# Fourier, Wavelet and Monte Carlo Methods in Computational Finance

Kees Oosterlee<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CWI, Amsterdam <sup>2</sup>Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands

AANMPDE-9-16, 7/7/2016

Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

Comp. Finance

## Agenda

- Derivatives pricing, Feynman-Kac Theorem
- Fourier methods
  - Basics of COS method;
  - Basics of SWIFT method;
  - Options with early-exercise features
    - COS method for Bermudan options
    - Monte Carlo method
  - BSDEs, BCOS method (very briefly)

### Agenda

- Derivatives pricing, Feynman-Kac Theorem
- Fourier methods
  - Basics of COS method;
  - Basics of SWIFT method;
  - Options with early-exercise features
    - COS method for Bermudan options
    - Monte Carlo method
  - BSDEs, BCOS method (very briefly)
- Joint work with

Fang Fang, Marjon Ruijter, Luis Ortiz, Shashi Jain, Alvaro Leitao, Fei Cong, Qian Feng

## Feynman-Kac Theorem

• The linear partial differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}v(t,x) + g(t,x) = 0, \quad v(T,x) = h(x),$$

with operator

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{v}(t,x) = \mu(x)D\mathbf{v}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)D^2\mathbf{v}(t,x).$$

## Feynman-Kac Theorem

• The linear partial differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}v(t,x) + g(t,x) = 0, \quad v(T,x) = h(x),$$

with operator

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{v}(t,x) = \mu(x)D\mathbf{v}(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)D^2\mathbf{v}(t,x).$$

Feynman-Kac theorem:

$$v(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^T g(s,X_s)ds + h(X_T)\right],$$

where  $X_s$  is the solution to the FSDE

$$dX_s = \mu(X_s)ds + \sigma(X_s)d\omega_s, X_t = x.$$

# HJB equation, dynamic programming

• Suppose we consider the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} &+ \sup_{a \in A} \{\mu'(x,a) Dv(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[D^2 v(t,x) \sigma \sigma'(x,a)] \\ &+ g(t,x,a)\} = 0, \\ v(T,x) &= h(x). \end{aligned}$$

It is associated to a stochastic control problem with value function

$$v(t,x) = \sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E}_{t}^{x} \left[ \int_{t}^{T} g(s, X_{s}^{\alpha}, \alpha_{s}) ds + h(X_{T}^{\alpha}) \right],$$

where  $X_s$  is the solution to the controlled FSDE

$$dX_s^{\alpha} = \mu(X_s^{\alpha}, \alpha_s)ds + \sigma(X_s^{\alpha}, \alpha_s)d\omega_s, \ X_t^{\alpha} = x.$$

## Semilinear PDE and BSDEs

• The semilinear partial differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}v(t,x) + g(t,x,v,\sigma(x)Dv(t,x)) = 0, \ v(T,x) = h(x).$$

We can solve this PDE by means of the FSDE:

$$dX_s = \mu(X_s)ds + \sigma(X_s)d\omega_s, \ X_t = x.$$

and the BSDE:

$$dY_s = -g(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + Z_sd\omega_s, \ Y_T = h(X_T).$$

• Theorem:

$$Y_t = v(t, X_t), \ Z_t = \sigma(X_t) Dv(t, X_t).$$

is the solution to the BSDE.

- Suppose you have stocks of a company, and you'd like to have cash in two years (to buy a house).
- You wish at least *K* euros for your stocks, but the stocks may drop in the coming years. How to assure *K* euros in two years?

- Suppose you have stocks of a company, and you'd like to have cash in two years (to buy a house).
- You wish at least *K* euros for your stocks, but the stocks may drop in the coming years. How to assure *K* euros in two years?
- You can buy insurance against falling stock prices.
- This is the standard put option (i.e. the right to sell stock at a future time point).

- Suppose you have stocks of a company, and you'd like to have cash in two years (to buy a house).
- You wish at least *K* euros for your stocks, but the stocks may drop in the coming years. How to assure *K* euros in two years?
- You can buy insurance against falling stock prices.
- This is the standard put option (i.e. the right to sell stock at a future time point).
- The uncertainty is in the stock prices.

A call option gives the holder the right to trade in the future at a previously agreed strike price, K.



A call option gives the holder the right to trade in the future at a previously agreed strike price, K.



# Feynman-Kac Theorem (option pricing context)

Given the final condition problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial v}{\partial S} - rv = 0, \\ v(T,S) = h(S_T) = \text{ given} \end{cases}$$

Then the value, v(t, S), is the unique solution of

$$v(t,S) = e^{-r(T-t)} \mathbb{E}^{Q} \{ v(T,S_T) | \mathcal{F}_t \}$$

with the sum of first derivatives square integrable, and  $S = S_t$  satisfies the system of SDEs:

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t d\omega_t^Q,$$

• Similar relations also hold for (multi-D) SDEs and PDEs!

$$v(t_0, S_0) = e^{-r(T-t_0)} \mathbb{E}^Q \{ v(T, S_T) | \mathcal{F}_0 \}$$

Quadrature:

$$v(t_0, S_0) = e^{-r(T-t_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(T, S_T) f(S_T, S_0) dS_T$$

Trans. PDF, f(S<sub>T</sub>, S<sub>0</sub>), typically not available, but the characteristic function, f
, often is.

