# Fast Directional Matrix-Vector Multiplications 

Analysis and Numerical Experiments
Raphael Watschinger and Günther Of
Institute of Applied Mathematics
AANMPDE12, July 1-5 2019
FUF
Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

## Outline

1. Motivation
2. Fast Directional Matrix-Vector Multiplications
3. Numerical Examples - Parameter Study
4. Conclusion

## Outline

## 1. Motivation

## 2. Fast Directional Matrix-Vector Multiplications

3. Numerical Examples - Parameter Study
4. Conclusion

Raphael Watschinger and Günther Of, Institute of Applied Mathematics

## Helmholtz equation

For $\kappa>0$ consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u+\kappa^{2} u & =0, & & \text { in } \Omega^{\text {ext }} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
u & =g, & & \text { on } \Gamma:=\partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
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For $\kappa>0$ consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u+\kappa^{2} u=0, & \text { in } \Omega^{\text {ext }} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\
u=g, & \text { on } \Gamma:=\partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

+ radiation condition,
Consider the single layer potential $\tilde{V} t$ of a function $t$ on $\Gamma$ :

$$
\tilde{V} t(x)=\int_{\Gamma} f(x, y) t(y) d y, \quad f(x, y):=\frac{\exp (i \kappa|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|}
$$

$\tilde{V} t$ satisfies Helmholtz equation + radiation condition!
If $V t:=\gamma_{0}^{\text {ext }} \tilde{V} t=g$ we have a solution.
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$$

for piecewise constant test and trial functions $\left(t_{h}, \tau_{h} \in S_{h}^{0}(\Gamma)\right)$.
Equivalent system of linear equations: $V_{h} \underline{t}=\underline{g}$,
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## Problem:

- Quadratic complexity for storage and matrix-vector multiplications.
- Standard compression approaches do not work well in high frequency regimes (e.g. large $\kappa$ ) due to oscillating behavior of $\exp (i \kappa|x-y|)$.

Remedy: directional approximation methods

## The model problem

Instead of $V_{h}$ consider $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$,

$$
A[j, k]= \begin{cases}f\left(x_{j}, x_{k}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(i \kappa\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|\right)}{4 \pi\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|}, & j \neq k, \\ 0, & j=k,\end{cases}
$$

for points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Goal: Derive fast matrix-vector multiplications for matrix $A$.
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Fundamental idea:
Smoothing of Helmholtz kernel by plane wave term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =\frac{\exp (i \kappa|x-y|)}{4 \pi|x-y|} \\
& =\underbrace{\frac{\exp (i \kappa(|x-y|-\langle c, x-y\rangle))}{4 \pi|x-y|}} \exp (i \kappa\langle c, x-y\rangle),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $|c|=1$.
[Brandt, 1991, Engquist and Ying, 2007, Messner et al., 2012, Bebendorf et al., 2015, Börm and Melenk, 2017]

## Comparison of $f$ and $f_{c}$



Parameters: $\kappa=10, c=(0,-1,0) ; y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)$
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## Admissibility criteria controlling box distances

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\quad \max \{\operatorname{diam}(X), \operatorname{diam}(Y)\} \leq \eta_{2} \operatorname{dist}(X, Y), \\
\kappa(\max \{\operatorname{diam}(X), \operatorname{diam}(Y)\})^{2} \leq \eta_{2} \operatorname{dist}(X, Y) .
\end{array}
$$

Admissibility criterion controlling the direction $c$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left|\frac{m_{X}-m_{Y}}{\left|m_{X}-m_{Y}\right|}-c\right| \leq \frac{\eta_{1}}{\max \{\operatorname{diam}(X), \operatorname{diam}(Y)\}}, \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{X}$ and $m_{Y}$ are the midpoints of the boxes $X$ and $Y$.
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Continue with subdivision ...

Stop if box contains few points.
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[Rjasanow and Steinbach, 2007]
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## Choice of directions

Let $X^{\ell}$ and $Y^{\ell}$ satisfy (A1) and (A2)
Find direction $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{m_{X^{\ell}}-m_{Y^{\ell}}}{\left|m_{X^{\ell}}-m_{Y^{\ell}}\right|}-c\right| \leq \frac{\eta_{1}}{\kappa \max \left\{\operatorname{diam}\left(X^{\ell}\right), \operatorname{diam}\left(Y^{\ell}\right)\right\}} . \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For efficiency choose $c$ from the same set $\left\{c_{k}^{(\ell)}\right\}$ for all boxes at the same level $\ell$.
- $c=0$ is allowed (low-frequency regime).
- More directions are needed for larger boxes.

