The generalized Poisson-Nernst-Planck system with nonlinear interface conditions

Zubkova Anna Supervisor: Kovtunenko Victor

Karl-Franzens University of Graz, Austria

AANMPDE(JS)-9-16: July 4-7, 2016, Strobl, Austria

The work is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project P26147-N26: "Object identification problems: numerical analysis" (PION) and partially supported by IGDK1751

Well-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

Motivation

Electro-kinetic phenomena in bio-molecular or electro-chemical models Specific interest concerns lithium ion batteries



Sources: Wikipedia, University of Oxford: Energy and Power Group

Overwiew

- Modeling
 - Discontinuous solution in a two-phase medium
 - Nonlinear reactions at the face interface
 - Taking pressure into account
 - (as a consequence of the Navier–Stokes equations)
 - Mass and volume balances
 - Positivity of concentrations
- Well–posedness
 - Generalized formulation coupled with dual entropy variables and constraints
 - Existence theorem based on the reduced formulation without constraints
 - A priori energy and entropy estimates
 - Weak maximum principle
 - Uniqueness in a special case
 - Lyapunov stability

Well-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

Geometry



Q pore phase, ω solid phase, $\partial \omega$ interface with a jump $[\![\cdot]\!] = \cdot |_{\partial \omega^+} - \cdot |_{\partial \omega^-}$

 $\Omega = Q \cup \omega \cup \partial \omega$ two-phase domain

Spatial dimension $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

Formulation of the problem ○●OOOOOOOO Well-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

PNP | The generalized Poisson-Nernst-Planck system. 1

For charge species i = 1, ..., n in $(0, T) \times (Q \cup \omega)$:

The Fick's law of diffusion:
$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} J_i = 0$$
 (1a)

with diffusion fluxes:
$$J_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j (\nabla \mu_j)^\top m_i D^{ij}$$
 (1b)

in particles $(0,T) \times \omega$:

electro-chemical potentials:
$$\mu_i = k_B \Theta \ln(\beta_i c_i)$$
 (1c)

the Ohm's law:
$$-\operatorname{div}((\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A) = 0$$
 (1d)

 $\begin{array}{l} c_i \ \left(mol/m^3 \right) \ {\rm concentrations} \ {\rm of} \ {\rm charged \ species \ with \ the \ charge \ numbers \ } z_i, \\ J_i \ \left(mol/(m^2 \cdot s) \right) \ {\rm diffusion \ fluxes}, \\ D^{ij} \ \left(m^2/(J \cdot s) \right) \ {\rm diffusivity \ matrices \ in \ } \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \\ \varphi \ (V) \ {\rm electrostatic \ potential}, \\ \mu_i \ (J) \ ({\rm quasi-Fermi}) \ {\rm electro-chemical \ potentials}, \\ A \ (F/m) \ {\rm electric \ permittivity \ in \ } \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \\ m_i \ge 0, \ k_B \ge 0, \ \Theta \ge 0, \ \beta_i \ge 0 \ {\rm are \ constant} \end{array}$

Well-posedness analysis 2000000 Conclusion 00

(2b)

PNP | The generalized Poisson–Nernst–Planck system. 2

In pore $(0,T) \times Q$:

t

quasi–Fermi electro-chemical potentials:

$$\mu_i = k_B \Theta \ln(\beta_i c_i) + \frac{1}{N_A} \left(\frac{1}{C} p + z_i \varphi \right)$$
(2a)

the force balance:
$$abla p = -\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k c_k\right)
abla arphi$$

he Gauss's flux law:
$$-\operatorname{div}((\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z_k c_k$$
 (2c)

m

 $\begin{array}{l} C \ \left(mol/m^3 \right) \ {\rm summary \ concentration}, \\ p \ \left(Pa \right) \ {\rm pressure}, \\ N_A \geqslant 0 \ {\rm constant} \end{array}$

Formulation of the problem ○OO●OO○○○○ Vell-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

PNP | Boundary and initial conditions

Dirichlet conditions:

$$c_i = c_i^D, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{on} \quad (0, T) \times \partial \Omega$$
(3a)

$$\varphi = \varphi^D \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T) \times \partial \Omega$$
 (3b)

