

On Abstract Friedrichs Systems and Some of their Applications.

41. Nordwestdeutsches Funktionalanalysis-Kolloquium an der
U D-E in Essen

Rainer Picard
Department of Mathematics
TU Dresden, Germany

Essen 2019, Germany

Introduction

Key idea: exponential weight function $t \mapsto \exp(-\rho t)$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, generates a weighted L^2 -space $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\rho,0,0}$, norm: $|\cdot|_{\rho,0,0}$), X a **real** Hilbert space,

$$(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \varphi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle_H \exp(-2\rho t) dt.$$

Time-differentiation ∂_t as a closed operator in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{C}_1(\mathbb{R}, H) &\subseteq H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H) \rightarrow H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H), \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi'. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Time-differentiation ∂_t is a *normal* operator in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$

$$\partial_t = \mathfrak{sym}(\partial_t) + \mathfrak{skw}(\partial_t) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t + \partial_t^*) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \partial_t^*)$$

with $\mathfrak{sym}(\partial_t)$ self-adjoint and $\mathfrak{skw}(\partial_t)$ skew-selfadjoint and commuting resolvents:

$$\mathfrak{sym}(\partial_t) = \rho.$$

For $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$: continuous invertibility of ∂_t . For $\rho \in]0, \infty[$:

$$\mathfrak{sym}(\partial_t) = \rho > 0.$$

Dynamic abstract Friedrichs system (1954,1958): For A skew-selfadjoint in a real Hilbert space H

$$(\partial_t M_0 + M_1 + A) U = F$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M_0 + M_1 + A &= \\ &= (\rho M_0 + \text{sym}(M_1)) + ((\partial_t - \rho) M_0 + \text{skew}(M_1) + A) \\ &= E_0 + \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

E_0 symmetric strictly positive definite, \mathcal{A} skew-selfadjoint in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$. W.l.o.g. $E_0 = 1$, since we have the congruence

$$\sqrt{E_0} \left(1 + \sqrt{E_0^{-1}} \mathcal{A} \sqrt{E_0^{-1}} \right) \sqrt{E_0} = E_0 + \mathcal{A},$$

and note that

$$\sqrt{E_0^{-1}} \mathcal{A} \sqrt{E_0^{-1}}$$

remains skew-selfadjoint. Such dynamic abstract Friedrichs systems are of interest in the following. Indeed, our core topic focuses on the **skew-selfadjointness of the operator A** as the center-piece of abstract Friedrichs systems.

The Time Derivative as a Normal Operator

Fourier-Laplace transform: unitary extension of

$$\dot{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}, X) \subseteq H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, X) \rightarrow H_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}, X) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, X)$$

$$\varphi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\rho \varphi$$

with $\mathcal{L}_\rho \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-ixt) \exp(-\rho t) \varphi(t) dt$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

is spectral representation for $\Im m \partial_t$:

$$\Im m \partial_t = \frac{1}{i} \text{skew} \partial_t = \mathcal{L}_\rho^{-1} \mathbf{m}_0 \mathcal{L}_\rho$$

and so

$$\partial_t = \mathcal{L}_\rho^{-1} (i \mathbf{m}_0 + \rho) \mathcal{L}_\rho.$$

Here \mathbf{m}_0 is the selfadjoint multiplication-by-argument operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, X)$:

$$(\mathbf{m}_0 \varphi)(x) = x \varphi(x)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in \dot{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Material Law Operator:

$$\mathcal{M} = M(\partial_t^{-1}).$$

It is
$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) := \mathcal{L}_\rho^{-1} M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathfrak{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \mathcal{L}_\rho,$$

where
$$M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathfrak{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \Phi := \left(\omega \mapsto M\left(\frac{1}{i\omega + \rho}\right) \Phi(\omega)\right)$$

for $\Phi \in \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Here $(M(z))_{z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(r, r)}$ is a uniformly bounded, holomorphic family of linear operators in H with $r \geq \frac{1}{2\rho} > 0$. The operator $M(\partial_t^{-1})$ will be referred to as the **material law operator**. The operator-valued function M will be referred to as the **material law function**.

