

On a Degenerate Eddy Current Problem-revisited.

AANMPDE 10, Paleochora, Crete, Greece

October 2-6, 2017

Rainer Picard, TU Dresden
(joint work with Dirk Pauly, U Duisburg-Essen)

What are Evo-Systems?

Consider systems of the form

$$(\partial_0 \mathcal{M} + A)U = F,$$

where A, A^* are accretive in a real Hilbert space H and \mathcal{M} is a so-called material law operator. Solutions are discussed in a weighted real L^2 -space $H_\rho(\mathbb{R}, H)$, constructed by completion of the space $\dot{C}_1(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of differentiable H -valued functions with compact support w.r.t. $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\rho, H}$ (norm: $|\cdot|_{\rho, H}$)

$$(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \varphi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle_H \exp(-2\rho t) dt.$$

Time-differentiation ∂_0 as a closed operator in $H_\rho(\mathbb{R}, H)$ induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{C}_1(\mathbb{R}, H) \subseteq H_\rho(\mathbb{R}, H) &\rightarrow H_\rho(\mathbb{R}, H), \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi'. \end{aligned}$$

What are Evo-Systems?

Time-differentiation ∂_0 is a normal operator in $H_\rho(\mathbb{R}, H)$. For $\rho_0 \in]0, \infty[$, $\rho \in]\rho_0, \infty[$, we have

$$\text{sym } \partial_0 = \rho \geq \rho_0 > 0,$$

i.e. ∂_0 is a strictly (and uniformly w.r.t. $\rho \in [\rho_0, \infty[$) positive definite operator

with respect to the real inner product

$$(\phi, \psi) \mapsto \Re \langle \phi | \psi \rangle_{\rho, H}.$$

Allows the discussion of the problem class:

$$\overline{(\partial_0 M (\partial_0^{-1}) + A)} U = F \quad (\text{Evo-Sys})$$

Basic Solution Theory of Evo-Systems in $H_\rho(\mathbb{R}, H)$

$$\overline{(\partial_0 M (\partial_0^{-1}) + A)} U = F \quad (\text{Evo-Sys})$$

Theorem

Let $z \mapsto M(z)$ be a rational $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ -valued function in a neighborhood of 0 such that

$$\rho M(0) + \text{sym} M'(0) + A, \quad \rho M(0) + \text{sym} M'(0) + A^* \geq c_0 > 0$$

for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\rho \in]0, \infty[$ sufficiently large. Then well-posedness of (Evo-Sys) follows for all $\rho \in]\rho_0, \infty[$.

Moreover, the solution operator $\overline{(\partial_0 M (\partial_0^{-1}) + A)}^{-1}$ is causal in the sense that

$$\chi_{]1-\infty, 0]} \overline{(\partial_0 M (\partial_0^{-1}) + A)}^{-1} = \chi_{]1-\infty, 0]} \overline{(\partial_0 M (\partial_0^{-1}) + A)}^{-1} \chi_{]1-\infty, 0]}.$$

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

We want to inspect

$$\left(\partial_0 \sigma + \operatorname{curl} \mu^{-1} \dot{\operatorname{curl}}\right) E = -\partial_0 J =: f,$$

where σ, μ are continuous selfadjoint mappings with $\sigma \geq 0$ and μ strictly positive definite. We read off

$$\rho \langle E | \sigma E \rangle_{\rho,0,0} + \left\langle \dot{\operatorname{curl}} E | \mu^{-1} \dot{\operatorname{curl}} E \right\rangle_{\rho,0,0} = \langle E | f \rangle_{\rho,0,0}$$

showing that $E \in N(\sigma) \cap N(\dot{\operatorname{curl}})$

will cause difficulties, unless

$$f \in H_0 := \left(N(\sigma) \cap N(\dot{\operatorname{curl}}) \right)^\perp.$$

Moreover,

$$E \in H_0$$

will enforce uniqueness.

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

History: vast literature!

For example: R.C. MacCamy, E. P. Stephan (1984), H. Ammari, A. Buffa, J.-C. Nedelec (2000), T. Pepperl (Dissertation, 2006), M. Costabel, M. Dauge, S. Nicaise (2003), M. Kolmbauer, U. Langer (2011), E. Creusé, S. Nicaise (2012-2016), Ana A. Rodriguez, Alberto Valli (monograph, 2010), X. Jiang, W. Zheng (2012-14)

- $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$
 - non-degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
 - degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ bounded domain

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

History: vast literature!