Interface conditions:

$$\llbracket J_i \rrbracket \nu = 0, \quad -J_i \nu = g_i (\boldsymbol{c}, \varphi) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \omega$$
(4a)

$$\llbracket (\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A \rrbracket \nu = 0, \quad -(\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A \nu + \alpha \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket = g \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \omega$$
 (4b)

Initial conditions:

$$c_i = c_i^{in} \quad \text{on } Q \cup \omega \tag{5}$$

Formulation of the problem ○OOO●O○○○○ Well-posedness analysis

Conclusion 00

PNP | Thermodynamic properties

Positivity of concentrations:

$$c_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times (Q \cup \omega)$$

$$(6)$$

Volume balance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = C \quad \text{in} \quad (0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega) \tag{7}$$

Mass balance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega) \tag{8}$$

follows from volume balance (7) and diffusivity property (19)

Formulation of the problem ○OOOO●○○○○ Well-posedness analysis 2000000 Conclusion 00

PNP | Data of the problem

Initial data as t = 0

Volume balance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^{in} = C \quad \text{in} \quad Q \cup \omega \tag{9}$$

Positivity:

$$c_i^{in} > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{in} \quad Q \cup \omega$$
 (10)

Boundary data

Volume balance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^D = C \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T) \times \partial \omega \tag{11}$$

Positivity:

$$c_i^D > 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T) \times \partial \omega$$
 (12)

Compatibility conditions:

$$c_i^D(0,\cdot) = c_i^{in}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{in} \quad Q \cup \omega$$
(13)

Formulation of the problem 0000000000 PNP | Assumptions. 1 Well-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

Nonlinear boundary data

Growth conditions:

$$\int_{\partial\omega} |g_i(\mathbf{c},\varphi)|^2 \, dx \leqslant \gamma_1^i + \gamma_2^i ||\varphi||_{L^2(0,T;H^1(Q)\times H^1(\omega))}^2, \quad i = 1,\dots,n,$$
(14)

where $\gamma_1^i \ge 0$ and $\gamma_2^i \ge 0$

Mass balance:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(\mathbf{c}, \varphi) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0, T) \times \partial \omega$$
(15)

Positive production rate:

$$g_i(\mathbf{c},\varphi)[\![c_i^-]\!] = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T) \times \partial \omega, \quad \text{for all } c_i, \quad i = 1,\dots,n$$
 (16)

where $c_i^+ := \max\{0, c_i\}, c_i^- := -\min\{0, c_i\},$ such that $c_i = c_i^+ - c_i^-, c_i^+ \ge 0, c_i^- \ge 0, c_i^+ c_i^- = 0$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Example of $g_i(\boldsymbol{c}, \overline{\varphi})$

For example, the non trivial functions

$$g_1(\boldsymbol{c},\varphi) = G_1((\boldsymbol{c}|_{\partial\omega^+})^+)G_1((\boldsymbol{c}|_{\partial\omega^-})^+)G_2((\boldsymbol{c}|_{\partial\omega^+})^+)G_2((\boldsymbol{c}|_{\partial\omega^-})^+),$$

$$g_2(\boldsymbol{c},\varphi) = -g_1(\boldsymbol{c},\varphi)$$

where $G_j(\boldsymbol{c}) := \frac{c_j}{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k}$ such that $|G_j(\boldsymbol{c})| \leq 1$ and $G_j(\boldsymbol{c}^+)c_j^- = 0$, fulfill all the conditions (14)–(16) with $\gamma_2^i = 0$ and $\gamma_1^i = |\partial \omega|$. Formulation of the problem ○○○○○○○○○ Well-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

PNP | Assumptions on matrices

Symmetric positive definiteness of A: There exist $0 < \underline{a} \leq \overline{a}$ such that

$$\underline{a}|\xi|^2 \leqslant \xi^\top A \, \xi \leqslant \bar{a}|\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(17a)