Material Law Operator:

$$\mathcal{M} = M(\partial_t^{-1}).$$

It is
$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) := \mathcal{L}_\rho^{-1} M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathbf{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \mathcal{L}_\rho,$$

where
$$M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathbf{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \Phi := \left(\omega \mapsto M\left(\frac{1}{i\omega + \rho}\right) \Phi(\omega)\right)$$

for $\Phi \in \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Here $(M(z))_{z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(r, r)}$ is a uniformly bounded, holomorphic family of linear operators in H with $r \geq \frac{1}{2\rho} > 0$. The operator $M(\partial_t^{-1})$ will be referred to as the **material law operator**. The operator-valued function M will be referred to as the **material law function**.

Material Law Operator:

$$\mathcal{M} = M(\partial_t^{-1}).$$

It is
$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) := \mathcal{L}_\rho^{-1} M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathbf{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \mathcal{L}_\rho,$$

where
$$M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathbf{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \Phi := \left(\omega \mapsto M\left(\frac{1}{i\omega + \rho}\right) \Phi(\omega)\right)$$

for $\Phi \in \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Here $(M(z))_{z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(r, r)}$ is a uniformly bounded, holomorphic family of linear operators in H with $r \geq \frac{1}{2\rho} > 0$. The operator $M(\partial_t^{-1})$ will be referred to as the **material law operator**. The operator-valued function M will be referred to as the **material law function**.

Material Law Operator:

$$\mathcal{M} = M(\partial_t^{-1}).$$

It is
$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) := \mathcal{L}_\rho^{-1} M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathbf{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \mathcal{L}_\rho,$$

where
$$M\left(\frac{1}{i\mathbf{m}_0 + \rho}\right) \Phi := \left(\omega \mapsto M\left(\frac{1}{i\omega + \rho}\right) \Phi(\omega)\right)$$

for $\Phi \in \mathring{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Here $(M(z))_{z \in B_{\mathbb{C}}(r, r)}$ is a uniformly bounded, holomorphic family of linear operators in H with $r \geq \frac{1}{2\rho} > 0$. The operator $M(\partial_t^{-1})$ will be referred to as the **material law operator**. The operator-valued function M will be referred to as the **material law function**.

Basic Solution Theory $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$

Evolutionary Problem:

$$\overline{(\partial_t M(\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = F$$

When is $(\partial_t M(\partial_t^{-1}) + A)$ (and its adjoint) strictly positive definite in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ (for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$)?

Assumptions(S):

- A skew-selfadjoint in H (lifted to $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$).
- $z \mapsto M(z)$ (values in $L(H, H)$), for simplicity analytic at 0.
- $M(0) \geq 0$ selfadjoint, $\rho M(0) + \operatorname{sym}(M'(0)) \geq c_0 > 0$ (strictly positive definite) for ρ sufficiently large.

Basic Solution Theory $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$

Evolutionary Problem:

$$\overline{(\partial_t M(\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = F$$

When is $(\partial_t M(\partial_t^{-1}) + A)$ (and its adjoint) strictly positive definite in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ (for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$)?

Assumptions(S):

- A skew-selfadjoint in H (lifted to $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$),
- $z \mapsto M(z)$ (values in $L(H, H)$), for simplicity analytic at 0.
- $M(0) \geq 0$ selfadjoint, $\rho M(0) + \text{sym}(M'(0)) \geq c_0 > 0$ (strictly positive definite) for ρ sufficiently large.

Basic Solution Theory $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$

Evolutionary Problem:

$$\overline{(\partial_t M(\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = F$$

When is $(\partial_t M(\partial_t^{-1}) + A)$ (and its adjoint) strictly positive definite in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ (for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$)?

Assumptions(S):

- A skew-selfadjoint in H (lifted to $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$),
- $z \mapsto M(z)$ (values in $L(H, H)$), for simplicity analytic at 0.
- $M(0) \geq 0$ selfadjoint, $\rho M(0) + \text{sym}(M'(0)) \geq c_0 > 0$ (strictly positive definite) for ρ sufficiently large.

Basic Solution Theory $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$

Evolutionary Problem:

$$\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = F$$

When is $(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)$ (and its adjoint) strictly positive definite in $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ (for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$)?

Assumptions(S):

- A **skew-selfadjoint** in H (lifted to $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$),
- $z \mapsto M(z)$ (values in $L(H, H)$), for simplicity analytic at 0.
- $M(0) \geq 0$ **selfadjoint**, $\rho M(0) + \text{sym}(M'(0)) \geq c_0 > 0$ (strictly positive definite) for ρ sufficiently large.

Theorem

Let M and A satisfy **Assumptions (S)**. Then we have for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ that for every $f \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ there is a unique solution $U \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of the problem

$$\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = f.$$

The solution operator $\left(\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous and causal on $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$.