- A firm will have some business in America for several years.
- Investment may be in euros, and payment in the local currency.
- Firm's profit can be influenced negatively by the exchange rate.

- A firm will have some business in America for several years.
- Investment may be in euros, and payment in the local currency.
- Firm's profit can be influenced negatively by the exchange rate.
- Banks sell insurance against changing FX rates. The option pays out in the best currency each year.
- Uncertain processes are the exchange rates, interest rate,

- A firm will have some business in America for several years.
- Investment may be in euros, and payment in the local currency.
- Firm's profit can be influenced negatively by the exchange rate.
- Banks sell insurance against changing FX rates. The option pays out in the best currency each year.
- Uncertain processes are the exchange rates, interest rate, but also the counterparty of the contract may go bankrupt!

Multi-asset options belong to the class of exotic options.

$$v(\mathbf{S}, T) = \max(\max\{S_1, \dots, S_d\}_T - K, 0) \text{ (max call)}$$
$$v(\mathbf{S}, t_0) = e^{-r(T-t_0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(\mathbf{S}, T) f(\mathbf{S}_T | \mathbf{S}_0) d\mathbf{S}$$

 $\bullet \Rightarrow \mathsf{High}\mathsf{-dimensional\ integral\ or\ a\ high}\mathsf{-D\ PDE}.$ 



### Increasing dimensions: Multi-asset options

- The problem dimension increases if the option depends on more than one asset S<sub>i</sub> (multiple sources of uncertainty).
- If each underlying follows a geometric (lognormal) diffusion process,
- Each additional asset is represented by an extra dimension in the problem:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} [\sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{i,j} S_i S_j \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial S_i \partial S_j}] + \sum_{i=1}^{d} [r S_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial S_i}] - rv = 0$$

 Required information is the volatility of each asset σ<sub>i</sub> and the correlation between each pair of assets ρ<sub>i,j</sub>.

- One can apply several numerical techniques to calculate the option price:
  - Numerical integration,
  - Monte Carlo simulation,
  - Numerical solution of the partial-(integro) differential equation
- Each of these methods has its merits and demerits.
- Numerical challenges:
  - The problem's dimensionality
  - Speed of solution methods
  - Early exercise feature (ightarrow free boundary problem)

#### • Financial engineering, pricing approach:

- 1. Start with some financial product
- 2. Model asset prices involved
- 3. Calibrate the model to market data
- 4. Model product price correspondingly
- 5. Price the product of interest

```
(SDEs)
(Numerics, Opt.)
(PDE, Integral)
(Numerics, MC)
```

#### • Financial engineering, pricing approach:

- 1. Start with some financial product
- 2. Model asset prices involved
- 3. Calibrate the model to market data
- 4. Model product price correspondingly
- 5. Price the product of interest
- 5a. Price the risk related to default
  - 6. Understand and remove risk

```
(SDEs)
(Numerics, Opt.)
(PDE, Integral)
(Numerics, MC)
(SDE, Opt.)
(Stoch., Opt., Numer.)
```

- A characteristic function of a continuous random variable X, equals the Fourier transform of the density of X.
- Derive pricing methods that
  - are computationally fast
  - are not restricted to Gaussian-based models
  - should work as long as we have a characteristic function,

$$\widehat{f}(u;x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} f(x) dx;$$

(available for Lévy processes and also for SDE systems).

Suppose we have given a following system of SDEs:

$$d\mathbf{X}_t = \mu(\mathbf{X}_t)dt + \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t)d\omega_t + d\mathbf{Z}_t,$$

For processes in the AJD class drift, volatility, jump intensities and interest rate components are of the affine form, i.e.

$$\begin{split} \mu(\mathbf{X}_t) &= a_0 + a_1 \mathbf{X}_t \text{ for } (a_0, a_1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ \lambda(\mathbf{X}_t) &= b_0 + b_1^T \mathbf{X}_t, \text{ for } (b_0, b_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t) \sigma(\mathbf{X}_t)^T &= (c_0)_{ij} + (c_1)_{ij}^T \mathbf{X}_t, (c_0, c_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}, \\ r(\mathbf{X}_t) &= r_0 + r_1^T \mathbf{X}_t, \text{ for } (r_0, r_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{split}$$

Duffie, Pan, Singleton (2000): For affine jump diffusion processes the discounted characteristic function can be derived!

- Lévy process  $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ : process with stationary, independent increments.
- Brownian motion and Poisson processes belong to this class, as well jump processes with either finite or infinite activity
- Asset prices can be modeled by exponential Lévy processes
  - small jumps describe the day-to-day "noise";
  - big jumps describe large stock price movements.
- The characteristic function of a Lévy process is known:

 $\widehat{f}(u; X_t) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(iuX_t)] = \exp(t(i\mu u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iuy} - 1 - iuy\mathbf{1}_{[|y|<1]}\nu(dy))),$ 

the celebrated Lévy-Khinchine formula.

#### • The COS method:

- Exponential convergence;
- Greeks (derivatives) are obtained at no additional cost.
- All based on the availability of a characteristic function.

#### • The basic idea:

- Replace the density by its Fourier-cosine series expansion;
- Coefficients have simple relation to characteristic function.