Strong ellipticity condition for D^{ij} : There exist $0 < \underline{d} \leq \overline{d}$ such that

$$\underline{d}\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\xi_{i}|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{\top} m_{i} D^{ij} \xi_{j} \leqslant \bar{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\xi_{i}|^{2}, \quad \xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$
 (17b)

Symmetric positive definiteness of D: There exist $0 < \underline{d} \leq \overline{d}$ such that

$$\underline{d}|\xi|^2 \leqslant \xi^{\top} D \,\xi \leqslant \overline{d}|\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(18)

Properties of diffusivity matrices

Weak assumption:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i D^{ij} = D, \quad j = 1, \dots, n;$$
(19)

Strong assumption:

$$m_i D^{ij} = \delta_{ij} D, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Formulation of the problem ○○○○○○○○● Well-posedness analysis 0000000 Conclusion 00

PNP | Weak formulation of the problem

Find discontinuous functions c_1, \ldots, c_n , and φ such that

$$c_i \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^2(Q) \times L^2(\omega)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^1(Q) \times H^1(\omega)),$$
 (21a)

$$\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0,T; H^1(Q) \times H^1(\omega)), \tag{21b}$$

$$c_i \nabla \varphi_i \in L^2((0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (21c)

which satisfy the Dirichlet boundary conditions, the initial conditions, the volume balance and positivity, as well as fulfill the following variational equations:

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{Q \cup \omega} \left\{ \frac{\partial c_{i}}{\partial t} \bar{c}_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[k_{B} \Theta \nabla c_{j} + \mathbf{1}_{Q} \Upsilon_{j}(\boldsymbol{c}) \nabla \varphi \right]^{\top} m_{i} D^{ij} \nabla \bar{c}_{i} \right\} dx dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\partial \omega} g_{i}(\boldsymbol{c}, \varphi) [\![\bar{c}_{i}]\!] dS_{x} dt, \quad (22a)$$
$$\int_{Q \cup \omega} (\nabla \varphi^{\top} A \nabla \bar{\varphi} - \mathbf{1}_{Q} \Upsilon(\boldsymbol{c}) \bar{\varphi}) dx + \int_{\partial \omega} \alpha [\![\varphi]\!] [\![\bar{\varphi}]\!] dS_{x} = \int_{\partial \omega} g[\![\bar{\varphi}]\!] dS_{x}, \quad (22b)$$

for all test functions $\bar{c}_i \in H^1(0,T; L^2(Q) \times L^2(\omega)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^1(Q) \times H^1(\omega))$ and $\bar{\varphi} \in H^1(Q) \times H^1(\omega)$ such that $\bar{c}_i = 0$ on $(0,T) \times \partial\Omega$ and $\bar{\varphi} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ $\Upsilon_j(\boldsymbol{c}) := \frac{1}{N_A} c_j \left(z_j - \frac{1}{C} \Upsilon(\boldsymbol{c}) \right)$ and $\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{c}) := \sum_{k=1}^n z_k c_k$

Anna Zubkova (KFU)

Well-posedness analysis •••••• Conclusion 00

PNP | Reduced formulation. 1

The formulation after excluding μ_i and p and reducing the constraints.

Reduced system of the equations

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[k_B \Theta \nabla c_j + \mathbf{1}_Q \Gamma_j(\boldsymbol{c}^+) \nabla \varphi \right]^\top m_i D^{ij} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times (Q \cup \omega) \quad (23)$$

$$-\operatorname{div}(\nabla\varphi^{\top}A) = \mathbf{1}_{Q}C\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} z_{k}c_{k}^{+}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{+}} \quad \text{in} \quad (0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega)$$
(24)

where
$$\Gamma_j(\mathbf{c}^+) := \frac{C}{N_A} \frac{c_j^+}{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^+} \left(z_j - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n z_k c_k^+}{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^+} \right)$$
 are uniformly bounded:
 $0 \leqslant \Gamma(c_j^+) \leqslant \frac{CZ}{N_A}$, where $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|$

If constraints (6) and (7) hold, then $\Gamma_j(\mathbf{c}^+) = \Upsilon_j(\mathbf{c})$ and $C \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n z_k c_k^+}{\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^+} = \Upsilon(\mathbf{c})$

