A finite element approach to solving a mathematical model for tumour evolution.

Joe Eyles with Vanessa Styles and John King

University of Sussex

j.eyles@sussex.ac.uk

Overview

The model

- Formulations
- 2 Parametric approach
 - Parametric FEM form
 - Numerical analysis results
 - Mesh properties
 - Parametric simulations
 - Unfitted
 - Introduction to unfitted FEM
 - FEM form
 - Simulations

Phase field

- Introduction to the phase field
- Phase field FEM form
- Numerical analysis results
- simulations
- References

This talk considers a model for in vitro tumour evolution, in which the tumour is large and has been unable to grow blood vessels. Three formulations of the model will be presented, along with three finite element schemes. These include both fitted and unfitted sharp interface schemes, and a diffuse interface scheme. Some analytical results will be introduced. The results of a number of simulations will also be presented.

The model

The model

The model

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= 1 & \text{ on } \Omega(t), \\ u &= \alpha V & \text{ on } \Gamma(t), \\ V &= Q - \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} & \text{ on } \Gamma(t). \end{aligned}$$



Figure: Curve $\Gamma(t)$, with interior Ω , both in $\overline{\Omega}$.

Joe Eyles (University of Sussex)

Formulation 1

$\Delta u = 1$	on $\Omega(t)$
$\gamma \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{u} = \alpha \boldsymbol{V}$	on Γ(<i>t</i>)
$V = Q - \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \beta \kappa$	on $\Gamma(t)$

The model

$\Delta u = 1$	on $\Omega(t)$,
$u = \alpha V$	on Γ(<i>t</i>),
$V = Q - \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$	on Γ(<i>t</i>).

Formulation 2 $\Delta u = 1$ on $\Omega(t)$

$$abla u \cdot \mathbf{n} + \frac{u}{\alpha} = Q \qquad \text{on } \Gamma(t)$$
 $V = \frac{u}{\alpha} + \beta \kappa \qquad \text{on } \Gamma(t)$

Formulation 3

$$\Delta u = 1$$
 on $\Omega(t)$

$$abla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + rac{u}{lpha} = Q \qquad \text{on } \Gamma(t)$$

$$V = Q - \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \beta \kappa$$
 on $\Gamma(t)$

All formulations in one

$$g := \frac{\alpha V}{\gamma} \quad \text{or} \quad g := Q$$
$$\mu := \frac{1}{\alpha} \quad \text{or} \quad \mu := \frac{1}{\gamma}$$
$$f := Q - \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \quad \text{or} \quad f := \frac{u}{\alpha}$$

The model	
$\Delta u = 1$	on $\Omega(t)$
$\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mu u = g$	on Γ(<i>t</i>)
$V = f + \beta \kappa$	on Γ(<i>t</i>)

Joe Eyles (University of Sussex)

j.eyles@sussex.ac.uk 7 / 28

Parametric approach

Parametric FEM form

$$\forall \phi_h \in \{ \phi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_h^n, \mathbb{R}) : \phi \text{ is linear on each element } \}$$
$$\int_{\Omega_h^n} \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}v + \mu \int_{\Gamma_h^n} u_h \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_{\Omega_h^n} \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}v - \int_{\Gamma_h^n} g_h \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}s = 0$$

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \in \{ \boldsymbol{\rho} \in C(\Gamma_h^n, \mathbb{R}^3) : \boldsymbol{\rho} \text{ is linear on each element } \}$$
$$\int_{\Gamma_h^n} \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_h^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{X}_h^n}{dt} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \, \mathrm{d}s + \beta \int_{\Gamma_h^n} \nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{X}_h^{n+1} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \, \mathrm{d}s - \int_{\Gamma_h^n} \boldsymbol{f}_h \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \, \mathrm{d}s = 0$$



Details found in, among others, [Dziuk, 1990] and [Deckelnick et al, 2005].

Parametric numerical analysis results

$$\max_{\Omega \cup \Gamma} u \leq \max_{\Gamma} u \leq \frac{g}{\mu}$$

$$||\Delta u||^{2}_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||\nabla u||^{2}_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||u||^{2}_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C$$

$$||\nabla u||_{L^{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leq C \qquad \qquad ||\nabla u \cdot n||_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leq C \qquad \qquad ||u - u_{h}||_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq Ch$$

$$\int_0^T ||\frac{\boldsymbol{X}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^n}{dt}||_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\beta}{2} \sup_{0 \le n \le N} ||(\nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{X}^n)||_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\ \le C \int_0^T ||\boldsymbol{f}||_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \,\mathrm{d}t + \frac{\beta}{2} ||(\nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{X}^0)||$$

More results to come...

Joe Eyles (University of Sussex)

Parametric mesh properties

Volume (harmonic extension)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0}, & \text{on } \Omega\\ \boldsymbol{u} = V \boldsymbol{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

Surface (tangential ω) [Elliott et al 1, 2016]

$$M' := M(\omega I + (1 - \omega)NN^T)$$

for $\omega \in (0, 1]$.

[Elliott et al 2, 2016]

Uses the DeTurck trick and harmonic map heat flow on a reference mesh to achieve good mesh properties, given an initial mesh velocity.

Parametric simulations



Q = 0.5, $\beta = 0.05$ and $\alpha = 10^{-6}$. At t = 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8.