### Series Coefficients of the Density and the ChF

• Fourier-Cosine expansion of density function on interval [a, b]:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}^{\prime} F_n \cos\left(n\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right),$$

with  $x \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and the coefficients defined as

$$F_n := \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \cos\left(n\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) dx.$$

### Series Coefficients of the Density and the ChF

• Fourier-Cosine expansion of density function on interval [a, b]:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n \cos\left(n\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right),$$

with  $x \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and the coefficients defined as

$$F_n := \frac{2}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \cos\left(n\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) dx.$$

•  $F_n$  has direct relation to the ChF,  $\hat{f}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{iux}dx$ ( $\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[a,b]} f(x) \approx 0$ ),

$$F_n \approx P_n := \frac{2}{b-a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cos\left(n\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) dx$$
$$= \frac{2}{b-a} \Re \left\{ \widehat{f}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b-a}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{na\pi}{b-a}\right) \right\}.$$

### **Recovering Densities**

• Replace  $F_n$  by  $P_n$ , and truncate the summation:

$$f(x) \approx \frac{2}{b-a} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Re\left\{\widehat{f}(\frac{n\pi}{b-a}) \exp\left(in\pi \frac{-a}{b-a}\right)\right\} \cos\left(n\pi \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right).$$

• Example: 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$$
,  $[a, b] = [-10, 10]$  and  $x = \{-5, -4, \cdots, 4, 5\}$ .

| N               | 4      | 8      | 16     | 32       | 64       |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| error           | 0.2538 | 0.1075 | 0.0072 | 4.04e-07 | 3.33e-16 |
| cpu time (sec.) | 0.0025 | 0.0028 | 0.0025 | 0.0031   | 0.0032   |

• Exponential error convergence in N.

# Pricing European Options

• Start from the risk-neutral valuation formula:

$$v(t_0,x) = e^{-r\Delta t} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[ v(T,y) | \mathcal{F}_0 \right] = e^{-r\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(T,y) f(y,x) dy.$$

• Truncate the integration range:

$$v(t_0,x) = e^{-r\Delta t} \int_{[a,b]} v(T,y)f(y,x)dy + \varepsilon.$$

• Replace the density by the COS approximation, and interchange summation and integration:

$$\hat{v}(t_0,x) = e^{-r\Delta t} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \Re\left\{\widehat{f}\left(\frac{n\pi}{b-a};x\right)e^{-in\pi\frac{a}{b-a}}\right\}\mathcal{H}_n,$$

where the series coefficients of the payoff,  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , are analytic.

## Pricing European Options

- Log-asset prices:  $x := \log(S_0/K)$  and  $y := \log(S_T/K)$ .
- The payoff for European call options reads

$$v(T, y) \equiv \max(K(e^y - 1), 0).$$

• For a call option, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{k}^{call} &= \frac{2}{b-a} \int_{0}^{b} \mathcal{K}(e^{y}-1) \cos\left(k\pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy \\ &= \frac{2}{b-a} \mathcal{K}\left(\chi_{k}(0,b) - \psi_{k}(0,b)\right). \end{aligned}$$

• For a vanilla put, we find

$$\mathcal{H}_k^{put} = \frac{2}{b-a} K\left(-\chi_k(a,0) + \psi_k(a,0)\right).$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}S^2 y \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial S^2} + \rho \gamma S y \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial S \partial y} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 y \frac{\partial v}{\partial y^2} + rS \frac{\partial v}{\partial S} + \kappa (\overline{\sigma} - y) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - rv.$$

• GPU computing: Multiple strikes for parallelism, 21 IC's.

| Heston model |             |            |            |              |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|              | N           | 64         | 128        | 256          |  |  |  |  |
|              | msec        | 3.850890   | 7.703350   | 15.556240    |  |  |  |  |
| MATLAD       | max.abs.err | 6.0991e-04 | 2.7601e-08 | $< 10^{-14}$ |  |  |  |  |
| GPU          | msec        | 0.177860   | 0.209093   | 0.333786     |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Maximum absolute error when pricing a vector of 21 strikes.

- Exponential convergence, Error analysis in our papers.
- Also work with wavelets instead of cosines.

Approximate density f by a finite combination of Shannon scaling functions and recovering the coefficients from its Fourier transform.

$$\varphi_{m,k}(x) = 2^{m/2} \frac{\sin(\pi(2^m x - k))}{\pi(2^m x - k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (1)

For m = k = 0, we have the father wavelet,

$$\varphi(x) = \operatorname{sinc}(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}.$$

Wavelets can be moved (by k), stretched or compressed (by m) to accurately represent local properties of a function.

Shannon wavelets have a slow decay in the time domain but a very sharp compact support in the frequency (Fourier) domain.

Using the classical *Vieta formula*, the cardinal sine can be approximated:

$$\operatorname{sinc}(t) \approx \prod_{j=1}^{J} \cos\left(\frac{\pi t}{2^{j}}\right) = \frac{1}{2^{J-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{J-1}} \cos\left(\frac{2j-1}{2^{J}} \pi t\right).$$
 (2)

Following the wavelets theory, function f can be approximated at a level of resolution m, i.e.,

$$f(x) \approx \mathcal{P}_m f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{m,k} \varphi_{m,k}(x) \approx f_m(x) := \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} c_{m,k} \varphi_{m,k}(x), \quad (3)$$

for certain accurately chosen values  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ .

Summary

$$v(x,t) = e^{-r(T-t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y,T) f(y|x) \, dy$$
$$f(y|x) \approx \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} c_{m,k}(x) \varphi_{m,k}(y),$$

With:  $c_{m,k} = \langle f, \varphi_{m,k} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \varphi(2^m x - k) dx.$ 

$$c_{m,k} \approx c_{m,k}^* := \frac{2^{m/2}}{2^{J-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{J-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cos\left(\frac{2j-1}{2^J}\pi(2^m x - k)\right) \, dx.$$
 (4)

or:

$$c_{m,k} \approx c_{m,k}^* = \frac{2^{m/2}}{2^{J-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{J-1}} \Re\left\{ \hat{f}\left(\frac{(2j-1)\pi 2^m}{2^J}\right) e^{\frac{ik\pi(2j-1)}{2^J}} \right\}.$$
 (5)

### Example: Fat-tailed densities:

We can control density values and the mass as a byproduct.

$$\mathbf{f}\left(\frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{m}}}\right) \approx \mathcal{P}_{m}f\left(\frac{h}{2^{m}}\right) = 2^{\frac{m}{2}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{m,k}\delta_{k,h} = \mathbf{2}^{\frac{m}{2}}\mathbf{c}_{m,h},\tag{6}$$

...plus...

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2^{m/2}} \left( \frac{c_{m,k_1}}{2} + \sum_{k_1 < k < k_2} c_{m,k} + \frac{c_{m,k_2}}{2} \right),$$

| [a, b]    | $\left f\left(\frac{k_1}{2^m}\right)\right $ | $\left f\left(\frac{k_2}{2^m}\right)\right $ | Error (area) |
|-----------|--|--|--------------|
| [-1, 1]   | 5.97e-02                                     | 4.20e-02                                     | 9.82e-01     |
| [-5, 5]   | 1.30e-01                                     | 1.06e-03                                     | 3.40e-01     |
| [-20, 20] | 1.10e-08                                     | 1.82e-15                                     | 7.05e-09     |

 $\Rightarrow$  Difficult to accurately determine [a, b] by cumulants.

(7
• Replace f by its approximation  $f_m$ :

$$v(x,t) \approx e^{-r(T-t)} \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} c_{m,k}(x) \cdot \mathcal{H}_{m,k},$$

with the pay-off coefficients,

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,k} := \int_{\mathcal{I}_k} v(y,T) \varphi_{m,k}(y) \, dy.$$

With k
<sub>1</sub> := max(k<sub>1</sub>, 0), pay-off coefficients, H<sub>m,k</sub>, for a European call option are approximated by,

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,k}^{*} := \begin{cases} \frac{K2^{m/2}}{2^{J-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{J-1}} \left[ I_{1}\left(\frac{\bar{k}_{1}}{2^{m}}, \frac{k_{2}}{2^{m}}\right) - I_{2}\left(\frac{\bar{k}_{1}}{2^{m}}, \frac{k_{2}}{2^{m}}\right) \right], & \text{if } k_{2} > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } k_{2} \le 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are closed formulae. **FFT** can be applied to compute the pay-off coefficients.

Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

#### Example: Multiple strikes valuation:

|            | scale <i>m</i>           | 4                  | 5                  | 6                  |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 21 strikes | CPU time (milli-seconds) | 3.04               | 3.84               | 6.62               |
|            | Max. absolute error      | 2.04 <i>e</i> - 02 | 5.63 <i>e</i> - 05 | 3.63 <i>e</i> - 06 |
| 1 strike   | scale <i>m</i>           | 4                  | 5                  | 6                  |
|            | CPU time (milli-seconds) | 0.32               | 0.52               | 1.00               |
|            | Absolute error           | 4.78 <i>e</i> - 03 | 1.61 <i>e</i> - 05 | 6.56 <i>e</i> – 07 |

Table 2: Simultaneous valuation of 21 call options with K from 50 to 150, and of only one European call option under the Heston dynamics with parameters  $S_0 = 100, \mu = 0, \lambda = 1.5768, \eta = 0.5751, \bar{u} = 0.0398, u_0 = 0.0175, \rho = -0.5711.$ 

# Optimal stopping, dynamic programming

• The controller only has control over his terminal time.

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)d\omega_t,$$

With t ∈ [0, T], and stopping times T<sub>t,T</sub>, the finite horizon optimal stopping problem is formulated as

$$v(t,x) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,T}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_t^\tau e^{-r(\tau-t)}g(s,X_s)ds + e^{-r(\tau-t)}h(X_\tau)\right].$$

• The value function v is related to the HJB variational inequality:

$$\min[-\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}v - g, v - h] = 0,$$

- The problem is called a free boundary problem.
- C is the continuation region, the complement set is the stopping or exercise region (receive the reward g).

# Pricing Options with Early-Exercise



 The pricing formulas for a Bermudan option with M exercise dates reads, for m = M − 1,...,1:

$$\begin{cases} c(S, t_m) = e^{-r\Delta t_m} \mathbb{E}\left[v(S, t_{m+1})|S_{t_m}\right], \\ v(S, t_m) = \max\left(h(S, t_m), c(S, t_m)\right) \end{cases}$$

and  $v(S, t_0) = e^{-r\Delta t_0} . \mathbb{E} [v(S, t_1)|S_{t_0}]$ 

- Use Newton's method to determine early exercise point  $x_{m+1}^*$ , i.e. the root of  $h(x, t_{m+1}) c(x, t_{m+1}) = 0$ .
- Use the COS formula for  $v(x, t_0)$ .