Causal? For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

If $F \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ vanishes on the time interval $] -\infty, a[$, then so does $\left(\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)}\right)^{-1} F$.

Theorem

Let M and A satisfy **Assumptions (S)**. Then we have for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ that for every $f \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ there is a unique solution $U \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of the problem

$$\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = f.$$

The solution operator $\left(\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous and causal on $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$.

Causal? For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

If $F \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ vanishes on the time interval $] -\infty, a[$, then so does $\left(\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)}\right)^{-1} F$.

Theorem

Let M and A satisfy **Assumptions (S)**. Then we have for all sufficiently large $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ that for every $f \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ there is a unique solution $U \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of the problem

$$\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)} U = f.$$

The solution operator $\left(\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous and causal on $H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$.

Causal? For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

If $F \in H_{\rho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ vanishes on the time interval $] -\infty, a[$, then so does $\left(\overline{(\partial_t M (\partial_t^{-1}) + A)}\right)^{-1} F$.

An Illustrative Example

Frequently,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -G^* \\ G & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where G is a closed densely defined linear operator.

We recall that we will here consider only simple material laws

$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) = M(0) + \partial_t^{-1} M'(0),$$

i.e. on the case associated with abstract Friedrichs systems:

$$(\partial_t M(0) + M'(0) + A) U = F.$$

An Illustrative Example

Consider a material law with

$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \partial_t^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (1-\varepsilon_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\varepsilon_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\}.$$

- $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 1$: $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -G^* \\ G & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 + G^*G & 0 \\ G & \partial_t \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“hyperbolic”).
- $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 0$: $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -G^* \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t + G^*G & 0 \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“parabolic”). Note that $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 1$ is analogous.
- $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 0$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -G^* \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 + G^*G & 0 \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“elliptic”).

An Illustrative Example

Consider a material law with

$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \partial_t^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (1-\varepsilon_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\varepsilon_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\}.$$

- $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 1$: $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -G^* \\ G & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 + G^*G & 0 \\ G & \partial_t \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“hyperbolic”).
- $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 0$: $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -G^* \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t + G^*G & 0 \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“parabolic”). Note that $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 1$ is analogous.
- $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 0$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -G^* \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 + G^*G & 0 \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“elliptic”).

An Illustrative Example

Consider a material law with

$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} + \partial_t^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (1-\varepsilon_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\varepsilon_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\}.$$

- $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 1$: $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -G^* \\ G & \partial_t \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 + G^*G & 0 \\ G & \partial_t \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“hyperbolic”).
- $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 0$: $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t & -G^* \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t + G^*G & 0 \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“parabolic”). Note that $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 1$ is analogous.
- $\varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 0$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -G^* \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 + G^*G & 0 \\ G & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ by a formal row operation (“elliptic”).

Four Tools for Establishing Skew-Selfadjointness

Tool 1: Abstract grad-div Systems.

For abstract grad-div systems the spatial operator A is still of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -G^* \\ G & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

but here

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ \vdots \\ G_n \end{pmatrix} : D(G) \subseteq H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_n$$

(in the standard case of grad-div systems $G_k = \hat{\partial}_k$ or $G_k = \partial_k$ but in general G_k need **not** necessarily be **closable**). Thus, the range space is a ***direct sum of real Hilbert spaces***.

Application: Acoustics with Damping Boundary Constraints

$$\text{acoustics: } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(\mathring{\text{div}})^* \\ \mathring{\text{div}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{grad} \\ \mathring{\text{div}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + A \right) \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$\kappa = \rho_* c_*^2$ bulk modulus, ρ_* mass density, c_* speed of sound.

We expand this to

$$\left(\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & (0 \ 0) \\ (0) & (\kappa^{-1} \ 0) \\ (0) & (0 \ 0) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (0 \ 0) \\ (0) & (0 \ 0) \\ (0) & (0 \ \beta_*) \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{A} \right) \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (p) \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (f) \\ h \end{pmatrix}.$$

Application: Acoustics with Damping Boundary Constraints

Here

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{array}\right)^* \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{array}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} f = n^\top f \in L^2(\partial\Omega),$$

$$(\delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} f)(\varphi) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi n^\top f \text{Vol}_{\partial\Omega} = \langle \varphi | n^\top f \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We note

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{div} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$\left(\text{grad} - \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega}^*\right) \subseteq -\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{array}\right)^* \subseteq (\text{grad } 0).$$

Application: Acoustics with Damping Boundary Constraints

What does it mean if $\begin{pmatrix} v \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} \in \text{dom} \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix}^* \right) \right)$?