For example: R.C. MacCamy, E. P. Stephan (1984), H. Ammari, A. Buffa, J.-C. Nedelec (2000), T. Pepperl (Dissertation, 2006), M. Costabel, M. Dauge, S. Nicaise (2003), M. Kolmbauer, U. Langer (2011), E. Creusé, S. Nicaise (2012-2016), Ana A. Rodriguez, Alberto Valli (monograph, 2010), X. Jiang, W. Zheng (2012-14)

- $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$
 - non-degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
 - degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ bounded domain
 - non-degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
 - degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

History: vast literature!

For example: R.C. MacCamy, E. P. Stephan (1984), H. Ammari, A. Buffa, J.-C. Nedelec (2000), T. Pepperl (Dissertation, 2006), M. Costabel, M. Dauge, S. Nicaise (2003), M. Kolmbauer, U. Langer (2011), E. Creusé, S. Nicaise (2012-2016), Ana A. Rodriguez, Alberto Valli (monograph, 2010), X. Jiang, W. Zheng (2012-14)

- $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$
 - non-degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
 - degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ bounded domain
 - non-degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent
 - degenerate
 - time-harmonic
 - time-dependent

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

What is missing?

Solution theory with general operator coefficients for 'rough' domains.

$$\mathbf{Op} u = f.$$

Well-posedness = "The linear operator $\mathbf{Op} : D(\mathbf{Op}) \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ is injective and surjective, X, Y Banach spaces".

Frequently, Y is not complete, sometimes \mathbf{Op} is replaced by $\widetilde{\mathbf{Op}}$.
Always smooth (at least Lipschitz) domains and interfaces.

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

Evo-System: $M(\partial_0^{-1}) = \sigma, A = A^* = \operatorname{curl} \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{curl}}.$

For the underlying domain Ω we assume $\mathcal{H}_{D,\Omega} = \{0\}$ and the compact embedding property

$$D(\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}) \cap D(\operatorname{div}) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega).$$

Since for $E \in D(\operatorname{curl} \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{curl}})$

$$\langle E | \operatorname{curl} \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} E \rangle_{\rho,0,0} = \langle \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} E | \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} E \rangle_{\rho,0,0}$$

it suffices to show

$$\langle E | \sigma E \rangle_{\rho,0,0} + \langle \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} E | \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} E \rangle_{\rho,0,0} \geq c_0 \langle E | E \rangle_{\rho,0,0}$$

for all $E \in D(\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}) \cap H_0.$

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

σ is degenerate in the sense that

$$\sigma = \iota_{\Omega_c} \tilde{\sigma} \iota_{\Omega_c}^*$$

for

$$\tilde{\sigma} : L^2(\Omega_c, \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_c, \mathbb{R}^3)$$

such that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is strictly positive definite, where the open set $\Omega_c \subseteq \Omega$ is assumed to be such that $\overline{\Omega_c} \subseteq \Omega$ and that Ω_c has a Lebesgue null set as topological boundary.

$$H_0^\perp = N(\mathring{\text{curl}}) \cap L^2(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}, \mathbb{R}^3).$$

As an assumption on the boundary quality we require

$$H_0^\perp = N(\mathring{\text{curl}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}})$$

for which for example segment property for Ω_c would be sufficient.

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

Here $\mathring{\text{curl}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}$ denotes the operator $\mathring{\text{curl}}$ constructed with Ω replaced by $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \overline{R\left(\mathring{\text{curl}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}\right)} \oplus L^2\left(\Omega_c, \mathbb{R}^3\right) \\ &= \left(R\left(\mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}\right) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{D, \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}\right)^\perp \oplus L^2\left(\Omega_c, \mathbb{R}^3\right) \\ &= \left(N\left(\mathring{\text{div}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}\right) \cap \mathcal{H}_{D, \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}^\perp\right) \oplus L^2\left(\Omega_c, \mathbb{R}^3\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}$ denotes the operator $\mathring{\text{grad}}$ constructed with Ω replaced by $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}$, $\mathring{\text{div}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}} := -\mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}^*$ with adjoint taken in $L^2\left(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}, \mathbb{R}^3\right)$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_{D, \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}} := N\left(\mathring{\text{div}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}\right) \cap N\left(\mathring{\text{curl}}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}\right)$$

denotes the space of harmonic Dirichlet fields in $L^2\left(\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}, \mathbb{R}^3\right)$.