## COS pricing method for early-exercise options

The pricing formulas, for  $m = M - 1, \ldots, 1$ :

$$\begin{cases} c(x,t_m) = e^{-r\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(y,t_{m+1}) f(y|x) dy, \\ v(x,t_m) = \max(h(x,t_m),c(x,t_m)), \end{cases}$$

followed by

$$v(x,t_0)=e^{-r\Delta t}\int_{\mathbb{R}}v(y,t_1)f(y|x)dy.$$

Here x, y are state variables of consecutive exercise dates. In order to get the option value, a backward recursion procedure is performed on the Fourier–Cosine coefficient  $\mathcal{H}_k(t_{m+1})$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_{k}(t_{m+1}) = \frac{2}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \max(c(x, t_{m+1}), h(x, t_{m+1})) \cos(k\pi \frac{y-a}{b-a}) dy,$$

and then use  $\mathcal{H}_k(t_1)$  in the COS formula.

#### Bermudan puts with 10 early-exercise dates

Table 3: Test parameters for pricing Bermudan options

| Test No. | Model | $S_0$ | K   | T | r   | σ   | Other Parameters             |
|----------|-------|-------|-----|---|-----|-----|------------------------------|
| 2        | BS    | 100   | 110 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | _                            |
| 3        | CGMY  | 100   | 80  | 1 | 0.1 | 0   | C = 1, G = 5, M = 5, Y = 1.5 |



Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

# Towards higher dimensions: Monte Carlo Formulation

• Dynamic Programming:

- COS approximation is fine for up to 3, 4 dimensions! SWIFT works as well for these options.
   Higher D: Monte Carlo simulation techniques, like SGBM.
- The Bermudan option at time  $t_m$  and state  $S_{t_m}$  is given by

$$v_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}) = \max(h(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}), c_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m})). \tag{8}$$

• The continuation value  $c_{t_m}$ , is :

$$c_{t_m}(\mathsf{S}_{t_m}) = e^{-r\Delta t_m} \mathbb{E}\left[v_{t_{m+1}}(\mathsf{S}_{t_{m+1}})|\mathsf{S}_{t_m}\right].$$

# Towards higher dimensions: Monte Carlo Formulation

• Dynamic Programming:



 COS approximation is fine for up to 3, 4 dimensions! SWIFT works as well for these options.

Higher D: Monte Carlo simulation techniques, like SGBM.

• The Bermudan option at time  $t_m$  and state  $S_{t_m}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}) = \max(h(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}), c_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m})). \tag{8}$$

• The continuation value  $c_{t_m}$ , is :

$$c_{t_m}(\mathsf{S}_{t_m}) = e^{-r \Delta t_m} \mathbb{E}\left[ v_{t_{m+1}}(\mathsf{S}_{t_{m+1}}) | \mathsf{S}_{t_m} 
ight].$$

# Stochastic Grid Bundling Method, with Shashi Jain

- Step 1: The grid points in SGBM are generated by simulation,  $\{\mathbf{S}_{t_0}(n), \dots, \mathbf{S}_{t_M}(n)\}, n = 1, \dots, N,$
- Step 2: Compute the option value at terminal time.
- Step 3: Bundle the grid points at  $t_m$  into  $\mathcal{B}_{t_m}(1), \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{t_m}(\nu)$  non-overlapping bundles.
- Step 4: For  $\mathcal{B}_{t_m}(\beta)$ ,  $\beta = 1, ..., \nu$ , compute  $Z(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}}, \alpha_{t_{m+1}}^{\beta})$ .  $Z : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^K \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , is a parametrized function which assigns values to states  $\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}}$ .
- Step 5: The continuation values for grid points in  $\mathcal{B}_{t_m}(\beta), \ \beta = 1, \dots, \nu$ , are approximated by

 $\widehat{c}_{t_m}(\mathsf{S}_{t_m}(n)) = \mathbb{E}[Z(\mathsf{S}_{t_{m+1}}, \alpha_{t_{m+1}}^\beta) | \mathsf{S}_{t_m}(n)]$ 

### Intuition

The objective is to choose, corresponding to each bundle β at t<sub>m</sub>, a parameter vector α<sup>β</sup><sub>t<sub>m+1</sub> so that, V<sub>t<sub>m+1</sub></sub>(S<sub>t<sub>m+1</sub></sub>) ≈ Z(S<sub>t<sub>m+1</sub></sub>, α<sup>β</sup><sub>t<sub>m+1</sub></sub>).
 We use OLS, to define
</sub>

$$Z(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}}, \widehat{\alpha}_{t_{m+1}}^{\beta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \widehat{\alpha}_{t_{m+1}}^{\beta}(k) \phi_k(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}}).$$
(9)



Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft) Comp. Finance AANMPDE-9-16 35 / 51

# Computing the continuation value

• The continuation value is computed as:

$$\widehat{c}_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}(n)) = \mathbb{E}[Z(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}}, \widehat{\alpha}_{t_{m+1}}^\beta) | \mathbf{S}_{t_m} = \mathbf{S}_{t_m}(n)],$$
(10)

where  $\mathbf{S}_{t_m}(n) \in \mathcal{B}_{t_m}(\beta)$ .