From

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix}^* \subseteq (-\text{grad } 0)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{grad } p | w \rangle &= \left\langle \left(\text{grad } 0 \right) \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} | w \right\rangle = \left\langle - \begin{pmatrix} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} | w \right\rangle \\ &= - \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} | \begin{pmatrix} \text{div} \\ \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix} w \right\rangle = - \langle p | \text{div } w \rangle - \langle \tau | \delta_{\text{div}, \partial\Omega} w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\int_{\Omega} (\text{grad } p) w \text{ Vol}_{\Omega} + \int_{\Omega} p (\text{div } w) \text{ Vol}_{\Omega} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \tau (n^{\top} w) \text{ Vol}_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Application: Acoustics with Damping Boundary Constraints

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{grad} p) w \operatorname{Vol}_{\Omega} + \int_{\Omega} p (\operatorname{div} w) \operatorname{Vol}_{\Omega} = \int_{\partial\Omega} p (n^{\top} w) \operatorname{Vol}_{\partial\Omega}$$

and so by comparison $\tau = -p$. Thus, we found

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ \tau \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{dom} \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div} \\ \delta_{\operatorname{div}, \partial\Omega} \end{pmatrix} \right)^* \right) \implies \begin{pmatrix} p \\ -p \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{dom} (\operatorname{grad}) \oplus L^2(\partial\Omega).$$

We formally read off as the last equation

$$-\beta_* p + n^{\top} v = h \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

yielding (a dynamic Robin type boundary condition)

$$n^{\top} \rho_*^{-1} \operatorname{grad} p + \beta_* \partial_t p = \partial_t h \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Tool 2: The Mother-Descendant Mechanism

Theorem

Let $G : D(C) \subseteq H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ be a closed densely defined linear operator, H_k , $k = 0, 1$, real Hilbert spaces. If $B_0 : H_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is a continuous linear mapping, X_0 real Hilbert space such that

GB_0^* densely defined.

Then $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} B_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -G^* \\ G & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_0^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}$ is skew-selfadjoint.

“Mother” and “descendant”. Can be repeated!

Tool 2: The Mother-Descendant Mechanism

Dirichlet boundary condition $G = \mathring{\text{grad}}$ acting on tensor fields of all ranks:

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -G^* \\ G & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{div} \\ \mathring{\text{grad}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Initial boundary value problems of classical mathematical physics can be produced from this particular “mother” operator A by choosing suitable projections for constructing “descendants”.

Application: A Connection Between Wave Phenomena.

In Nowacki's non-symmetric elasticity we are dealing with a skew-selfadjoint spatial operator of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{div}_2 \\ -\operatorname{grad}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}).$$

Here, with

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{Op}}W := (\operatorname{Op}W^\top)^\top$$

where Op denotes a matrix PDE operator, we have

$$\operatorname{grad}_1 v := \widetilde{\nabla}v = (\nabla v^\top)^\top \quad (\text{Jacobian of } v),$$

$$\operatorname{div}_2 T := \widetilde{\nabla}^\top T = (\nabla^\top T^\top)^\top.$$

Application: A Connection Between Wave Phenomena.

As descendants we obtain

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{l}_{sym}^* \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{div}_2 \\ -\mathring{\text{grad}}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{l}_{sym} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{Div} \\ -\mathring{\text{Grad}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. classical symmetric elasticity, and classical electrodynamics

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -l_0^* \mathbf{l}_{stew}^* \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{div}_2 \\ -\mathring{\text{grad}}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{l}_{stew} l_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{curl} \\ \mathring{\text{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\text{curl} = \nabla \times = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_3 & \partial_2 \\ \partial_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_2 & \partial_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $l_0 : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha_3 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 & 0 & -\alpha_1 \\ -\alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and $\mathbf{l}_{stew}^* T = \frac{1}{2} (T - T^\top) = \frac{1}{2} (T - T^*)$.

Application: A Connection Between Wave Phenomena.