Well-posedness analysis ⊙●○○○○○ Conclusion 00

(29)

14 / 21

PNP | Reduced formulation. 2

Boundary conditions

Neumann–Robin conditions:

$$\llbracket J_i \rrbracket \nu = 0, \quad -J_i \nu = g_i(\mathbf{c}, \varphi) \quad \text{on} \quad (0, T) \times \partial \omega, \tag{25}$$

where

$$J_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[k_{B} \Theta \nabla c_{j} + \mathbf{1}_{Q} \Gamma_{j}(\boldsymbol{c}^{+}) \nabla \varphi \right]^{\top} m_{i} D^{ij};$$

$$\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A]\!] \nu = 0, \quad -(\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A \nu + \alpha [\![\varphi]\!] = g \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T) \times \partial \omega; \qquad (26)$$

 $[\![(\nabla \varphi)^{\top} A]\!]\nu$ Dirichlet conditions:

$$c_i = c_i^D, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{on} \quad (0, T) \times \partial \Omega;$$

$$(27)$$

$$\varphi = \varphi^D \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T) \times \partial \Omega.$$
 (28)

Initial conditions

$$c_i(0, \cdot) = c_i^{in}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ as } t = 0.$$

Anna Zubkova (KFU)

Theorem 1 (Existence of a weak solution of the reduced problem)

Let the growth conditions for reactions on the boundary

$$\int_{\partial \omega} |g_i(\boldsymbol{c}, \varphi)|^2 \, dx \leqslant \gamma_1^i + \gamma_2^i ||\varphi||_{L^2(0,T;H^1(Q) \times H^1(\omega))}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the assumptions on coefficient matrices hold:

$$\underline{a}|\xi|^2 \leqslant \xi^\top A \, \xi \leqslant \bar{a}|\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{30}$$

$$\underline{d}\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\xi_{i}|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{\top} m_{i} D^{ij} \xi_{j} \leqslant \bar{d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\xi_{i}|^{2}, \quad \xi_{1}, \dots, \xi_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$$
(31)

Then there exists a weak solution of the reduced problem.

Well-posedness analysis

Conclusion 00

Two auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 2 (Volume conservation)

Under assumptions on the boundary and the weak assumption of the diffusivity matrices

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(\boldsymbol{c}, \varphi) = 0 \quad on \quad (0, T) \times \partial \omega, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i D^{ij} = D, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

the volume constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = C$ is satisfied a.e. on $(0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega)$.

Lemma 3 (Weak maximum principle)

Under assumptions on the data

$$g_i(\boldsymbol{c},\varphi)\llbracket c_i^-\rrbracket = 0 \quad on \quad (0,T) \times \partial \omega, \quad \forall c_i, \quad i = 1,\ldots,n,$$

$$m_i D^{ij} = \delta_{ij} D, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

we have the positive solution $c_i \ge 0$ a.e. on $(0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Existence theorem. 2

From Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 it follows

Lemma 4 (Equivalence of formulations)

Under assumptions made in Lemmas 2 and 3 the complete and the reduced problems are equivalent.

Theorem 5 (Well–posedness of generalized Poisson–Nernst–Planck system)

Let assumptions on the nonlinear boundary terms hold.

- If the weak assumption on diffusivity matrices holds, then there exists a weak solution of the problem. By continuity, c > 0 locally for small t > 0.
- **3** If additionally the strong assumption on diffusivity matrices holds, then $c \ge 0$ globally for T > 0.
- $A \ weak \ solution \ satisfies \ a \ priori \ estimates$

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1}(Q)\times H^{1}(\omega))}^{2} \leqslant K_{\varphi}, \qquad (32)$$

$$||\mathbf{c}||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(Q)\times L^{2}(\omega))}^{2} + ||\mathbf{c}||_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(Q)\times H^{1}(\omega))}^{2} \leqslant K_{c} + \gamma_{c}K_{\varphi}.$$
 (33)

