ is the $L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)$ -orthonormal projector onto $R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t})$. By (14), Theorem 3.16, and Theorem 3.28, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \\ &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \text{Lin} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon})} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \\ &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) + (\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon})} - 1) \text{Lin} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) + \text{Lin} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \\ &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) + \text{Lin} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \cap \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) + \text{Lin} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \\ &= R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k) + \text{Lin} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Similarly, we obtain decompositions of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$, and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$ using $\mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)$, respectively. We conclude:

Theorem 3.29. (Bounded regular direct decompositions). *Let $k \geq 0$. Then the bounded regular direct decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \text{Rot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{SymRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \text{devGrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{SymRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k+1,k,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^{k+1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \text{symRot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}$$

hold. Note that $R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$, $R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega)$, $R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{SymRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$, and $R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right)$, $R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k+1,k,1}\right) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega)$.

See Appendix C for a proof.

Remark 3.30. (Bounded regular direct decompositions). In particular, for $k = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{0,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \\
&= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}^{0,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega), \\
\mu^{-1}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^0(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= \mu^{-1}\text{Rot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \dot{+} \mu^{-1}\text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \\
&= \mu^{-1}\text{Rot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{SymRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{0,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= \text{devGrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{SymRot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \\
&= \text{devGrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega), \\
\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{0,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\
\epsilon^{-1}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= \epsilon^{-1}\text{symRot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \dot{+} \epsilon^{-1}\text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \\
&= \epsilon^{-1}\text{symRot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}^2(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}^2(\Omega) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}^2(\Omega)} \epsilon^{-1}\text{symRot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \\
&= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{S},\epsilon}^2(\Omega)} \epsilon^{-1}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \\
\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega) &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mu^{-1}\text{Rot } \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^1(\Omega) \\
&= \text{devGrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) \oplus_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{T},\mu}^2(\Omega)} \mu^{-1}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

By the latter theorem, we have bounded linear regular (direct) decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\
&= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\
&= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k+1,k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\
&= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

See Remark D.3 for more details on these decompositions and the corresponding bounded linear regular direct decomposition operators. Noting

$$\begin{aligned}
R\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\right) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega), \quad R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}\right) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega), \\
R\left(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\right) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)} \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega), \quad R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}\right) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega),
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

we see the following:

Theorem 3.31. (Alternative Dirichlet/Neumann projections). *It holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)} &= \{0\}, \\
N\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\right), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} &= \{0\}, \\
N\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}\right), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)} &= \{0\}, \\
N\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\right), \\
\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} &= \{0\}, \\
N\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
N\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\varepsilon\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) = \varepsilon^{-1} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
N\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
N\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\varepsilon\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\mu^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) = \mu^{-1} \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
N\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{T}}(\Omega)} &= R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

See Appendix C for a proof. Theorem 3.29 implies the following:

Theorem 3.32. (Cohomology groups). *It holds*

$$\frac{N\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)}{R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)} \cong \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \cong \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \cong \frac{N\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right)}{R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right)},$$

$$\frac{N\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)}{R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)} \cong \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \cong \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \cong \frac{N\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right)}{R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right)}.$$

In particular, the dimensions of the cohomology groups (Dirichlet/Neumann fields) are independent of k and ε , μ and it holds

$$d_{\Omega,\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t} = \dim\left(N\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) / R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)\right) = \dim\left(N\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right) / R\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right)\right),$$

$$d_{\Omega,\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t} = \dim\left(N\left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) / R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}^k\right)\right) = \dim\left(N\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right) / R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right)\right).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and helpful suggestions to improve the quality of the paper. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

This work does not have any conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Dirk Pauly  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4155-7297>

REFERENCES

1. D. Pauly and M. Schomburg, *Hilbert complexes with mixed boundary conditions—Part 1: de Rham complex*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **45** (2022), no. 5, 2465–2507.
2. D. Pauly and M. Schomburg, *Hilbert complexes with mixed boundary conditions—Part 2: Elasticity complex*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **45** (2022), no. 16, 8971–9005.
3. D. Pauly and W. Zulehner, *The elasticity complex: Compact embeddings and regular decompositions*, Appl. Anal. **2022** (2022), 1–29.
4. D. Pauly and W. Zulehner, *The divDiv-complex and applications to biharmonic equations*, Appl. Anal. **99** (2020), no. 9, 1579–1630.
5. D. Pauly and M. Waurick, *The index of some mixed order Dirac-type operators and generalised Dirichlet-Neumann tensor fields*, Math. Z. **301** (2022), no. 2, 1739–1819.
6. S. Bauer, D. Pauly, and M. Schomburg, *The Maxwell compactness property in bounded weak Lipschitz domains with mixed boundary conditions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **48** (2016), no. 4, 2912–2943.
7. S. Bauer, D. Pauly, and M. Schomburg, *Weck's selection theorem: The Maxwell compactness property for bounded weak Lipschitz domains with mixed boundary conditions in arbitrary dimensions*, Maxwell's Equations: Analysis and Numerics, Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math., De Gruyter **24** (2019), 77–104.

How to cite this article: D. Pauly and M. Schomburg, *Hilbert complexes with mixed boundary conditions part 3: Biharmonic complexes*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. (2023), 1–46, DOI 10.1002/mma.9437.

APPENDIX A: ELEMENTARY FORMULAS

From [3, 4] and [5], we have the following collection of formulas related to the elasticity and the biharmonic complex.