• This can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{c}_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}(n)) &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \widehat{\alpha}_{t_{m+1}}^{\beta}(k) \phi_k(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}})\right) | \mathbf{S}_{t_m} = \mathbf{S}_{t_m}(n)\right] \\ &= & \sum_{k=1}^{K} \widehat{\alpha}_{t_{m+1}}^{\beta}(k) \mathbb{E}\left[\phi_k(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}}) | \mathbf{S}_{t_m} = \mathbf{S}_{t_m}(n)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

• Choose basis functions  $\phi$  so that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_k(\mathbf{S}_{t_{m+1}})|\mathbf{S}_{t_m}=X\right]$  has an analytic solution.

• Convergence and computational time:

## Arithmetic Basket Option on 15 assets

• Convergence and computational time:



### Arithmetic Basket Option on 15 assets

• Convergence and computational time:





• Exposure at a path at time t<sub>m</sub> with stock **S**<sub>t<sub>m</sub></sub>

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}, t_m) = \begin{cases} c_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m}), & \text{not exercised} \\ 0, & \text{exercised} \end{cases}$$
(11)

• Expected Exposure (EE) at time t<sub>m</sub>

$$\mathsf{EE}(t_m) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{S}_{t_m}, t_m)\right]$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{S}_{t_m}(n), t_m)$$
(12)

 $\Rightarrow c_{t_m}(\mathbf{S}_{t_m})$  is calculated at each time step, along the path, by SGBM.

# Semilinear PDE and BSDEs, with Marjon Ruijter

• The semilinear partial differential equation:

 $\frac{\partial v(t,x)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}v(t,x) + g(t,x,v,\sigma(x)Dv(t,x)) = 0, \ v(T,x) = h(x),$ 

We can solve this PDE by means of the FSDE:

$$dX_s = \mu(X_s)ds + \sigma(X_s)d\omega_s, X_t = x.$$

and the BSDE:

$$dY_s = -g(s, X_s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + Z_sd\omega_s, \ Y_T = h(X_T).$$

• Theorem:

$$Y_t = v(t, X_t), \ Z_t = \sigma(X_t) Dv(t, X_t).$$

is the solution to the decoupled FBSDE.

$$dY_t = -g(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + Z_t d\omega_t, \quad Y_T = \xi.$$

g is the *driver* function.  $\xi$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable. A solution is a *pair* adapted processes (Y, Z) satisfying

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s d\omega_s.$$

Y is adapted if and only if, for every realization and every t,  $Y_t$  is known at time t. Adapted process cannot "see into the future".

A BSDE is not a time-revered FSDE: at time  $t(Y_t, Z_t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and the process does not "know" the terminal condition yet.

$$dY_t = -g(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt + Z_t d\omega_t, \quad Y_T = \xi.$$

g is the *driver* function.  $\xi$  is  $\mathcal{F}_T$ -measurable random variable. A solution is a *pair* adapted processes (Y, Z) satisfying

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s d\omega_s.$$

Y is adapted if and only if, for every realization and every t,  $Y_t$  is known at time t. Adapted process cannot "see into the future".

A BSDE is not a time-revered FSDE: at time  $t(Y_t, Z_t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable and the process does not "know" the terminal condition yet.



$$Y_{m} = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_{s}) ds - \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} Z_{s} d\omega_{s}.$$
 (13)



$$Y_m = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_s) ds - \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} Z_s d\omega_s.$$
(13)

Taking conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_m[.] = \mathbb{E}[.|\mathcal{F}_{t_m}]$ :

$$Y_{m} = \mathbb{E}_{m}[Y_{m+1}] + \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}_{m}[g(s, \mathcal{X}_{s})]ds - \mathbb{E}_{m}\left[\int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} Z_{s}d\omega_{s}\right]$$
(14)



$$Y_m = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_s) ds - \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} Z_s d\omega_s.$$
(13)

Taking conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_m[.] = \mathbb{E}[.|\mathcal{F}_{t_m}]$ :

$$Y_{m} = \mathbb{E}_{m}[Y_{m+1}] + \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}_{m}[g(s, \mathcal{X}_{s})]ds - \mathbb{E}_{m}\left[\int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} Z_{s}d\omega_{s}\right]$$

$$(14)$$



$$Y_m = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_s) ds - \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} Z_s d\omega_s.$$
 (13)

Taking conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_m[.] = \mathbb{E}[.|\mathcal{F}_{t_m}]$ :

$$Y_m = \mathbb{E}_m[Y_{m+1}] + \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}_m[g(s, \mathcal{X}_s)]ds - 0$$

(14)



$$Y_m = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_s) ds - \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} Z_s d\omega_s.$$
(13)

Taking conditional expectation  $\mathbb{E}_m[.] = \mathbb{E}[.|\mathcal{F}_{t_m}]$ :

$$Y_{m} = \mathbb{E}_{m}[Y_{m+1}] + \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}_{m}[g(s, \mathcal{X}_{s})]ds$$
$$\approx \mathbb{E}_{m}[Y_{m+1}] + \Delta tg(t_{m}, \mathcal{X}_{m}).$$
(14)

$$Y_{m} = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_{s}) ds - \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} Z_{s} d\omega_{s}.$$
 (15)