Theorem 6 (Uniqueness of the solution of generalized Poisson–Nernst–Planck system)

Let φ be smooth such that

$$\varphi \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega))^d \text{ and } \nabla \varphi \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times (Q \cup \omega))^d$$
(34)

and the nonlinear boundary fluxes are injective and satisfy the following assumption: there exists $\tilde{G}_i(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}, \mathbf{c}^{(2)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)}) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\partial \omega} \left(g_i(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}, \varphi^{(1)}) - g_i(\boldsymbol{c}^{(2)}, \varphi^{(2)}) \right) \left[\left[c_i^{(1)} - c_i^{(2)} \right] \right] dS_x \right|$$

$$\leqslant \tilde{G}_i(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{c}^{(2)}, \varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)}) \int_{Q \cup \omega} (c_i^{(1)} - c_i^{(2)})^2 dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (35)$$

for all $c^{(1)} > 0$, $c^{(2)} > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^{(2)} = C$ and for all $\varphi^{(1)}$, $\varphi^{(2)}$.

Then a weak solution of the complete problem is unique.

Well-posedness analysis 000000● Conclusion 00

Lyapunov stability

Entropy and entropy dissipation

We define the entropy as follows:

$$S: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}, \quad S(t):= -k_B N_A \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q \cup \omega} c_i \ln(\beta_i c_i) \, dx.$$

We introduce the function of dissipation: $\mathcal{D}: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{D}(t) := -\frac{dS}{dt} = k_B N_A \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q \cup \omega} \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} \ln(\beta_i c_i) \, dx.$$

Theorem 7 (Lyapunov stability)

Under assumptions $m_i D^{ij} = \underline{d} \delta_{ij} I$, $A = \underline{a} I$, $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i^D = 0$ and $c_i^D = 1/\beta_i$ on $\partial \Omega$ for the mass concentrations c_i satisfying the constraints (6) and (7), the entropy dissipation can be expressed equivalently as follows: $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2$, where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \frac{\underline{d}k_B}{\underline{a}} \int_Q \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i c_i\right)^2 dx + 4\underline{d}k_B^2 N_A \Theta \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{Q \cup \omega} |\nabla(\sqrt{c_i})|^2 dx, \tag{36}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_2 := \frac{\underline{d}k_B}{\underline{a}} \int_{\partial\omega} (g - \alpha \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket) \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \llbracket c_i \rrbracket \, dS_x - k_B N_A \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial\omega} g_i(\boldsymbol{c}, \varphi) \llbracket \ln \left(\frac{c_i}{c_i^D}\right) \rrbracket \, dS_x.$$

Anna Zubkova (KFU)

Results and future work

- We have derived the rigorous mathematical formulation for the physical model
- We have got existence based on the reduced model without constraints
- We have provided uniqueness in a special case
- We have obtained a priori energy and entropy estimates of the solution
- We have obtained the dissipation of the entropy

Plans:

- Homogenization of a porous medium with respect to a solid micro–particle size
- Numerical algorithms and tests

References

- W. Dreyer, C. Guhlke, R. Müller, Rational modeling of electrochemical double-layers and derivation of Butler-Volmer equations, Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, preprint, Berlin, 2013.
- J. Fuhrmann, Comparison and numerical treatment of generalized Nernst-Planck Models Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, preprint, Berlin, 2014.
- T. Roubíček, Incompressible ionized non-Newtonean fluid mixtures, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis vol.39, 3, 2007.
- K. Fellner, V. Kovtunenko, A discontinuous Poisson-Boltzmann equation with interfacial transfer: homogenisation and residual error estimate, preprint.
- O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, The Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics, Applied Mathematical Sciences 49, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer Verlag, 1985.
- S. A. Sazhenkov, E. V. Sazhenkova, A. V. Zubkova, Small perturbations of two-phase fluid in pores: Effective macroscopic monophasic viscoelastic behavior. Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports, Vol. 11, 2014.
- A. V. Zubkova, S. A. Sazhenkov, Effective Equation of a Turbulent Diffusion in a Cracky-Porous Media. In: The News of the Altai State University, Barnaul, 2012.