Lemma A.1 ([5, Lemma 12.10]). *Let u, v, w , and S belong to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.*

- $(\text{spn } v)w = v \times w = -(\text{spn } w)v$ and $(\text{spn } v)(\text{spn}^{-1}S) = -Sv$, if $\text{sym } S = 0$
- $\text{sym spn } v = 0$ and $\text{dev}(uid) = 0$
- $\text{tr Grad } v = \text{div } v$ and $2 \text{skw Grad } v = \text{spn rot } v$
- $\text{Div}(uid) = \text{grad } u$ and $\text{Rot}(uid) = -\text{spn grad } u$, in particular, $\text{rot Div}(uid) = 0$ and $\text{rotspn}^{-1}\text{Rot}(uid) = 0$ and $\text{sym Rot}(uid) = 0$
- $\text{Div spn } v = -\text{rot } v$ and $\text{Div skw } S = -\text{rotspn}^{-1}\text{skw } S$, in particular $\text{div Div skw } S = 0$
- $\text{Rot spn } v = (\text{div } v)\text{id}(\text{Grad } v)^\top$ and $\text{Rotskw } S = (\text{div spn}^{-1}\text{skw } S)\text{id} - (\text{Grad spn}^{-1}\text{skw } S)^\top$
- $\text{dev Rotspn } v = -(\text{dev Grad } v)^\top$
- $-2\text{Rot sym Grad } v = 2\text{Rotskw Grad } v = -(\text{Grad rot } v)^\top$
- $2\text{spn}^{-1}\text{skw Rot } S = \text{Div } S^\top - \text{grad tr } S = \text{Div}(S - (\text{tr } S)\text{id})^\top$, in particular $\text{rot Div } S^\top = 2\text{rotspn}^{-1}\text{skw Rot } S$ and $2\text{skw Rot } S = \text{spn Div } S^\top$, if $\text{tr } S = 0$
- $\text{tr Rot } S = 2\text{div spn}^{-1}\text{skw } S$, in particular, $\text{tr Rot } S = 0$, if $\text{skw } S = 0$, and $\text{tr Rotsym } S = 0$ and $\text{tr Rotskw } S = \text{tr Rot } S$
- $2(\text{Grad spn}^{-1}\text{skw } S)^\top = (\text{tr Rotskw } S)\text{id} - 2\text{Rotskw } S$
- $3\text{Div}(\text{dev Grad } v)^\top = 2\text{grad div } v$
- $2\text{Rot sym Grad } v = -2\text{Rot skw Grad } v = -\text{Rot spn rot } v = (\text{Grad rot } v)^\top$
- $2\text{Div sym Rot } S = -2\text{Div skw Rot } S = \text{rot Div } S^\top$
- $\text{Rot}(\text{Rot sym } S)^\top = \text{sym Rot}(\text{Rot } S)^\top$
- $\text{Rot}(\text{Rotskw } S)^\top = \text{skw Rot}(\text{Rot } S)^\top$

All formulas extend also to distributions.

APPENDIX B: BIHARMONIC COMPLEX OPERATORS REVISITED

Let \top denote the formal operator of matrix transposition, that is,

$$\top S := S^\top,$$

and define

$$\text{spn} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{skw}}^{3 \times 3}, \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We recall the operators forming the de Rham complex (classical vector analysis) grad , rot , and div acting on functions and vector fields, respectively, as formal matrix operators

$$\text{grad} := \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 \\ \partial_2 \\ \partial_3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{rot} := \text{spn grad} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\partial_3 & \partial_2 \\ \partial_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_2 & \partial_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{div} := \top \text{grad} = [\partial_1 \ \partial_2 \ \partial_3].$$

Moreover, we introduce their relatives from the vector de Rham complex acting on vector and tensor fields, respectively, as formal matrix operators

$$\text{Grad} := \top \text{grad } \top, \text{Rot} := \top \text{rot } \top, \text{Div} := \top \text{div } \top.$$

In words, Grad , Rot , and Div act row-wise as the operators grad , rot , and div from the classical de Rham complex. Note that $\text{Grad } v$ is just the Jacobian for a vector field v .

Let

$$i_S : \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}, \quad i_T : \mathbb{R}_{\text{dev}}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$$

denote the canonical embedding of symmetric and deviatoric (trace free) (3×3) -matrices into the arbitrary (3×3) -matrices, respectively. Then the adjoints

$$i_S^* : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{3 \times 3}, \quad i_T^* : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{dev}}^{3 \times 3}$$

are almost the projectors onto symmetric and deviatoric (3×3)-matrices, respectively; that is, the actual projectors are given by

$$\text{sym} := \iota_{\mathbb{S}} \iota_{\mathbb{S}}^* : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}; S \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(S + S^T), \quad \text{dev} := \iota_{\mathbb{T}} \iota_{\mathbb{T}}^* : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}; T \mapsto T - \frac{1}{3}(\text{tr} T) \text{id}.$$

We extend all the latter formal operators to $L^2(\Omega)$ -tensor fields.

In the light of this, in the biharmonic complexes, we are dealing with the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad} &:= \iota_{\mathbb{S}}^* \text{Gradgrad}, & \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}} &:= \iota_{\mathbb{T}}^* \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}, & \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}} &:= \text{Div} \iota_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}} &:= \text{divDiv} \iota_{\mathbb{S}}, & \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}} &:= \iota_{\mathbb{S}}^* \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}}, & \mathbb{T}\text{Grad} &:= \iota_{\mathbb{T}}^* \text{Grad}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad} &= \text{sym Gradgrad} = \text{Gradgrad} = \mathbb{T} \text{grad} \mathbb{T} \text{grad}, \\ \iota_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}} &= \text{dev Rot}_{\mathbb{S}} = \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}} = \mathbb{T} \text{rot} \mathbb{T} \iota_{\mathbb{S}} =: \text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}, \\ \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}} &= \mathbb{T} \text{div} \mathbb{T} \iota_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \iota_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{T}\text{Grad} &= \text{dev Grad} = \text{dev} \mathbb{T} \text{grad} \mathbb{T}, \\ \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}} &= \text{sym Rot}_{\mathbb{T}} = \text{sym} \mathbb{T} \text{rot} \mathbb{T} \iota_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}} &= \text{div} \mathbb{T} \text{div} \mathbb{T} \iota_{\mathbb{S}}; \end{aligned}$$