Multiplying by  $\Delta \omega_{m+1}$ , taking the conditional expectation gives

$$Y_{m} = Y_{m+1} + \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} g(s, \mathcal{X}_{s}) ds - \int_{t_{m}}^{t_{m+1}} Z_{s} d\omega_{s}.$$
 (15)

Multiplying by  $\Delta \omega_{m+1}$ , taking the conditional expectation gives

 $Z_m \approx \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}_m[Y_{m+1} \Delta \omega_{m+1}].$ 

# Discretization scheme FBSDE

$$\begin{split} X_0^{\Delta} &= x_0, \\ \text{for } m = 0, \dots, M-1: \\ X_{m+1}^{\Delta} &= X_m^{\Delta} + \mu(X_m^{\Delta})\Delta t + \sigma(X_m^{\Delta})\Delta\omega_{m+1}; \\ Y_M^{\Delta} &= h(X_M^{\Delta}), \\ \text{for } m &= M-1, \dots, 0: \\ Z_m^{\Delta} &= \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}_m[Y_{m+1}^{\Delta}\Delta\omega_{m+1}], \\ Y_m^{\Delta} &= \mathbb{E}_m[Y_{m+1}^{\Delta}] + g(t_m, \mathcal{X}_m^{\Delta})\Delta t. \end{split}$$

Ingredients:

- Characteristic function  $X_{m+1}^{\Delta}$ , given  $X_m^{\Delta} = x$
- $\mathbb{E}_m^{\times}[h(t_{m+1}, X_{m+1}^{\Delta})]$
- $\mathbb{E}_m^{\times}[h(t_{m+1}, X_{m+1}^{\Delta})\Delta\omega_{m+1}]$
- Recover Fourier cosine coefficients backward in time
- FFT algorithm

Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

# Discretization scheme FBSDE

$$X_0^{\Delta} = x_0,$$
  
for  $m = 0, ..., M - 1$ :  
$$X_{m+1}^{\Delta} = X_m^{\Delta} + \mu(X_m^{\Delta})\Delta t + \sigma(X_m^{\Delta})\Delta\omega_{m+1},$$
  
$$Y_M^{\Delta} = h(X_M^{\Delta}),$$
  
for  $m = M - 1, ..., 0$ :  
$$Z_m^{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}_m[Y_{m+1}^{\Delta}\Delta\omega_{m+1}],$$
  
$$Y_m^{\Delta} = \mathbb{E}_m[Y_{m+1}^{\Delta}] + g(t_m, \mathcal{X}_m^{\Delta})\Delta t.$$

Ingredients:

- Characteristic function  $X_{m+1}^{\Delta}$ , given  $X_m^{\Delta} = x$
- $\mathbb{E}_m^{\times}[h(t_{m+1}, X_{m+1}^{\Delta})]$
- $\mathbb{E}_m^{\times}[h(t_{m+1}, X_{m+1}^{\Delta})\Delta\omega_{m+1}]$
- Recover Fourier cosine coefficients backward in time
- FFT algorithm

Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

# COS formula

$$I := \mathbb{E}_{m}^{x}[h(t_{m+1}, X_{m+1}^{\Delta})] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t_{m+1}, \zeta) f(\zeta|x) d\zeta$$
$$\approx \int_{a}^{b} h(t_{m+1}, \zeta) f(\zeta|x) d\zeta.$$
(16)

Replace the density function and function h by their Fourier cosine series expansions.

$$I \approx \frac{b-a}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\prime N-1} \mathcal{H}_{k}(t_{m+1}) P_{k}(x).$$
 (17)

The COS formula:

$$\mathbb{E}_m^{\mathsf{x}}[h(t_{m+1}, X_{m+1}^{\Delta})] \approx \sum_{k=0}^{\prime N-1} \mathcal{H}_k(t_{m+1}) \Re \left( \widehat{f}_{X_{m+1}^{\Delta}} \left( \frac{k\pi}{b-a} | X_m^{\Delta} = x \right) e^{ik\pi \frac{-a}{b-a}} \right)$$

### Example: European Call Option - GBM - P-Measure

Asset price:  $dS_t = \bar{\mu}S_t dt + \bar{\sigma}S_t d\omega_t$ .

Hedge portfolio  $Y_t$  with:  $a_t$  assets  $S_t$  and  $Y_t - a_t S_t$  bonds  $dY_t = r(Y_t - a_t S_t)dt + a_t dS_t$ 

### Example: European Call Option - GBM - P-Measure

Asset price:  $dS_t = \bar{\mu}S_t dt + \bar{\sigma}S_t d\omega_t$ .

Hedge portfolio  $Y_t$  with:  $a_t$  assets  $S_t$  and  $Y_t - a_t S_t$  bonds  $dY_t = r(Y_t - a_t S_t)dt + a_t dS_t$ 

### Example: European Call Option - GBM - P-Measure

Asset price:  $dS_t = \bar{\mu}S_t dt + \bar{\sigma}S_t d\omega_t$ .