Also acoustics can be obtained as a descendant from non-symmetric elasticity via

$$\overline{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{trace} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{div}_2 \\ -\mathring{\text{grad}}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{trace}^* \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{grad}_0 \\ \mathring{\text{div}}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here trace denotes the standard matrix trace and its adjoint evaluates simply to

$$\text{trace}^* p = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = p \mathbf{1}_{3 \times 3}.$$

Tool 3: Coupling of Different Physical Phenomena

Block-diagonal operator matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_n \end{pmatrix}$$

skew-selfadjoint in $H = \bigoplus_{k=0, \dots, n} H_k$, if diagonal block entries $A_k : D(A_k) \subseteq H_k \rightarrow H_k, k = 0, \dots, n$, are skew-selfadjoint.

Proper coupling: M contains off-diagonal block entries

$$M(\partial_t^{-1}) := \begin{pmatrix} M_{00}(\partial_t^{-1}) & \cdots & M_{0n}(\partial_t^{-1}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n0}(\partial_t^{-1}) & \cdots & M_{nn}(\partial_t^{-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Application: The Reissner-Mindlin Plate Equation

Coupling elasticity and acoustics

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{v}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{v}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + A$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{grad}_0 \\ \text{div}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{Div} \\ -\text{Grad} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

projection onto $\ker(\partial_3) = L^2(\Omega_0)$ assuming

$\Omega := \Omega_0 \times \mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T} =: M$ (instead of $M = \mathbb{R}^3$) we can reduce this by one spatial dimension (mother-descendant mechanism) to a (1+2)-dimensional evolutionary problem.

Application: The Reissner-Mindlin Plate Equation

The resulting evolutionary equation looks the same, but now it has to be interpreted (by dropping zero rows and columns in A and adapting the material law) in

$L^2(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^2) \oplus L^2(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}) \oplus L^2(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^2) \oplus L^2_2(\Omega_0, \mathfrak{sym}[\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}])$ with $\Omega_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

This is the Reissner-Mindlin plate system commonly used in engineering models.

Remark:(Kirchhoff-Love plate)

Letting $\kappa = 0$ and $v_2 = 0$ (in consequence destroying well-posedness for associated initial boundary value problems) and eliminating the first and third unknowns and equations and then eliminating the stress yields for isotropic homogeneous media

$$\partial_t^2 v_1 \eta + d \partial_t \eta + (2\kappa + \lambda) \Delta^2 \eta = \partial_t f.$$

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Transmutator

$$[L, C, R] := LC - CR$$

assumed to be defined on $\text{dom}(C)$. The commutator

$$[L, C] := [L, C, L]$$

$$[C, L] := -[L, C]$$

is a special case.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*)$,
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 1$, $R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 0$.

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}$.

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*)$.
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 1$, $R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 0$.

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}$.

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*)$.
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 1$, $R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} 0$.

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}$.

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*),$
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1, R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 0.$

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}.$

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*),$
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1, R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 0.$

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}.$

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*),$
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1, R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 0.$

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}.$

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K ,
 $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*),$
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1, R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 0.$

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}.$

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Let A_k , $k = 1, 2$, be closed densely defined operators from H to K , $\text{dom}(A_1 + A_2) = \text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ dense in H .

Theorem

Let $(L_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$, $(R_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in]0,1[}$ be bounded families of continuous linear mappings in K and H , respectively, and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]$ defined on $\text{dom}(A_1) \cap \text{dom}(A_2)$ such that $\overline{[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$.

Moreover,

- $L_\varepsilon^* [\text{dom}((A_1 + A_2)^*)] \subseteq \text{dom}(A_1^* + A_2^*),$
- $L_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1, R_\varepsilon^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 1$ and $[L_\varepsilon, A_1 + A_2, R_\varepsilon]^* \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+]{} 0.$

Then $(A_1 + A_2)^* = \overline{A_1^* + A_2^*}.$

Corollary

Let A_1, A_2 be skew-selfadjoint, then under the assumptions of the previous theorem we have

$\overline{A_1 + A_2}$ skew-selfadjoint.

Tool 4: Weak = Strong

Application: (acoustics in moving media) assuming that

$\mathfrak{sym} \left(\alpha \partial_3 \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$ is continuous

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \partial_3 \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{grad} \\ \text{div} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ = \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{sym} \left(\alpha \partial_3 \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) + A_1 + A_2 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A_1 = \overline{\mathfrak{skew} \left(\partial_3 \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \rho_* & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)}, \text{ (skew-selfadjoint for suitable } \alpha, \Omega)$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{grad} \\ \text{div} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_\varepsilon = L_\varepsilon = (1 + \varepsilon \partial_3)^{-1}.$$

The End

Thank You for Your Attention!