in particular, on symmetric tensor fields, we have $\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}} = \text{dev Rot} = \text{Rot}$ (cf. [3, Lemma A.1]). Using these formal operators, we introduce their maximal $L_2(\Omega)$ -realisations, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad} &: D(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega), & u &\mapsto \text{Gradgrad} u, \\ \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}} &: D(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}) \subset L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega), & S &\mapsto \text{Rot} S, \\ \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}} &: D(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}}) \subset L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), & T &\mapsto \text{Div} T, \\ \mathbb{T}\text{Grad} &: D(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}) \subset L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega), & v &\mapsto \text{dev Grad} v, \\ \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}} &: D(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}}) \subset L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega), & T &\mapsto \text{sym Rot} T, \\ \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}} &: D(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}) \subset L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega), & S &\mapsto \text{divDiv} S, \end{aligned}$$

which are densely defined and closed (unbounded) linear operators and form the two (formally primal and dual) biharmonic complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\xrightarrow{\dots} L^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}} L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}} L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}}} L^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\dots} \dots, \\ \dots &\xleftarrow{\dots} L^2(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}} L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}}} L_{\mathbb{T}}^2(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}} L^2(\Omega) \xleftarrow{\dots} \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and compare [4] for the complex properties.

Finally, the operators

$$\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}, \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}, \mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}, \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}, \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}$$

from Section 2.1 are the restrictions of

$$\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}, \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}}, \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T}\text{Grad}, \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}}, \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}}$$

to their domains of definition

$$D(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}), D(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}), D(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}), D(\mathbb{T}\text{Grad}_{\Gamma_t}), D(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}), D(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}),$$

which are the closures of $C_{\Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $C_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $C_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the corresponding graph norms, respectively.

APPENDIX C: SOME PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In [4, Theorem 3.10], we have shown the stated results for $\Gamma_t = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_t = \emptyset$, which is also a crucial ingredient of this proof. Note that in these two special cases always “strong = weak” holds as $A_n^{**} = \overline{A_n} = A_n$ and that this argument fails in the remaining cases of mixed boundary conditions. Therefore, let $\emptyset \subsetneq \Gamma_t \subsetneq \Gamma$. Moreover, recall the notion of an extendable domain from [1, Section 3]. In particular, $\hat{\Omega}$ and the extended domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ are topologically trivial.

- Let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$. By definition, S can be extended through Γ_t by zero to the larger domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ yielding

$$\tilde{S} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \emptyset, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, 0}^k(\text{Rot}, \tilde{\Omega}).$$

By [4, Theorem 3.10, Remark 3.11] and Stein’s or Calderon’s extension theorem—see also [1, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4] for the fact that the respective potentials are already defined on the whole of \mathbb{R}^3 —there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u} = \tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Since $\tilde{S} = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, \tilde{u} must be a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{P}^1$ in $\hat{\Omega}$. Far outside of $\tilde{\Omega}$, we modify p by a cut-off function such that the resulting function \tilde{p} is compactly supported and $\tilde{p}|_{\hat{\Omega}} = p$. Note that \tilde{p} depends continuously on S by Poincaré’s estimate. Then $u := \tilde{u} - \tilde{p} \in \mathbf{H}^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $u|_{\hat{\Omega}} = 0$. Hence, u belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$ and depends continuously on S . Moreover, u satisfies $\text{Gradgrad } u = \text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u} = \tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, in particular $\text{Gradgrad } u = S$ in Ω . We put $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}, \text{Gradgrad}, \Gamma_t}^k S := u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$.

- Let $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$. By definition, T can be extended through Γ_t by zero to $\tilde{\Omega}$ giving

$$\tilde{T} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \emptyset, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^k(\text{Div}, \tilde{\Omega}).$$

By [4, Theorem 3.10], there exists $\tilde{S} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{Rot} \tilde{S} = \tilde{T}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Since $\tilde{T} = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, that is, $\tilde{S}|_{\hat{\Omega}} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, 0}^{k+1}(\text{Rot}, \hat{\Omega})$, we get again by [4, Theorem 3.10] (or the first part of this proof) $\tilde{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{k+3}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u} = \tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then $S := \tilde{S} - \text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u}$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies $S|_{\hat{\Omega}} = 0$. Thus, $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and depends continuously on T . Furthermore, $\text{Rot } S = \text{Rot } \tilde{S} = \tilde{T}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, in particular $\text{Rot } S = T$ in Ω . We set $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}, \text{Rot}, \Gamma_t}^k T := S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

- Let $v \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)$. By definition, v can be extended through Γ_t by zero to $\tilde{\Omega}$ defining $\tilde{v} \in \mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{\Omega})$. Theorem 3.10 of [4] yields $\tilde{T} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{Div } \tilde{T} = \tilde{v}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. As $\tilde{v} = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, that is, $\tilde{T}|_{\hat{\Omega}} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^{k+1}(\text{Div}, \hat{\Omega})$, we get again by [4, Theorem 3.10] (or the second part of this proof) $\tilde{S} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{Rot } \tilde{S} = \tilde{T}$ holds in $\hat{\Omega}$. Then $T := \tilde{T} - \text{Rot } \tilde{S}$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $T|_{\hat{\Omega}} = 0$. Hence, T belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and depends continuously on v . Furthermore, $\text{Div } T = \text{Div } \tilde{T} = \tilde{v}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, in particular $\text{Div } T = v$ in Ω . Finally, we define $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}, \text{Div}, \Gamma_t}^k v := T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$.
- Let $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$. By definition, T can be extended through Γ_t by zero to $\tilde{\Omega}$ yielding

$$\tilde{T} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \emptyset, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^k(\text{symRot}, \tilde{\Omega}).$$