Hedge portfolio  $Y_t$  with:  $a_t$  assets  $S_t$  and  $Y_t - a_t S_t$  bonds  $dY_t = r(Y_t - a_t S_t)dt + a_t dS_t$   $= \left(rY_t + \frac{\bar{\mu} - r}{\bar{\sigma}}\bar{\sigma}a_t S_t\right)dt + \bar{\sigma}a_t S_t d\omega_t,$  $Y_T = h(S_T) = \max(S_T - K, 0).$  Asset price:  $dS_t = \bar{\mu}S_t dt + \bar{\sigma}S_t d\omega_t$ .

Hedge portfolio  $Y_t$  with:  $a_t$  assets  $S_t$  and  $Y_t - a_t S_t$  bonds  $dY_t = r(Y_t - a_t S_t)dt + a_t dS_t$   $= \left(rY_t + \frac{\bar{\mu} - r}{\bar{\sigma}}\bar{\sigma}a_t S_t\right)dt + \bar{\sigma}a_t S_t d\omega_t,$   $Y_T = h(S_T) = \max(S_T - K, 0).$ 

If we set  $Z_t = \bar{\sigma} a_t S_t$ , then (Y, Z) solves a BSDE with driver,

$$g(t,x,y,z) = -ry - \frac{\overline{\mu}-r}{\overline{\sigma}}z.$$

 $Y_t$  corresponds to the value of the option and  $Z_t$  is related to the hedging strategy. The option value is given by  $v(t, S_t) = Y_t$  and

Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

## Results European call option

Exact solutions  $Y_0 = v(0, S_0) = 3.66$  and  $Z_0 = \bar{\sigma}S_0v_S(0, S_0) = 14.15$ .



Figure 1: Euler, Milstein and order 2.0 weak Taylor scheme,  $\theta = 1$  and  $\theta = 0.5$ .

- COS and SWIFT methods for pricing European options
- BCOS method for nonlinear PDEs
- Second-order convergence met 2.0 weak Taylor scheme and  $\theta = 1/2$  discretization of integrals!
- Higher dimensions: Generalization to Monte Carlo method SGBM
- Challenges: Higher dimensions (MC, SGBM), stochastic control, portfolio selection
- $\Rightarrow$  Towards accurate, efficient and robust solution methods.

# Computational finance

#### • Efficient valuation of financial options

- ightarrow COS method is used at many (financial) institutions world-wide;
  - works efficient for a variety of options (barrier, Asian, multi-asset, ...)
  - High-D American options, Monte Carlo simulation, SGBM
  - Interpolation methods, Stochastic Collocation Monte Carlo methods (SCMC sampler)
  - Under recent asset price model dynamics, for different asset classes

#### Risk management

- Accurate hedge parameters;
- Numerical estimation of tail probabilities and expected shortfall, Value-at-Risk;
- (Counterparty) Credit risk and other types of risk;
- Inflation options for pension funds.
- Portfolio optimization (2010-...)
  - Energy portfolio, real options analysis
  - Dynamic portfolios for pensions (target based vs time-consistent mean-variance strategy)
- $\Rightarrow$  Challenge: Incorporate (big) financial data
## Characteristic function

We can write the Euler, Milstein, and 2.0 weak Taylor discretization schemes in the following general form

 $X_{m+1}^{\Delta} = x + m(x)\Delta t + s(x)\Delta\omega_{m+1} + \kappa(x)(\Delta\omega_{m+1})^2, \quad X_m^{\Delta} = x.$ 

For the Euler scheme:

$$m(x) = \mu(x), \quad s(x) = \sigma(x), \quad \kappa(x) = 0.$$

For the Milstein scheme:

$$m(x) = \mu(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma_x(x), \quad s(x) = \sigma(x), \quad \kappa(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma_x(x).$$

For the order 2.0 weak Taylor scheme:

$$\begin{split} m(x) &= \mu(x) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma_x(x) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu\mu_x(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{xx}\sigma^2(x)\right)\Delta t,\\ s(x) &= \sigma(x) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_x\sigma(x) + \mu\sigma_x(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{xx}\sigma^2(x)\right)\Delta t,\\ \kappa(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma_x(x). \end{split}$$

Kees Oosterlee (CWI, TU Delft)

## Characteristic function

$$\begin{aligned} X_{m+1}^{\Delta} &= x + m(x)\Delta t + \kappa(x) \left(\Delta\omega_{m+1} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{s(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{s^2(x)}{\kappa(x)} \\ &\stackrel{d}{=} x + m(x)\Delta t - \frac{1}{4}\frac{s^2(x)}{\kappa(x)} + \kappa(x)\Delta t \left(U_{m+1} + \sqrt{\lambda(x)}\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\lambda(x) := \frac{1}{4} \frac{s^2(x)}{\kappa^2(x)\Delta t}$ ,  $U_{m+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ .  $(U_{m+1} + \sqrt{\lambda(x)})^2 \sim \chi_1^{\prime 2}(\lambda(x))$  non-central chi-squared distributed.

The characteristic function of  $X_{m+1}^{\Delta}$ , given  $X_m^{\Delta} = x$ 

$$\widehat{f}_{X_{m+1}^{\Delta}}(u|X_m^{\Delta}=x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(iuX_{m+1}^{\Delta}\right) \left|X_m^{\Delta}=x\right]\right]$$
$$= \exp\left(iux + ium(x)\Delta t - \frac{\frac{1}{2}u^2s^2(x)\Delta t}{1-2iu\kappa(x)\Delta t}\right)(1-2iu\kappa(x)\Delta t)^{-1/2}.$$