Here: Construction combining the approaches of
[Engquist and Ying, 2007, Messner, 2012], such that (A3) holds.
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## Construction of directions

- Choose the first high frequency level $\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$ and 6 initial directions.
- For levels $\ell>\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$ the direction $c=0$ is used.
- For levels $\ell<\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$ choose set $\left\{c_{j}^{(\ell)}\right\}$ of $6 \cdot 4^{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}-\ell}$ directions:

(A3) holds for $\eta_{1}$ depending linearly on $\kappa q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}}$ Here: $q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}}$ diameter of boxes at level $\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$.
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## Fast directional matrix-vector multiplication

Basic idea:
Matrix partitioning (via clustering of the domain)
Approximation of admissible subblocks $\left(A_{X Y} \approx L_{X, c} A_{c, X, Y} L_{Y, c}^{*}\right)$
For higher efficiency:

- Nested approximation of matrices $L_{X, c}$ for large boxes $X$. (Directional $\mathcal{H}^{2}$-matrix)

Complexity of order $N \log (N)$ under reasonable assumptions on the set of points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ and the wavenumber $\kappa$.
[Messner et al., 2012, Börm, 2017]
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## Choice of parameters

Effective runtime and accuracy depend on the choice of $\eta_{2}$

## Admissibility criteria controlling box distances

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \{\operatorname{diam}(X), \operatorname{diam}(Y)\} & \leq \eta_{2} \operatorname{dist}(X, Y) \\
\kappa(\max \{\operatorname{diam}(X), \operatorname{diam}(Y)\})^{2} & \leq \eta_{2} \operatorname{dist}(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
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Effective runtime and accuracy depend on the choice of $\eta_{2}$ and $\ell_{\text {hf }}$ (first high frequency level).

## Admissibility criterion controlling the box direction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left|\frac{m_{X}-m_{Y}}{\left|m_{X}-m_{Y}\right|}-c\right| \leq \frac{\eta_{1}}{\max \{\operatorname{diam}(X), \operatorname{diam}(Y)\}}, \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{1}$ depends on $\kappa q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}}$
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## Choice of parameters

Effective runtime and accuracy depend on the choice of $\eta_{2}$ and $\ell_{\text {hf }}$ (first high frequency level).

Small $\eta_{2} \rightarrow$ low approximation errors but high runtimes.
Small $\ell_{\text {hf }} \rightarrow$ low runtimes but high approximation errors
Suitable choice of parameters is determined in parameter study
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For $\kappa=25.12$ consider the matrix
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Place $N=829176$ points $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ on surface of cube $[-1,1]^{3}$.
For $\kappa=25.12$ consider the matrix

$$
A[j, k]= \begin{cases}\frac{\exp \left(i k\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|\right)}{4 \pi\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|}, & j \neq k, \\ 0, & j=k,\end{cases}
$$

Choose vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ (randomly) and compute $g=A v$ :

- directly ( $g_{\text {exct }}$ )
- approximately ( $g_{\text {aprx }}$ ) with the fast directional matrix-vector multiplication.


## The Choice of $\eta_{2}$

Execution times for varying $\eta_{2}$ (first high frequency level $\ell_{\text {hf }}=4$, interpolation degree $m=3$ )

| $\eta_{2}$ | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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## The Choice of $\eta_{2}$

Execution times for varying $\eta_{2}$ (first high frequency level $\ell_{\text {hf }}=4$, interpolation degree $m=3$ )

| $\eta_{2}$ | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| total time [s] | 1487.33 | 262.37 | 110.38 | 104.86 |
| nearfield evaluation [s] | 1426.76 | 244.22 | 95.72 | 95.54 |
| farfield evaluation [s] | 23.38 | 6.80 | 5.49 | 3.14 |

Minimizing the nearfield evaluations crucial.
The choice $\eta_{2} \geq \sqrt{3} \max \left\{1, \kappa q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}+1}\right\}$ minimizes the nearfield evaluations for levels $>\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$.
( $q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}+1}$ diameter of boxes at level $\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}+1$ )

## The choice of $\eta_{2}$



Relative errors $\left\|g_{\text {aprx }}-g_{\text {exct }}\right\|_{2} /\left\|g_{\text {exct }}\right\|_{2}$ for varying $\eta_{2}\left(\ell_{\text {hf }}=4\right)$
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Farfield computation times and relative errors for varying $\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$, $\eta_{2}=5$ and appropriate interpolation degrees $m$
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## The choice of the first high frequency level $\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}$

This result can be expressed in terms of the characteristic length $\kappa q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}+1}$ for general problems:

Require that the boxes at the low frequency level $\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}+1$ have a certain diameter, i.e.

$$
\kappa q_{\ell_{\mathrm{hf}}+1} \in(C, 2 C]
$$

The choice $C=1.36$ was determined in the parameter study.
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## Conclusion and outlook

## Presented Results:

- Overview (and analysis) of a fast directional matrix-vector multiplication
- Parameter study for the choice of parameters $\eta_{2}$ and $\ell_{\text {hf }}$ $\Rightarrow$ Parameter selection strategy

Outlook:

- Further validation of the parameter selection strategy is required
[W (2019), A directional approximation of the Helmholtz kernel and its application to fast matrix-vector multiplications. MA thesis. TU Graz.]
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