By [4, Theorem 3.10], there exists $\tilde{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{devGrad } \tilde{v} = \tilde{T}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Since $\tilde{T} = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, \tilde{v} must be a Raviart–Thomas field $r \in \mathbb{RT}$ in $\hat{\Omega}$. Far outside of $\tilde{\Omega}$, we modify r by a cut-off function such that the resulting vector field \tilde{r} is compactly supported and $\tilde{r}|_{\hat{\Omega}} = r$. Then $v := \tilde{v} - \tilde{r} \in \mathbf{H}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $v|_{\hat{\Omega}} = 0$. Hence, v belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and depends continuously on T . Moreover, v satisfies $\text{devGrad } v = \text{devGrad } \tilde{v} = \tilde{T}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, in particular $\text{devGrad } v = T$ in Ω . We put $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}, \text{Grad}, \Gamma_t}^k T := v \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

- Let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$. By definition, S can be extended through Γ_t by zero to $\tilde{\Omega}$ giving

$$\tilde{S} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \emptyset, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \tilde{\Omega}) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, 0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \tilde{\Omega}).$$

By [4, Theorem 3.10], there exists $\tilde{T} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{symRot } \tilde{T} = \tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Since $\tilde{S} = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, that is, $\tilde{T}|_{\hat{\Omega}} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, 0}^{k+1}(\text{symRot}, \hat{\Omega})$, we get again by [4, Theorem 3.10] (or the fourth part of this proof) $\tilde{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{devGrad } \tilde{v} = \tilde{T}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then $T := \tilde{T} - \text{devGrad } \tilde{v}$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and satisfies $T|_{\hat{\Omega}} = 0$. Thus, $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and

depends continuously on S . Furthermore, $\text{symRot } T = \text{symRot } \tilde{T} = \tilde{S}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, in particular $\text{symRot } T = S$ in Ω . We set $\mathcal{P}_{\text{SymRot}_T, \Gamma_t}^k S := T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

- Let $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)$. By definition, u can be extended through Γ_t by zero to $\tilde{\Omega}$ defining $\tilde{u} \in \mathbf{H}^k(\tilde{\Omega})$. Theorem 3.10 of [4] yields $\tilde{S} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{divDiv } \tilde{S} = \tilde{u}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$. As $\tilde{u} = 0$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, that is, $\tilde{S}|_{\hat{\Omega}} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, 0}^{k+2}(\text{divDiv}, \hat{\Omega})$, we get again by [4, Theorem 3.10] (or the fifth part of this proof) $\tilde{T} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+3}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\text{symRot } \tilde{T} = \tilde{S}$ holds in $\hat{\Omega}$. Then $S := \tilde{S} - \text{symRot } \tilde{T}$ belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $S|_{\hat{\Omega}} = 0$. Hence, S belongs to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$ and depends continuously on u . Furthermore, $\text{divDiv } S = \text{divDiv } \tilde{S} = \tilde{u}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$, in particular $\text{divDiv } S = u$ in Ω . Finally, we define $\mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_S, \Gamma_t}^k u := S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$.

The assertion about the compact supports is trivial. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.11. According to [6, Section 4.2] (cf. [7, Section 4.2], [1, Lemma 3.1], [2], or [3]), let (U_ℓ, φ_ℓ) be a partition of unity for Ω , such that

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{\ell=-L}^L \Omega_\ell, \quad \Omega_\ell := \Omega \cap U_\ell, \quad \varphi_\ell \in \mathbf{C}_{\partial U_\ell}^\infty(U_\ell),$$

and such that $(\Omega_\ell, \hat{\Gamma}_{t, \ell})$ are extendable bounded strong Lipschitz pairs. Recall

$$\Sigma_\ell := \partial\Omega_\ell \setminus \Gamma, \quad \Gamma_{t, \ell} := \Gamma_t \cap U_\ell, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{t, \ell} := \text{int}(\Gamma_{t, \ell} \cup \bar{\Sigma}_\ell).$$

- Let $k \geq 0$ and let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$. Then by definition, $S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_{t, \ell}}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega_\ell)$ and we decompose by Corollary 3.4

$$S|_{\Omega_\ell} = S_{\ell, 1} + \text{Gradgrad } u_{\ell, 0}$$

with $S_{\ell, 1} := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}, \text{Rot}_S, \Gamma_{t, \ell}}^{k, 1} S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_{t, \ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $u_{\ell, 0} := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}, \text{Rot}_S, \Gamma_{t, \ell}}^{k, 0} S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_{t, \ell}}^{k+2}(\Omega_\ell)$. Lemma 3.9 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\ell S|_{\Omega_\ell} &= \varphi_\ell S_{\ell, 1} + \varphi_\ell \text{Gradgrad } u_{\ell, 0} \\ &= \overbrace{\varphi_\ell S_{\ell, 1} - 2\text{sym}((\text{grad } \varphi_\ell)(\text{grad } u_{\ell, 0})^\top) - u_{\ell, 0} \text{Gradgrad } \varphi_\ell}^{=: S_\ell} \\ &\quad + \text{Gradgrad } \underbrace{(\varphi_\ell u_{\ell, 0})}_{=: u_\ell} \end{aligned}$$

with $S_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \hat{\Gamma}_{t, \ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $u_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_{t, \ell}}^{k+2}(\Omega_\ell)$. Extending S_ℓ and u_ℓ by zero to Ω gives tensor fields $\tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{u}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \varphi_\ell S|_{\Omega_\ell} = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{S}_\ell + \text{Gradgrad } \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{u}_\ell \\ &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Gradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

As all operations have been linear and continuous, we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}, \text{Rot}_S, \Gamma_t}^{k, 1} S := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}, \text{Rot}_S, \Gamma_t}^{k, 0} S := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{u}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega).$$