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

We will use the orthogonal decomposition

$$H_0 = R(\text{curl}) \oplus H_1 \oplus H_2. \quad (1)$$

where

$$H_1 := N(\mathring{\text{curl}}) \cap R(\sigma) = N(\mathring{\text{curl}}_{\Omega_c}) \subseteq N(\mathring{\text{curl}})$$

$$H_2 := N(\mathring{\text{curl}}) \cap \left(\left(N(\text{div}_{\Omega_c}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{D, \Omega_c}^\perp \right) \oplus \left(N(\text{div}_{\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}) \cap \mathcal{H}_{D, \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}}^\perp \right) \right) \\ \subseteq N(\mathring{\text{curl}}).$$

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

Lemma : We have for $U_k \in H_k$, $k = 1, 2$,

$$|\chi_{\Omega_c}(U_1 + U_2)|^2 = |U_1|^2 + |\chi_{\Omega_c} U_2|^2.$$

Proof : (Idea) $U_1 = \chi_{\Omega_c} U_1 = \mathring{\text{grad}}_{\Omega_c} \psi \perp N(\text{div}_{\Omega_c})$ and $\chi_{\Omega_c} U_2 \in N(\text{div}_{\Omega_c})$.



We also note: we have for some positive constant k_0 that

$$|U_0|^2 \leq k_0 |\mathring{\text{curl}} U_0|^2$$

for all $U_0 \in D(\mathring{\text{curl}}) \cap R(\text{curl})$.

Proof : (Idea) compact embedding property.



The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

Finally we need the following more subtle lemma.

Lemma : We have a positive constant k_1 so that

$$|U_2| \leq k_2 |\chi_{\Omega_c} U_2| \quad (2)$$

for all $U_2 \in H_2$.

Proof : (Idea) $U_2 = \mathring{\text{grad}}\varphi \in N(\mathring{\text{curl}})$ and

$$\text{div grad } \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_c \cup \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_c}.$$

Common boundary values on the interface! Thus, U_2 is determined by $\chi_{\Omega_c} U_2$ and the continuity estimate can be shown. □

Lemma : There is a positive constant c_0 such that we have

$$c_0 |U|^2 \leq \left| \sigma^{1/2} U \right|^2 + \left| \mathring{\text{curl}} U \right|^2 \quad (3)$$

for all $U \in D(C) \cap H_0$.

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

Proof : We observe

$$\min \{1, c_*\} \left(|\chi_{\Omega_c} U|^2 + |\mathring{\text{curl}} U|^2 \right) \leq |\sigma^{1/2} U|^2 + |\mathring{\text{curl}} U|^2$$

and so the estimate follows if we can show that

$$c_1 |U|^2 \leq |\chi_{\Omega_c} U|^2 + |\mathring{\text{curl}} U|^2.$$

We shall employ the above decomposition so that

$U = U_0 + U_1 + U_2$ with $U_0 \in R(\text{curl})$, $U_k \in H_k$, $k = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} |U|^2 &= |U_0|^2 + |U_1|^2 + |U_2|^2 \\ &\leq k_0^2 |\mathring{\text{curl}} U_0|^2 + |\chi_{\Omega_c} U_1|^2 + k_2^2 |\chi_{\Omega_c} U_2|^2 \\ &\leq k_0^2 |\mathring{\text{curl}} U_0|^2 + 2 \max \{1, k_2^2\} |\chi_{\Omega_c} (U_0 + U_1 + U_2)|^2 + \\ &\quad + 2 \max \{1, k_2^2\} |U_0|^2, \end{aligned}$$

The Pre-Maxwell Equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |U|^2 &= |U_0|^2 + |U_1|^2 + |U_2|^2 \\
 &\leq k_0^2 \left| \mathring{\text{curl}} U_0 \right|^2 + |\chi_{\Omega_c} U_1|^2 + k_2^2 |\chi_{\Omega_c} U_2|^2 \\
 &\leq k_0^2 \left| \mathring{\text{curl}} U_0 \right|^2 + 2 \max \{1, k_2^2\} |\chi_{\Omega_c} (U_0 + U_1 + U_2)|^2 + \\
 &\quad + 2 \max \{1, k_2^2\} |U_0|^2, \\
 &\leq \max \{1, k_0^2\} (1 + 2 \max \{1, k_2^2\}) \left(\left| \mathring{\text{curl}} U \right|^2 + |\chi_{\Omega_c} U|^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

□