Parametric simulations



 $Q = 1.0, \ \beta = 0.05 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 10^{-6}.$ At $t = 0, 0.7, 1.4, 2.1, \ \text{and} \ 2.8.$



Parametric simulations



 $Q = 1.0, \ \beta = 0.1 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 10^{-3}.$ At $t = 0, 0.7, 1.4, 2.1, \ \text{and} \ 2.8.$



Unfitted approach

Introduction



$$\mathcal{T}_h := \{ \mu \in \overline{\Omega}_h \mid \mu \text{ is inside or intersects } \Gamma_h \}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_h := \{ \, \boldsymbol{p} \in \{ \mathsf{nodes} \} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \in \mu \text{ for some } \mu \in \mathcal{T}_h \text{ , and } \boldsymbol{p} \text{ is outside } \Gamma_h \, \}$$

More info in [Barrett et al, 1987] and [Dziuk et al, 2013].

Joe Eyles (University of Sussex)

Tumour evolution

Unfitted FEM form

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \in \{ \boldsymbol{\rho} \in C(\Gamma_h^n, \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \boldsymbol{\rho} \text{ is linear on each element } \}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_h^n} \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_h^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{X}_h^n}{dt} \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \, \mathrm{d}s \quad + \quad \beta \int_{\Gamma_h^n} \left(\nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{X}_h^{n+1} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \right) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= \quad \int_{\Gamma_h^n} \left(\boldsymbol{Q} - \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_h \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_h \right) \boldsymbol{\rho}_h \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{W}_h := \{ \phi \in C(\overline{\Omega}_h, \mathbb{R}) \mid \phi \text{ is linear on each element } \}$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_h} \nabla u_h \nabla \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{N}_h} u_h \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{T}_h} \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{N}_h} \alpha V_h \phi_h \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Unfitted simulations



$Q = 0.5, \ \beta = 0.05 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 10^{-6}.$ At $t = 0, 6, 12, 18, 24 \ \text{and} \ 30.$

Unfitted simulations



$Q = 1.0, \ \beta = 0.05 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 0.1.$ At $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \ \text{and} \ 5.$

Phase field approach

Introduction to the phase field



Figure: The phase field $\overline{\Omega}$. Here $\overline{\Omega}$ is a fixed domain that contains $\Gamma(t)$.

$$\zeta := rac{1+arphi}{2} \quad \delta := |
abla arphi|$$

Joe Eyles (University of Sussex)

Phase field FEM form

Only possible for
$$f = \frac{u}{\alpha}$$
, $\mu = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $g = Q$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \rho_h \in \{ \rho \in C(\overline{\Omega}_h, \mathbb{R}) : |\rho| &\leq 1 \text{ and } \rho \text{ is linear on each element } \} \\ & \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \frac{\varphi_h^{n+1} - \varphi_h^n}{dt} (\rho_h - \varphi_h^{n+1}) dx - \beta \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \nabla \varphi_h^{n+1} \cdot \nabla (\rho_h - \varphi_h^{n+1}) dx \\ & - \frac{\beta}{\epsilon^2} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \varphi_h^{n+1} (\rho_h - \varphi_h^{n+1}) dx + \frac{\pi}{4\epsilon} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \frac{\overline{u}_h}{\alpha} (\rho_h - \varphi_h^{n+1}) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall \phi_h \in \{ \rho \in C(\overline{\Omega}_h, \mathbb{R}) : \rho \text{ is linear on each element } \}$$
$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \zeta \nabla \overline{u}_h \nabla \phi_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} + \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \delta \frac{\overline{u}_h}{\alpha} \phi_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \delta Q \phi_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} - \int_{\overline{\Omega}_h} \zeta \phi_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}$$

Phase field numerical analysis results

$$\int_0^T ||\frac{\varphi_h^{n+1} - \varphi_h^n}{dt}||_{L^2}^2 \,\mathrm{d}t + \sup_t ||\nabla \varphi_h||_{L^2}^2 \leq C$$

$$\int_0^T ||\nabla(\varphi_h^{n+1} - \varphi_h^n)||_{L^2}^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \leq C\Delta t$$

$$||u-u_{\hbar}||^2_{W^1_{2,\zeta}}+||u-u_{\hbar}||^2_{L^2_{\delta}(\overline{\Omega})}\leq c\hbar^2$$

More results to come...

Joe Eyles (University of Sussex)

Phase field simulations



Q = 1.0, $\beta = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0.1$. At t = 0, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 18.

Phase field simulations



 $Q = 1.0, \ \beta = 0.1 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.0.$ At $t = 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72 \ \text{and} \ 84.$

- A simple model for tissue growth
- \bullet Methods to preserve parametric mesh properties during the evolution of Ω
- \bullet An explanation of a simple unfitted method and the problem relating to self intersection of Γ
- A brief introduction to the phase field, including the limitations imposed upon the model

References



Elliott, Charles M and Fritz, Hans

On Approximations of the Curve Shortening Flow and of the Mean Curvature Flow based on the DeTurck trick



Dziuk, G

Numerische Mathematik An algorithm for evolutionary surfaces Subject classifications



Deckelnick, G and Elliott, Cm and Dziuk, K

Computation of geometric partial differential equations and mean curvature flow



Elliott, Charles M and Fritz, Hans

On algorithms with good mesh properties for problems with moving boundaries based on the Harmonic Map Heat Flow and the DeTurck trick



John W Barrett and Charles M Elliot

Fitted and Unfitted Finite-Element Methods for Elliptic Equations with Smooth Interfaces. IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis (1987) 7, pages 283–300.

Gerhard Dziuk and Charles M. Elliott

Finite element methods for surface PDEs. Acta Numerica, 22(April):289-396, 2013.

Questions?

j.eyles@sussex.ac.uk