- Let $k \geq 0$ and let $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$. Then by definition, $T|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_{t, \ell}}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega_\ell)$ and we decompose by Corollary 3.4

$$T|_{\Omega_\ell} = T_{\ell, 1} + \text{Rot } S_{\ell, 0}$$

with $T_{\ell,1} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}}^{k,1} T|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $S_{\ell,0} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}}^{k,0} T|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Lemma 3.9 yields

$$\varphi_\ell T|_{\Omega_\ell} = \varphi_\ell T_{\ell,1} + \varphi_\ell \text{Rot } S_{\ell,0} = \underbrace{\varphi_\ell T_{\ell,1} + S_{\ell,0} \text{spngrad } \varphi_\ell}_{=: T_\ell} + \underbrace{\text{Rot}(\varphi_\ell S_{\ell,0})}_{=: S_\ell}$$

with $T_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\hat{\Gamma}_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $S_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\hat{\Gamma}_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Extending T_ℓ and S_ℓ by zero to Ω gives tensor fields $\tilde{T}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \varphi_\ell T|_{\Omega_\ell} = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{T}_\ell + \text{Rot} \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{S}_\ell \\ &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

As all operations have been linear and continuous, we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} T := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{T}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} T := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega).$$

- Let $k \geq 0$ and let $T \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$. Then by definition, $T|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega_\ell)$ and we decompose by Corollary 3.4

$$T|_{\Omega_\ell} = T_{\ell,1} + \text{devGrad } v_{\ell,0}$$

with $T_{\ell,1} := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}}^{k,1} T|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $v_{\ell,0} := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}}^{k,0} T|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Lemma 3.9 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\ell T|_{\Omega_\ell} &= \varphi_\ell T_{\ell,1} + \varphi_\ell \text{devGrad } v_{\ell,0} \\ &= \underbrace{\varphi_\ell T_{\ell,1} + \text{dev}(v_{\ell,0}(\text{grad } \varphi_\ell)^\top)}_{=: T_\ell} + \underbrace{\text{devGrad}(\varphi_\ell v_{\ell,0})}_{=: v_\ell} \end{aligned}$$

with $T_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\hat{\Gamma}_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $v_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Extending T_ℓ and v_ℓ by zero to Ω gives tensor fields $\tilde{T}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{v}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \varphi_\ell T|_{\Omega_\ell} = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{T}_\ell + \text{devGrad} \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{v}_\ell \\ &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

As all operations have been linear and continuous, we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} T := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{T}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,0} T := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{v}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega).$$

- Let $k \geq 1$ and let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$. Then by definition, $S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega_\ell)$ and we decompose by Corollary 3.7

$$S|_{\Omega_\ell} = S_{\ell,1} + \text{symRot } T_{\ell,0}$$

with $S_{\ell,1} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\ell}}^{k,k-1,1} S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $T_{\ell,0} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\ell}}^{k,k-1,0} S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\ell}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\ell S|_{\Omega_\ell} &= \varphi_\ell S_{\ell,1} + \varphi_\ell \text{symRot } T_{\ell,0} \\ &= \underbrace{\varphi_\ell S_{\ell,1} + \text{sym}(T_{\ell,0} \text{spngrad } \varphi_\ell)}_{=: S_\ell} + \underbrace{\text{symRot}(\varphi_\ell T_{\ell,0})}_{=: T_\ell} \end{aligned} \tag{C1}$$

with $S_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $T_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Extending S_ℓ and T_ℓ by zero to Ω gives fields $\tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{T}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \varphi_\ell S|_{\Omega_\ell} = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{S}_\ell + \text{symRot} \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{T}_\ell \\ &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

As all operations have been linear and continuous, we set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,1} S := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}}^{k,k-1,0} S := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L \tilde{T}_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega).$$

- Let $k \geq 0$ and let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$. Then by definition, $S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_{t,\ell}}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega_\ell)$ and we decompose by Corollary 3.4

$$S|_{\Omega_\ell} = S_{\ell,1} + \text{symRot} T_{\ell,0}$$

with $S_{\ell,1} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_{t,\ell}}}^{k,k-1,1} S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_{t,\ell}}^{k+2}(\Omega_\ell)$ and $T_{\ell,0} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_{t,\ell}}}^{k,k-1,0} S|_{\Omega_\ell} \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_{t,\ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$. Now we follow the arguments from (C1). Note that still only $S_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ holds, that is, we have lost one order of regularity for S_ℓ . Nevertheless, we get

$$S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega),$$

and all operations have been linear and continuous. But this implies by the previous step

$$S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega).$$

Again, by the previous step, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &\in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T}, \Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S}, \Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

and all operations have been linear and continuous.

It remains to prove the assertions on the operators devGrad and Gradgrad.

- Let $v \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega)$. Then by Corollary 3.6,

$$\varphi_\ell v \in \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega_\ell) = \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^k(\text{devGrad}, \Omega_\ell) = \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell).$$

Extending $\varphi_\ell v$ by zero to Ω yields $v_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $v = \sum_{\ell} \varphi_\ell v = \sum_{\ell} v_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

- Let $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$. Then by Corollary 3.6,

$$\varphi_\ell u \in \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega_\ell) = \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^k(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega_\ell) = \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k+2}(\Omega_\ell).$$

Extending $\varphi_\ell u$ by zero to Ω yields $u_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$ and $u = \sum_{\ell} \varphi_\ell u = \sum_{\ell} u_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$.

- Let $u \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega)$. Then $\varphi_\ell u \in \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k,k-1}(\text{Gradgrad}, \Omega_\ell) = \mathbf{H}_{\hat{\Gamma}_{t,\ell}}^{k+1}(\Omega_\ell)$ by (7). Extending $\varphi_\ell u$ by zero to Ω yields $u_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $u = \sum_{\ell} \varphi_\ell u = \sum_{\ell} u_\ell \in \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

The proof is finished.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Note that these types of compact embeddings are independent of ε and μ (cf. [5, Lemma 5.1]). So, let $\varepsilon = \mu = \text{id}$. Lemma 3.10 (for $k = 0$) yields, for example, the bounded regular decomposition

$$D(A_1) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) + \text{Gradgrad } H_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega)$$

with $H_1^+ = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega)$ and $H_0^+ = H_{\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega)$ and $H_1 = L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)$, $H_0 = L^2(\Omega)$. Rellich's selection theorem and [3, Corollary 2.12] (cf. [1, Lemma 2.22]) yield that $D(A_1) \cap D(A_0^*) \hookrightarrow H_1$ is compact. Analogously, we show the compactness of $D(A_2) \cap D(A_1^*) \hookrightarrow H_2$ using, for example, the bounded regular decomposition $D(A_2) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}(\text{Div}, \Omega) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega) + \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.16. We only show the representations for $R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)$ and $R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)$. The others follow analogously.

- By Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.11, we have

$$R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega). \tag{C2}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) &\subset H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}} \\ &= H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}} = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since by Theorem 3.15 (iv)

$$R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}. \tag{C3}$$

Thus, it remains to show

$$H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}} \subset \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \quad k \geq 1.$$

For this, let $k \geq 1$ and $T \in H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,n,\Gamma_t,\mu}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}}$. By (C3) and (C2), we have

$$T \in R\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right) = \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega),$$

and hence there is $S_1 \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\Omega)$ such that $\text{Rot } S_1 = T$. We see $S_1 \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$. Hence, we are done for $k = 1$. For $k \geq 2$, we have $T \in \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = \text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega)$ by (C2). Thus there is $S_2 \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega)$ such that $\text{Rot}S_2 = T$. Then $S_2 \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$, and we are done for $k = 2$. After finitely many steps, we observe that T belongs to $\text{Rot } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$.

- By Lemma 3.10 and Corollary 3.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{divDiv } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) &\subset \text{divDiv } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right) \\ &\subset \text{divDiv } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{divDiv } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = \text{divDiv } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = \text{divDiv } H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega). \tag{C4}$$

Moreover,

$$R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) \subset H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}_{\Gamma_t}^1)^{\perp_{L^2(\Omega)}} = H_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}\right),$$

since

$$R(\operatorname{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) = L^2(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (\text{C5})$$

Thus, it remains to show

$$\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp L^2(\Omega)} \subset \operatorname{divDiv} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega), \quad k \geq 1.$$

For this, let $k \geq 1$ and $u \in \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap (\mathbb{P}^1_{\Gamma_n})^{\perp L^2(\Omega)}$. By (C5) and (C4), we have

$$u \in R(\operatorname{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) = \operatorname{divDiv} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega),$$

and hence there is $S_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\Omega)$ such that $\operatorname{divDiv} S_1 = u$. We see $S_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$ resp. $S_1 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^1(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$ if $k = 1$. Hence, we are done for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$. For $k \geq 2$, we have $u \in \operatorname{divDiv} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^2(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) = \operatorname{divDiv} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^4(\Omega)$ by (C4). Thus, there is $S_2 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^4(\Omega)$ such that $\operatorname{divDiv} S_2 = u$. Then $S_2 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^4(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$ resp. $S_2 \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^3(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$ if $k = 3$, and we are done for $k = 3$ and $k = 4$. After finitely many steps, we observe that u belongs to $\operatorname{divDiv} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$, finishing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.19. We follow in close lines the proof of [3, Theorem 4.11] (cf. [1, Theorem 4.16] and [2, Theorem 3.19]), using induction. The case $k = 0$ is given by Theorem 3.12. Let $k \geq 1$ and let (S_ℓ) be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$. Note that

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k(\Omega).$$

By assumption and w.l.o.g., we have that (S_ℓ) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^{k-1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, for all $|\alpha| = k$, we have $\partial^\alpha S_\ell \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$ with $\operatorname{Rot} \partial^\alpha S_\ell = \partial^\alpha \operatorname{Rot} S_\ell$ and $\operatorname{divDiv} \partial^\alpha S_\ell = \partial^\alpha \operatorname{divDiv} S_\ell$ by Lemma 3.18. Hence, $(\partial^\alpha S_\ell)$ is a bounded sequence in the zero order space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$. Thus, w.l.o.g. $(\partial^\alpha S_\ell)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)$ by Theorem 3.12. Finally, (S_ℓ) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{H}^k \mathbb{S}, \Gamma(\Omega)$. Analogously, we show the assertion for the second compact embedding. \square

Proof of Remark 3.20. Let (S_ℓ) be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$. In particular, (S_ℓ) is bounded in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega) \cap \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k-1}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega)$. According to Lemma 3.10, that is,

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k,k-1}(\operatorname{divDiv}, \Omega) = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \operatorname{symRot} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega),$$

we decompose $S_\ell = \tilde{S}_\ell + \operatorname{symRot} T_\ell$ with $\tilde{S}_\ell \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $T_\ell \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$. By the boundedness of the regular decomposition operators, (\tilde{S}_ℓ) and (T_ℓ) are bounded in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega)$, respectively. W.l.o.g. (\tilde{S}_ℓ) and (T_ℓ) converge in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega)$, respectively. For all $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k$, Lemma 3.18 yields $(\partial^\alpha S_\ell) \subset \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}(\operatorname{Rot}, \Omega)$ and $\operatorname{Rot} \partial^\alpha S_\ell = \partial^\alpha \operatorname{Rot} S_\ell$. With the notations $S_{\ell,l} := S_\ell - S_l$, $\tilde{S}_{\ell,l} := \tilde{S}_\ell - \tilde{S}_l$, and $T_{\ell,l} := T_\ell - T_l$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{\ell,l}|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}^2 &= \langle S_{\ell,l}, \tilde{S}_{\ell,l} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + \langle S_{\ell,l}, \operatorname{symRot} T_{\ell,l} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle S_{\ell,l}, \tilde{S}_{\ell,l} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + \langle \operatorname{Rot} S_{\ell,l}, T_{\ell,l} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} \leq c \left(|\tilde{S}_{\ell,l}|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + |T_{\ell,l}|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)} \right) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.29. Theorem 3.25 and (15) show

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) &= \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the directness of the second sum, let

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{d_{\Omega,\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \lambda_{\ell} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \in \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \cap \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega).$$

Then $0 = \sum_{\ell} \lambda_{\ell} \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \in \text{Lin } \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}$ and therefore $\lambda_{\ell} = 0$ for all ℓ as $\pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n \varepsilon}^k(\Omega)$ by Theorem 3.28. Concerning the boundedness of the decompositions, let

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \ni S = \text{Gradgrad } u + B, \quad u \in \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega), \quad B \in \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega).$$

By Theorem 3.24 $\text{Gradgrad } u \in R(\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k)$ and $\tilde{u} := \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma_t}^k \text{Gradgrad } u \in \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$ solves $\text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u} = \text{Gradgrad } u$ with $|\tilde{u}|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Gradgrad } u|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}$. Therefore,

$$|\tilde{u}|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}(\Omega)} + |B|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \leq c \left(|\text{Gradgrad } u|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + |B|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \right) \leq c \left(|S|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + |B|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \right).$$

Note that the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon})} : \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) &\rightarrow \text{Lin } \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n \varepsilon}^k(\Omega) \\ \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} &\mapsto \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \end{aligned}$$

is a topological isomorphism (between finite dimensional spaces and with arbitrary norms). Thus,

$$|B|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \leq c |B|_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)} \leq c \left| \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) B \right|_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)} = c \left| \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) S \right|_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)} \leq c |S|_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)} \leq c |S|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}.$$

Finally, we see $S = \text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u} + B \in \text{Gradgrad } \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega)$ and

$$|\tilde{u}|_{\mathbb{H}^{k+2}(\Omega)} + |B|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \leq c |S|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}.$$

The other assertions for $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$ follow analogously. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.31. For $k = 0$ and $S \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n \varepsilon}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \perp_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle S, \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \right\rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} = \left\langle \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) S, \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \right\rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\langle S, \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n \varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \right\rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $S = 0$ by Theorem 3.28. Analogously, we see for $S \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n \varepsilon}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \perp_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle S, \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \right\rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S}}^2(\Omega)} = \left\langle \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) S, \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \right\rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\langle S, \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \right\rangle_{L_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and thus $S = 0$ again by Theorem 3.28. According to (14), we can decompose

$$\begin{aligned} N(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) &= R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) \oplus_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega), \\ N(\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) &= R(\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \oplus_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\varepsilon}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

which shows by (17) the other two assertions. Let $k \geq 0$. The case $k = 0$ and Theorem 3.16 show

$$\begin{aligned} N(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)}} &= \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \cap N(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S},\varepsilon}(\Omega)}} \\ &= \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\Omega) \cap R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}) \\ &= R(\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k) = \varepsilon^{-1}\operatorname{symRot}\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ N(\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)}} &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap N(\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \cap \mathcal{B}^{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega)^{\perp_{L^2_{\mathbb{S}}(\Omega)}} \\ &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\Omega) \cap R(\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}) \\ &= R(\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Gradgrad}_{\Gamma_t}^k) = \operatorname{Gradgrad}\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we prove the assertions for the remaining $L^2_{\mathbb{T},\mu}(\Omega)$ -related spaces. \square

APPENDIX D: SOME TECHNICAL REMARKS

Remark D.1 (Bounded regular decompositions from bounded regular potentials). It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Rot}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \operatorname{Rot}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ \operatorname{Div}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \operatorname{Div}\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ \operatorname{symRot}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \operatorname{symRot}\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} = \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} &= \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} = \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the kernels $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{Rot},\Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{Div},\Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{symRot},\Omega)$, and $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div},\Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div},\Omega)$ are invariant under $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1}$, respectively. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}) &= R(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k), & \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} \mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ R(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}) &= R(\mathcal{P}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k), & \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} \operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ R(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}) &= R(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^k), & \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} \mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ R(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}) &= R(\mathcal{P}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^k), & \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1} \left(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k, \\ R(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1}) &= R(\mathcal{P}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k}), & \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} &= \mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1} \left(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k} \right)_{\perp}^{-1} \operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1}$ coincide with $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k,1}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}^{k+1,k,1}$ on the reduced domains of definition

$$D\left(\left(\mathbb{T}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_{\perp}\right), D\left(\left(\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_{\perp}\right), D\left(\left(\mathbb{S}\operatorname{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_{\perp}\right), D\left(\left(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_{\perp}\right), D\left(\left(\operatorname{div}\operatorname{Div}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right)_{\perp}\right),$$

respectively. Thus, $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{Q}_{Div_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, and $\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k,1}}^{k+1,k,1}$ may differ from $Q_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $Q_{Div_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $Q_{\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $Q_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, and $Q_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k,1}}^{k+1,k,1}$ only on the kernels

$$N\left(\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega), \quad N\left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega), \quad N\left(\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega),$$

and $N\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k\right) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, $N\left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}\right) = H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{k+1}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, respectively.

Remark D.2 (Projections). Recall Theorem 3.25, for example, for $\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k$

$$H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) = R\left(\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k\right).$$

- (i) $\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k = 1 - \tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$ are projections.
- (i') $\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k \tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} = 0$.
- (ii) For $I_{\pm} := \tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} \pm \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k$, it holds $I_{+} = I_{+}^2 = \text{id}_{H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}$. Therefore, I_{+} , I_{-} , as well as $I_{-} = 2\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} - \text{id}_{H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}$ are topological isomorphisms on $H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$.
- (iii) There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $S \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$

$$c \left| \tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} S \right|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+2}(\Omega)} \leq |\text{divDiv } S|_{H^k(\Omega)} \leq |S|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)},$$

$$\left| \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k S \right|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} \leq |S|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)} + \left| \tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} S \right|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)}.$$

- (iii') For $S \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$, we have $\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} S = 0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k S = S$. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^k$ is onto.

Similar results to (i)–(iii') hold also for $\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k$, $\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k$, and $\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}$. In particular, $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{Q}_{Div_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k,1}}^{k+1,k,1}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{Div_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k,1}}^{k+1,k,1}$ are projections and there exists $c > 0$ such that for all $S \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$, $T \in H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)$, $\hat{T} \in H_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)$, and $\hat{S} \in H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)$

$$\left| \tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} S \right|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Rot } S|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^k(\Omega)}, \quad \left| \tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{S}Rot_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} \hat{T} \right|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{symRot } \hat{T}|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^k(\Omega)},$$

$$\left| \tilde{Q}_{Div_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} T \right|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{Div } T|_{H^k(\Omega)}, \quad \left| \tilde{Q}_{divDiv_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1,k,1}}^{k+1,k,1} \hat{S} \right|_{H_{\mathbb{S}}^{k+2}(\Omega)} \leq c |\text{divDiv } \hat{S}|_{H^k(\Omega)}.$$

Remark D.3 (Bounded regular direct decompositions). By Theorem 3.29, we have, for example,

$$H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = R\left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Gradgrad } H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$$

$$= H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Gradgrad } H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$$

with bounded linear regular direct decomposition operators

$$\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow R\left(\tilde{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}\right) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega),$$

$$\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,\infty} : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}(\Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,0}^{\infty}(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \subset H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega),$$

$$\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,0} : H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_t}^{k+2}(\Omega)$$

satisfying $\hat{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} + \hat{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,\infty} + \text{Gradgrad } \hat{Q}_{\mathbb{T}Rot_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,0} = \text{id}_{H_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)}$.

A closer inspection of the proof allows for a more precise description of these bounded decomposition operators. For this, let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega)$. According to Theorem 3.25 and Remark D.2, we decompose

$$S = S_R + S_N := \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,1} S + \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k S \in R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k\right)$$

with $R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k\right) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) = N\left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k\right)$. By Theorem 3.29 we further decompose

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma,0}^k(\text{Rot}, \Omega) \ni S_N = \text{Gradgrad } \tilde{u} + \text{BinGradgrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}^{k+2}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}(\Omega).$$

Then $\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)} S_N = \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)} B \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma,\Gamma_n,\epsilon}(\Omega)$ and thus

$$B = I_{\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)}}^{-1} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)} S_N \in \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}(\Omega).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma}^k \text{Gradgrad} \tilde{u} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma}^k (S_N - B) \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma}^k \left(1 - I_{\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)}}^{-1} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)} \right) S_N. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,1} &= \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,1} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,1} \left(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k \right)_{\perp}^{-1} \mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}^k, \\ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,\infty} &= I_{\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)}}^{-1} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k, \\ \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,0} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Gradgrad},\Gamma}^k \left(1 - I_{\pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)}}^{-1} \pi_{N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}\epsilon)} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^k = 1 - \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma}}^{k,1}$. Analogously, we have for the other spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{Rot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma}^{k+1}(\Omega) + \text{devGrad } \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) &= R\left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}^{k+1,k,1}\right) \dot{+} \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \dot{+} \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) \\ &= \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) + \text{symRot } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

with bounded linear regular direct decomposition operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow R\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1}\right) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,\infty} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{Div}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow R\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1}\right) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,\infty} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,0}^\infty(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma_t}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow R\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1}\right) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,\infty} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+1}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,1} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow R\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,1}\right) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,\infty} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n,0}^\infty(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega), \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,0} &: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k+2}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} + \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,\infty} + \text{Rot } \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{Div}, \Omega)}, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} + \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,\infty} + \text{devGrad } \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k(\text{symRot}, \Omega)}, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} + \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,\infty} + \text{symRot } \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,1} + \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,\infty} + \text{symRot } \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,0} &= \text{id}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}(\text{divDiv}, \Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^k \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} \left(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} \text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,\infty} &= I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k)}^{-1} \pi_N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,0} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^k \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,1} \left(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} \mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,\infty} &= I_{\pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \mu)}^{-1} \pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \mu) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k,0} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{T}\text{Grad},\Gamma_t}^k \left(1 - I_{\pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \mu)}^{-1} \pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k \mu)\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^k \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,1} \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k\right)_\perp^{-1} \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,\infty} &= I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k)}^{-1} \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k,0} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^k \left(1 - I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k)}^{-1} \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k)\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^k, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,1} &= \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,1} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k} \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k} = \mathcal{Q}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,1} \left(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}\right)_\perp^{-1} \text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,\infty} &= I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k)}^{-1} \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k}, \\
\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k,0} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^{k+1} \left(1 - I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k)}^{-1} \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}^k)\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^{k+1,k}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^k}^k &= 1 - \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{k,1}}, & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^k}^k &= 1 - \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k,1}}, \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^k}^k &= 1 - \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}^{k,1}}, & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k}}^{k+1,k} &= 1 - \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{k+1,k,1}},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{\varepsilon})} &: \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{\varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \\ &\quad \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}} \mapsto \pi_N(\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}^{\varepsilon}) \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}}, \\ I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t})} &: \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \\ &\quad \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}} \mapsto \pi_N(\mathbb{T}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_t}) \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{divDiv}_{\mathbb{S},\Gamma_n}}, \\ I_{\pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{\mu})} &: \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{\mu}) \mathcal{B}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \\ &\quad \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}} \mapsto \pi_N(\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}^{\mu}) \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}}, \\ I_{\pi_N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t})} &: \text{Lin } \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Lin } \pi_N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) \mu^{-1} \mathcal{B}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}(\Omega) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t,\Gamma_n,\mu}(\Omega) \\ &\quad \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}} \mapsto \pi_N(\mathbb{S}\text{Rot}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_t}) \mu^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{\ell}^{\text{Div}_{\mathbb{T},\Gamma_n}}.\end{aligned}$$